

X

## Elections Ontario

## We balance our priorities.

In all our work, Elections Ontario balances multiple issues, diverse perspectives and challenges to ensure we run efficient elections that Ontarians can trust.



## When we plan elections,

we balance the needs of Ontarians across all regions and communities with an eye to upholding standards of fairness. When we run elections, we balance the public's expectations for an easy process with our duty to protect the integrity of the system.

## When an election is over,

we listen to Ontarians about what worked and what didn't, balancing the diverse perspectives, priorities and visions of everyone involved.


The Honourable Dave Levac
Speaker of the Legislative Assembly
Room 180, Legislative Building, Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 1A2

Dear Mr. Speaker,

It is my pleasure to submit the annual report covering the affairs of my office under the Election Act and Election Finances Act during the 2014-2015 fiscal year.

In this period, my office ran Ontario's 41st General Election, the Sudbury by-election and completed significant work towards realizing the priorities of Elections Ontario's Strategic Plan 2013-2017.

Sincerely,


Greg Essensa

## March 31, 2015 marked the end of an eventful year

for Elections Ontario in which we ran Ontario's 41st General Election. It was, by all indications, the most successful General Election in the province's history.
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Message from the Chief Electoral Officer

March 31, 2015 marked the end of an eventful year for Elections Ontario in which we ran Ontario's 41 st General Election. It was, by all indications, the most successful General Election in the province's history.

Ipsos Reid polling after the June 2014 event confirmed the following: 90 per cent of voters were satisfied with the election experience; most political candidates, CFOs and party officials felt the election was run even better than the 2011 General Election; and a strong majority of returning officers were satisfied with the pre-election training they received-far more than in 2011. That shows good progress.

Since the last General Election, my team has worked in a non-fixed-date environment where we have had to be ready at all times for an election call. As a result, we have improved how we do business, worked creatively with our resources and tightened our processes across the organization, all the while being mindful of fiscal restraint. Our Strategic Plan 2013-2017 has helped focus our vision for delivering a more modern, more accessible electoral process to Ontarians. Now at the halfway point in our plan, we're ready to move forward toward more tangible improvements.

Our work immediately following the 2014 election enabled us to do just that. The "close-out" of
the General Election marked the last year in our election cycle. Because General elections happen approximately once every four years our work is best viewed as a four-year business cycle. Like all good business plans we start our cycle by conducting thorough analysis learning as much as we can from the General Election experience once it is over-before we begin to plan for the next election. We spent six months conducting an official election "close-out", which enabled us to consider the opinions and experiences of our stakeholders who had been involved in the General Election. We polled Ontarians and met with staff and other stakeholders, balancing their perspectives on what had worked and what had not.

The close-out was highly successful. The perspectives we heard gave us the knowledge and insights we need to define the appropriate footprint for the 2018 General Election. With a fixed-date General Election now in our sights, we have the flexibility and space to use our resources to test new transformation initiatives that will enable us to take significant steps toward our future vision.

Of course, the challenge in such an exercise is to move forward in a measured way that protects the balance between competing principles. Paramount among these is the delicate balance between promoting innovation on one hand
(the point of which is to provide electors with easier access to the electoral process) and protecting the integrity of the electoral process on the other hand.
Maintaining this balance is an age-old challenge for election administrators; I continued in 20142015 to recommend significant changes to Ontario's election legislation that will help to innovate the electoral process (see pages 26-37). A case in point is my recommendation that the Legislative Assembly establish common standards and a certification process for using technology in elections. Certain technologies hold great promise for providing electors with easier access to voting. We know that the public expects Elections Ontario to innovate, but we also hear from experts that available technologies may not guarantee integrity and secrecy. So we have to find an appropriate balance as we build future elections.

We undertook a number of other important activities in 2014-2015 that will bring Ontario closer to the nextgeneration election.

Some are visible right now. We built a new website and a centralized election management system, which have improved the electoral process from an administrative perspective. And we completed an extensive organizational restructuring exercise which means we can now conduct business with greater ease and use our resources more effectively. The latter exercise simplified our process for managing compliance (see page 13). Partly as a result of that, I was able to complete unprecedented work in the regulatory field in 2015 with
more efficiency and expediency than previously available. My investigation of an apparent contravention of the Election Act following the Sudbury by-election was the first such investigation ever conducted by a Chief Electoral Officer in the Province of Ontario

Some of our activities for building future elections were still at the aspirational stage in 2014-2015. We're working to better serve a shifting demographic in which the population is aging and becoming increasingly diverse. We're working to remedy unsustainable election logistics in which finding voting locations and recruiting and training Election Day staff is becoming increasingly difficult. And we're working to deliver on greater expectations from stakeholders, who are asking us to provide them with more customized services.

I am deeply proud of what we achieved in 20142015 and the years leading up to the 2014 General Election. It is important to remember that Elections Ontario's activities do not fit neatly within a single fiscal year; rather, our performance is better viewed over the four years it takes to prepare for a General Election. Following this final year in our business cycle, my team and I look forward with optimism to 2015-2016. This year marks the first year in the beginning of a new four-year cycle. In this new cycle we'll deliver the fiscally prudent investments, innovations and more modern electoral process that Ontarians expect-always with an eye to balancing the principles of improving access for electors and protecting the integrity of the electoral system.

## Heeg Crssensa

## Greg Essensa

Chief Electoral Officer

In 2014, a General Election was called when the Lieutenant Governor granted the Premier's request to dissolve the legislature. Administratively the election was the most successful in the province's history-all the more remarkable given that Elections Ontario conducted the event in a non-fixed-date environment, which placed persistent strains on the agency's business processes and personnel.

## Ontario's <br> 41st General Election and by-elections

## Our approach to deployment

Elections Ontario prepared for the 2014 General Election by being ready at all times. In Ontario, the Election Act provides fixed dates for General Elections so that they occur on a regular cycle. However, in a minority government situation, the possibility of a non-confidence vote in the Legislative Assembly of Ontario means that an election could always be imminent. Such was the case from the period following the 2011 General Election to the 2014 General Election.

The need to be constantly ready provided Elections Ontario with valuable experience that ultimately improved our business processes Out of necessity, we kept in close touch with our 107 returning officers, landlords for prospective
locations and vendors to ensure that an election could start up at any moment. This meant balancing the burden of responsibility between the returning officers and headquarters in new ways; to ensure efficiency in an election call.

## More access, better election

Elections Ontario, as required by the Election Act, used assistive voting technology in returning offices to make voting more accessible to electors in the 2014 General Election. Assistive voting technology enabled electors with diverse accessibility needs to mark their own ballots. As examples, electors with mobility issues could use "sip and puff" technology and specially designed paddles. Those with limited vision could use step-by-step audio commands to generate and then mark their own ballot.

## Overnight Deployment

The 2014 General Election involved a virtually overnight deployment of resources. Once the writs were issued on May 7, Elections Ontario activated 144 returning offices and began to set up 8,000 voting locations and recruited 76,000 individuals to work on Election Day, June 12. Our state of constant preparedness since 2011 focused our activities in an unprecedented way.

144
8,000

76,000
returning offices
voting locations
individuals to work on Election Day

## Why is readiness such a challenge?

Fixed date elections are a relatively new phenomenon in Ontario. In 2005, legislation was introduced that established a fixed date for provincial General Elections on the first Thursday of October every four years starting in 2007. In other words, before 2007, Elections Ontario had to be in a state of readiness much of the ime, as an election could be called at any moment (though they were typically called once every three to five years, at the discretion of the government).

So why was it such a challenge before the 2014 General Election to be always in a state of election readiness? In 2010 many changes were made to Ontario's election legislation with the aim of mproving electors' experience and access to the process. These changes included the ability to vote at returning offices during all 29 days of the writ period and, correspondingly, the immediate opening of the returning offices which must be in compliance with accessibility standards. These are positive changes for the process, but they add complexity to the running of elections and came into play on the assumption that Elections Ontario can plan around a fixed date.

## More days and more ways

Elections Ontario continued to offer electors more days and ways to vote in 2014. More than 566,000 electors chose to vote in advance polls. The advance poll has become key to our efforts to provide electors with as many ways as possible to vote. Our mail-in special ballot program is available to any elector. In 2014 it was used by more than 77,000 voters, including electors who were for any reason unable to use the advance poll or vote at their designated polling station on Election Day. The special ballot program is also available by home and a hospital visit for electors who request it. Electors may request a home visit when it would be impossible or unreasonably difficult for the elector to attend the returning office and the elector needs assistance to make the application for a special ballot

Ninety-two per cent of voters surveyed said they were satisfied with the 2014 General Election. Eighty-eight per cent agreed that the voting process to vote was "easier than ever" in 2014.


## Election finance activitie

As stipulated by the Election Finances Act, the Chief Electoral Officer oversees the registration of Ontario's political parties, constituency associations, candidates, leadership contestants and third-party advertisers. We review all financial statements to ensure that they adhere with the stipulations and limits on campaign contributions legislated under the Act. In addition, we distribute subsidies for audit and campaign expenses.

In the interest of transparency and accountability, we publish on our website all contributions in excess of \$100 and made by a single source to political parties and leadership contestants.

We also scrutinize all required annual, campaign period and leadership contest period financial statements for compliance as well as publish them on our website.

- To view the party and constituency association 2014 annual statements please see appendix H and appendix M
- To view party, constituency association and can didate 2014 General Election campaign period financial statements, please see appendix F and appendix K.
- To view party, constituency association and candidate 2015 Sudbury by-election campaign period financial statements, please see appendix $G$ and appendix L
- To view third party advertiser reports for the 2015 Sudbury by-election please see appendix J.
- To view leadership contest period financia statements please see appendix $N$

The Election Finances Act obligates all entities whose financial statements Elections Ontario oversees to file those statements in a timely manner. Delays in filing hinder our ability to review the work and can also delay the payment of campaign subsidies. In 2014-2015, there were 441 late filers and 37 non-filers. To view detailed information about political entities that filed late, please see appendix 0 .

## Election highlights

- More than 52 per cent of electors turned out for Ontario's 41 st general Election, compared to jus over 48 per cent in the 2011 General Election
- The wemakevotingeasy.ca website received more than 2.3 million page views, including more than 435,000 on Election Day.
- Of the 24,000 polls available to electors, only 10 reported minor delays in opening.


## Managing complaints

Between April 1, 2014 and March 31, 2015, Elections Ontario received 356 complaints. 346 were closed when we determined that no statute infringement had taken place. The investigation of one complaint by Elections Ontario's Compliance Enforcement Division resulted in the matter being referred to the Ministry of the Attorney General. Nine investigations remained open as of March 31, 2015

The most common complaints we received were related to Section 37 of the Election Finances Act, which is the provision related to political advertising during the blackout period. The Chief Electoral Officer has recommended that the legislation
governing blackout periods be revised to accommodate the realities of modern campaigning.

The second most common complaints we received related to the registration requirement for third parties. The Chief Electoral Officer has recommended that an independent body be established to investigate options to strengthen third party advertising rules in Ontario. The review should provide specific recommendations on how Ontario can: adopt third party spending limits; adopt third party contribution limits; strengthen the reporting requirements for third parties; and adopt stricter registration and anti-collusion provisions.

The following table provides a breakdown of the complaints we received

## Number and type of complaints received

| Number of complaints received | Type of complaints received (section and Act) | Status Open | Status <br> Closed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Violation of Blackout Period (S. 37 Election Finances Act) | 1 | 52 |
| 45 | Violation of Registration Requirements for Third Party Advertising (S.37.5 Election Finances Act) | 1 | 44 |
| 40 | Violation of Identification Requirements for Advertising (S.22(5) Election Finances Act) | 3 | 37 |
| 212 | Various sections of the Election Finances Act | 2 | 210 |
| 2 | Various sections of the Election Act | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Unrelated to the Election or Election Finances Act | 1 | 3 |

## Registration and deregistration activitie

Elections Ontario registered 20 new associations and deregistered 10 associations. We registered 621 candidates ( 6 were withdrawn) for the 2014 General Election, and registered 37 third party advertisers. For the Sudbury by-election we registered 10 candidates and 5 third party advertisers. We also maintained the registration information of 23 political parties and 438 registered constituency associations

## Campaign expense subsidies

The Chief Electoral Officer provides reimbursement for certain expenses. Campaign subsidies are paid to each candidate who received at least 15 per cent of the popular vote in his or her electoral district. The subsidy paid to candidates is 20 percent of the candidate's eligible campaign expenses (subject to limit) for the electoral district; the subsidy paid will be the lesser of the two. In addition, campaign subsidies are paid to parties whose candidates received at least 15 per cent of the popular vote in any electoral district. The party subsidy is calculated by multiplying by $\$ 0.05$ the number of electors entitled to vote in each electoral district where the party received at least 15 per cent of the popular vote.

Campaign expense subsidies paid in the $2014-$ 2015 fiscal year totaled $\$ 369,846.42$ to the eligible candidates and $\$ 881,839.97$ to the eligible parties. These subsidies are not wholly related to the events held in this fiscal year as they include subsidies from previous fiscal years being paid out in this fiscal year

## Audit subsidies

The Election Finances Act provides for the Chief Electoral Officer to subsidize the cost of auditors services for the examination and reporting on the financial statements by paying to the auditor the lesser of the total auditor's fee and an indexed amount. The indexed amount is amended every five years. For audits preformed in the period between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2018 the amount is $\$ 1,596$ for political party financial statements, $\$ 798$ for constituency association financial statements, $\$ 1,330$ for candidate campaign financial statements and $\$ 1,064$ for leadership contestants.

During the 2014-2015 fiscal year, the Chief Electoral Officer paid out \$1,411,552.63 in audit subsidies.

The most common complaints we received were related to Section 37 of the Election Finances Act, which is the provision related to political advertising during the blackout period.

## New Subsidy, Contribution and Expense Amounts

As a result of indexation, all subsidy, contribution and expense amounts in the Election Finances Act will be increased by a factor of 1.33 from January 1, 2014 until December 31, 2018.

| Category | Description | Base Amount (\$) | Amount 2004-2008 (Base Amount x 1.12 indexation factor) (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution Limits | Donation to one registered party per year (extra during a campaign period) | 7,500 | 8,400 |
|  | Donation to one registered constituency association per year | 1,000 | 1,120 |
|  | Donations to all registered constituency associations of the same party | 5,000 | 5,600 |
|  | Donation to one registered candidate | 1,000 | 1,120 |
|  | Donation to all registered candidates of the same party | 5,000 | 5,600 |
| Spending Limits | Limit on campaign expenses per registered party per elector | 0.60 | 0.67 |
|  | Limit on campaign expenses per registered candidate per elector | 0.96 | 1.08 |
|  | Increase in campaign expenses limit for northern registered candidates | 7,000 | 7,840 |
| Audit Subsidies | Registered political party audit subsidy (annual and campaign) | 1,200 | 1,344 |
|  | Registered constituency association audit subsidy (annual and campaign) | 600 | 672 |
|  | Registered candidate audit subsidy | 1,000 | 1,120 |
|  | Registered leadership contestant audit subsidy | 800 | 896 |
| Campaign Subsidy | Increase in campaign reimbursement for northern registered candidates | 7,000 | 7,840 |


| Amount 2009-2013 (Base Amount x 1.24 indexation factor) (\$) | New Amount 2014-2018 <br> (Base Amount x 1.33 indexation factor) <br> (\$) | Act <br> Reference | Related Financial Statement(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9,300 | 9,975 | 18(1)1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AR-10 } \\ \text { CR-4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,240 | 1,330 | 18(1)2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AR-1 } \\ & \text { CR-3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6,200 | 6,650 | 18(1)3 |  |
| 1,240 | 1,330 | 18(1)4 | CR-1 |
| 6,200 | 6,650 | 18(1)5 |  |
| 0.74 | 0.80 | 38(2) | CR-4 |
| 1.19 | 1.28 | 38(3.1) | CR-1 |
| 8,680 | 9,310 | 38(3.4) | CR-1 |
| 1,488 | 1,596 | 40(7)(a)(i) | $\begin{gathered} \text { AR-10 } \\ \text { CR-4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 744 | 798 | 40(7)(b)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AR-1 } \\ & \text { CR-3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1,240 | 1,330 | 40(7)(c)(i) | CR-1 |
| 992 | 1,064 | 40(7)(d)(i) | CR-5 |
| 8,680 | 9,310 | 44(2) | CR-1 |

## Detailed information about political parties and registration activities

In addition to the appendices referenced above, please see the following for information about financial activities of political parties and constituency associations.

- Appendix B: Registered political parties as of December 31, 2014
- Appendix C: Requests to register the name of a new political party during 2014
- Appendix D: Registered constituency association changes by party during 2014
- Appendix E: Description of financial returns and contribution limits


## The Sudbury by-election

## Results

In February 2015, Elections Ontario managed a by-election in the electoral district of Sudbury following the resignation of the incumbent MPP. The administrative success of the election event demonstrated our high level of readiness in line with the expectations set out for Elections Ontario in our most recent strategic plan.

The following table provides a summary of valid votes cast for each candidate in the Sudbury by-election. Field costs for the by-election were \$380,977

| Name of Candidate | Political Party Affiliation | Valid ballots cast |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | \% |
| Glenn Thibeault | Ontario Liberal Party | 10,640 | 41.25\% |
| Suzanne Shawbonquit | New Democratic Party of Ontario | 9,067 | 35.15\% |
| Andrew Olivier | Independent | 3,183 | 12.34\% |
| Paula Peroni | Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | 1,937 | 7.51\% |
| David Robinson | Green Party of Ontario | 837 | 3.24\% |
| Jean-Raymond Audet | Trillium Party of Ontario | 39 | 0.15\% |
| John Turmel | Pauper Party of Ontario | 25 | 0.10\% |
| J. David Popescu | Independent | 24 | 0.09\% |
| Ed Pokonzie | Independent | 22 | 0.09\% |
| James Wadell | Independent | 21 | 0.08\% |
| Total |  | 25,795 | 100.00\% |

The investigation into allegations of bribery contrary to the Election Act was a first for Elections Ontario. The investigation started on January 13, 2015 and ended on February 12, 2015 with a report to the Attorney General. As the Chief Electoral Officer reported to the Legislative Assembly on February 19, 2015, no Chief Electoral Officer in Ontario has ever before conducted a regulatory investigation into allegations of bribery or ever reported an apparent contravention of the Election Act or the Election Finances Act to the Ministry of the Attorney General.

Once the Chief Electoral Officer received the complaints and began his investigation, the Compliance Division marshalled the internal and external resources needed to complete the work in a comprehensive and timely manner. The fact that the work was completed within a few weeks speaks to the priority that is placed on the integrity of the electoral process by the Chief Electoral Officer's 2013-2017 Strategic Plan as well as the expertise of the Compliance Division established as part of the recent organizational restructuring undertaken in 2014-2015, which included setting up a dedicated compliance enforcement team.


## After the election

Additionally, Elections Ontario took several months to analyze how we performed during the event. Spending valuable time with our staff and stakeholders enabled us to consider their feedback and identify common challenges. This election close out is the critical piece needed to begin planning for the 2018 election cycle.

## Concluding the election

Elections Ontario brought the 41 st General Election event to an orderly conclusion. In past General Elections, the process of official close-out has taken roughly one year. In 2014, we used a new, more efficient process with the intention of taking just six months.

Immediately following official tabulation, our 107 returning officers undertook the significant task of closing down their returning offices and preparing the records and materials to be returned to Elections Ontario's central warehouse. As materials were returned from the field, our priority was to retrieve election records and data files for delivery to secure storage facilities and to begin production of the Record of Official Results, which lists the General Election returns and the summary of ballots cast.

Using the new, more efficient process, we amended the Permanent Register of Electors for Ontario according to information gathered during the election,
received materials from returning officers for storing in our warehouse, completed an exhaustive quality control and inventory exercise to ensure all documentation sent to the field was accounted for, and undertook the task of issuing payment to the tens of thousands of election workers on whom Ontario depends for a well-run election. We also commenced an extensive lessons learned exercise with an eye to improving our processes for the next General Election in 2018.

## Seeking input

Elections Ontario conducted an extensive and focused close-out exercise in 2014-2015 that involved in-depth surveying and consultation with our stakeholders. Our emphasis throughout the process was on looking ahead.

We used a people-centered approach. We conducted detailed polling through Ipsos Reid of the general public, special populations, political entities and field office staff. An extensive, 11-session Debrief Road Tour with Elections Ontario field staff across Ontario was executed. We hosted sessions with the political advisory committee made up of all 21 parties in Ontario. And, the Chief Electoral Officer and key senior staff attended individual meetings with 50 former candidates and with each political party that forms the Legislative Assembly of Ontario.

We analyzed all of the research that we generated from the close-out process. This information will further inform our vision and plan for the fixeddate General Election in 2018 and beyond. The meaningful data that we derived from our closeout also bolstered the Chief Electoral Officer's fact-based recommendations to the Legislative Assembly for changes to the Election Act and Election Finances Act (see pages 26-37). Here are some highlights from the work we undertook.

## Extensive surveying

We commissioned Ipsos Reid to undertake surveys with all our stakeholders: general electors and special electors; returning officers; homeless electors; and political candidates, chief financial officers and party officials. This helped ensure that the voices of Ontarians will factor into our plans for the election of the future

Our surveying gathered data from communities that have historically faced barriers to voting, including Aboriginal communities, electors with disabilities, young electors and students, elec tors abroad, homeless electors, and electors that speak neither English nor French as their primary language. We wanted to learn more about the aspects of the electoral process that work best for them and where Elections Ontario can help remove barriers to voting. The surveys showed tha 95 per cent of general electors did not experience a problem or barrier to voting. Among special pop ulations, most of the survey results remained consistent with the general electorate, with Ontarians abroad ( 16 per cent) and persons with disabilities (22 per cent) reporting the highest instance of barriers. The barriers that voters did experience
included not being on the voters list, problems with identification, inconvenient voting locations, inefficient staff, issues with the ballot, lack of parking at voting locations and long line-ups.

## Debrief Road Tou

Elections Ontario ran an extensive debriefing with all returning officers and election clerks throughout the province. The exercise, held over 11 sessions in locations across Ontario, enabled Elections Ontario to hear feedback from diverse communities and regions. We explored the regional differences, common challenges and ideas that these communities face, which will inform Elections Ontario's plan for modernizing the electora process and building the next-generation election. Throughout, we used the Design Thinking methodology that we first began employing in 2014, which holds that the perspectives and ideas of all participants are valuable in the building of a more desirable future. It was a new approach for Elections Ontario, and the result was more valuable feedback from front-line workers focused on developing lasting solutions

This helped provide headquarters staff with a thorough understanding and appreciation of the challenges that our returning offices face during elections, as well as the best ideas for improving the process.

## What we heard from the debrief road tour

Many of the topics we covered promise to inform the design of future elections. We heard a great deal from headquarters and field staff about the benefits of developing better communication channels and organizational tactics. In an election,
"real time" logistics are a key concern. We heard detailed ideas about how to improve our Notice of Registration Cards (the card mailed to everyone on the list of electors that details where and when they can vote), which will improve electors' access to voting.

A key concern expressed by virtually all Returning Officers was the inability to find the staff required to work in the polls.

Although the 2018 General Election is our immedi ate focus, we also looked well beyond that date to an imagined General Election in 2025. We encouraged participants to build concepts of what a fully "automated" election might look like in the future
how we would train election workers to manage such an environment and how we might approach the challenge of recruiting staff in a very differ-ent-looking election environment.

## Piloting our best ideas

To build the election of the future, Elections Ontar io must pilot new public-facing technologies, tools and processes during by-elections to ensure that they protect the integrity of the electoral process while delivering Ontarians with a better election experience. Our close-out activities enabled Elections Ontario to prioritize pilot projects for future by-elections leading toward the 2018 General Election.


A key concern expressed by virtually all Returning Officers was the inability to find the staff required to work in the polls.

Elections Ontario is halfway through the implementation of an ambitious strategic plan that will improve how we deliver elections. The election cycle is typically four years long. It begins the year following a General Election with an analysis of that election and a planning process towards the next election.

In years two and three planning is completed and implementation begins so that by the final year we are ready to deliver the next General Election 2014-2015, was the final year of our business cycle. In addition to closing out the election we com pleted a restructuring exercise essential to realizing that vision. We undertook all of our activities with a view to building the election of the future

## Readiness

Elections Ontario's new organizational structure encourages innovation, professionalism and collaboration at all levels of the agency as well as progressive, independent thinking and problem solving from all employees.

The new structure's more agile staffing model ensures that our professionals bring the full weight of their expertise to our activities during election events and during the periods between elections. It improves our operational efficiency so that we are able to balance a need to be always ready for an election event while responsibly and securely piloting new ideas and initiatives that will bring about the election of the future.

## Enhancing compliance

Public scrutiny has increased regarding both external regulations and Elections Ontario's compli ance in governing its own activities, by ensuring
accessibility for electors, stakeholders and staff, protecting the privacy of elector information and providing services in plain language. Our commitment is that a much more formal and proactive approach will better guide recommendations and decisions, as well as escalations based on risk, likelihood or potential impact. Decisions on complaints and actions on noncompliance will occur more systemically, at the appropriate level. A risk mitigation strategy will be undertaken. Noncompliance will be evidence based and validated to influence behavior among regulated entities Serious instances of noncompliance will be prioritized, deterred and prevented in a more agile and impactful manner.

These changes are a significant move towards maintaining the public confidence and trust that provincial elections are being conducted in a man ner that adheres to Ontario's election legislation. In the coming months and years, Elections Ontario will actively ensure that instances of material non-compliance are identified, deterred and prevented.

## Strategic investments

While Elections Ontario realized a number of technological improvements internally in $2014-$ 2015, the additional work associated with being constantly ready for a non-fixed-date election more modern, more accessible electoral process.

The reality is that certain aspects of the electoral process in Ontario are not sustainable. Therefore, following the June 2014 election result, which provided Ontario with a fixed date in 2018 for the next General Election, Elections Ontario increased its focus on technology and accessibility initiatives that will make voting easier. The improvements will become visible to Ontarians in the years to come and during future elections

To progress significantly toward our goals for bringing about the next-generation election, the agency recognizes an urgent need to make balanced, well-considered investments in technology that respect our requirement to be financially prudent and that will not compromise the integrity of Ontario's electoral process.

## Election management system

Our new election management system (EMS) is nearly ready for use by field staff, with the expec tation that it will go live in the field in 2015-2016 EMS will enable us to more efficiently manage all aspects of election administration, which will lead to stronger performance and greater accountability at Elections Ontario headquarters and in our returning offices. EMS in an ongoing project and we will continue to enhance this feature of our work,
altering it in scope as necessary as we identify new challenges and opportunities with the software as well as support new legislative changes.

## New website

We applied the lessons we learned throughout a highly successful pre-election period to bring about a number of technological innovations and improvements. In particular, the seven by-elections that Elections Ontario conducted prior to the 2014 General Election gave us key insights into what Ontarians expect from our website. Our new website is simpler for Ontarians to access and navigate - and enables all our stakeholders to find the information they need more easily. We undertook the website redesign in collaboration with our stakeholders to ensure it is more user-centric, with accessibility features that comply with all legislated requirements.


Elections Ontario must enable the electoral system to keep pace with society's changing needs and the collective focus of an emerging generation of Ontarians.

However, Ontario's current election laws and structures were built for an era in which today's standard business practices and technologies did not exist.

The Chief Electoral Officer makes recommendations to the Legislative Assembly of Ontario about the Election Act and Election Finances Act revisions needed to keep our electoral process up to date.

The challenge is to make recommendations for change that will maintain the right balance across the system, such as balancing the principles of integrity and public trust with the importance of delivering access and maintaining secrecy. Moving too fast to adopt new technologies will be costly and carry a high level of risk, however moving too slowly brings different costs for the efficiency of the process.

Keeping the challenge of maintaining balance in mind the CEO continues to make the following recommendations for legislative change.

Strengthen third-party regulations
The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that an independent body be established to investigate options for strengthening third party regulations in Ontario so that third parties are treated as all other political entities under the Election Finances Act. New regulations should consider third party spending and contribution limits, reporting requirements for third parties, as well as registration and anti-collusion provisions.

Third parties are groups and organizations other than political candidates and parties that advertise during an election to support or oppose a candidate or party. In recent years, Ontario has seen an increase in the number of third parties and a very significant overall increase in the spending on political advertising. Spending in the 2014 General Election grew by 358 per cent from the 2007 General Election. In dollar terms, this spending on advertising is very significant. It more than quadrupled from $\$ 1.8$ million to $\$ 8.7$ million.

## Campaign Period Advertising Spending



2007 General Election Total advertising spend \$13.1 million


2011 General Election Total advertising spend \$18.8 million


2014 General Election Total advertising spend \$21.3 million

|  | 2007 General Election |  | 2011 General Election |  | 2014 General Election |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3rd Parties | Political Parties | 3rd Parties | Political Parties | 3rd Parties | Political Parties |
| \$0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 13 |
| \$1-\$5,000 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 |
| \$5,001-\$40,000 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| \$40,000-\$99,000 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| \$99,001-\$999,999 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| \$1,000,000-\$1,999,999 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| More than \$ 2,000,000 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |



Advertising by advocacy and special interest groups is a healthy aspect of democratic freedom. In elections, some groups choose to advertise their views on issues; other groups choose to advertise for or against parties. The latter type, known as third parties, have to register and report on their advertising activities with Elections Ontario like political parties and candidates do. Elections Ontario reviews those reports to ensure election finance laws are respected and a level playing field is maintained in an election.

Parties and candidates are subject to spending and contribution limits in election campaigns; third parties are not. Parties and their constituency associations are subject to contribution limits and reporting requirements outside election campaigns; third parties are not.

The Chief Electoral Officer believes that it is time to re-examine and re-formulate the rules that govern third party political advertisers given the significant and growing amount of money they spend both during and outside of elections, the latter which they are not required to report on. The Chief Electoral Officer believes that Ontario's election finance laws need to maintain a level playing field amongst those who advertise in support or against the election of parties and candidates.

Ontario's third party advertising rules are unique While seven other jurisdictions in Canada like us require third party advertisers to register and report on their activities, we are the only one of these jurisdictions in Canada that does not impose spending limits. It is important to note that, where other jurisdictions in Canada have decided to regulate third parties, they have
prescribed lower spending limits for them than apply to political parties and candidates.

Any review of third party rules also requires that our law's anti-collusion provisions be strengthened. The Chief Electoral Officer believes that this is necessary to ensure election finance laws are respected.

It is critical that legislative changes be made to strengthen third party regulations in elections since the problem is likely to increase with each election cycle. In the 2015 provincial budget, the government announced it wished to strengthen third party advertising rules. For this reason the Chief Electoral Officer will be making a number of specific recommendations in 2016 as to how the requirements in this area could be improved.

A detailed report on the finances of political entities, including third parties, is provided in this report in appendices F - O .

## Establish a single address authority

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that a single address authority be established in Ontario. The address authority could be established by Elections Ontario or another government body.

Among the most common complaints that Elections Ontario receives from electors, MPPs, parties and candidates is this: "Why, after living in the same home for 30 years, does Elections Ontario still not understand where I live?"

It is a fair question. Ontario's addressing system is extremely complex and confusing because of the lack of a central address authority. Ontario is one of only four provinces and territories without such a central authority

Each of Ontario's 444 municipalities manage their own address information independently. Elections Ontario then receives this information through the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation and other sources, and uses it to communicate with electors and send them to the appropriate voting location. The difficulty stems in part from the fact that each municipality has its own addressing convention. If the municipalities do not reconcile address conflicts, Elections Ontario has no recourse. This reality can create a great deal of confusion. Here is an example:

Ontarians can use multiple forms of their address for various purposes: their 911 number for com pleting their taxes, their rural route number for their driver's license and their Canada Post mailing address for their health card. As a result, Election Ontario can have a poor understanding about which address to use to correctly assign the closest voting location. At times, these difficulties can deprive electors of easy access to their voting location.

Another difficulty is cases such as this one: Jack lives at 20 John Street in Fenlon Falls. Twenty years ago Fenlon Falls, Bobcaygeon and Lindsay became part of the amalgamated City of Kawartha Lakes. But Fenlon Falls, Bobcaygeon and Lindsay all have an address that is 20 John Street. Therefore, the City of Kawartha Lakes now has three addresses that are 20 John Street. Now that Jack lives in Kawartha Lakes, how does one determine which address is his?

In the 2014 General Election, many electors reported address-related types of frustrations, including one elector who was sent back and forth between voting locations in Waynesburg and Morrisburg, and electors in Halton Hills who
were unable to use Elections Ontario's online tool to find their voting locations due to multiple forms of their addresses.

An address authority - a single source authority - to determine standardized addressing (Street vs. St. vs. St) across Ontario from harmonizing one address per location, resolving duplicate addressing within the same municipality and assigning a geo-code to an address would not only help Elections Ontario but all organizations that struggle with addressing in the province.

## Redistribution of Ontario's electoral district

 boundariesThe Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the Representation Act, 2005 be amended to update and provide a regular, scheduled process for reviewing the electoral districts and boundaries.

Ontario is the only province in Canada that does not have a regularly scheduled process for reviewing electoral districts and boundaries. However, regular updating and a scheduled process for conducting such reviews and adjusting the electoral map to reflect population growth and demographic changes is essential to our democratic process.

Section 3 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees our right to vote, which includes the right to 'effective representation' according to the Supreme Court of Canada. For this reason, electoral boundary laws must provide citizens with effective representation. Such legislation must protect the 'relative parity of voting power' and may take into account factors like geography, history, community interests, and minority representation.

This means that, when the electoral map is drawn, the population size of each district should be reasonably similar. Generally, the courts have allowed a variance of not more than 25 per cent above the average district population.

Given the principle of effective representation and the population changes we have seen -- and will see -- it is imperative that Ontario update our electoral map. Otherwise, we may be at risk of having our existing map challenged in court because it does not currently reflect voter parity.

For example, the district of Oak Ridges Markham has the largest population in the province. It has experienced tremendous growth in the last ten years and now has a population of just over 243,000 . The average district population in Ontario's is about 128,000. Oak Ridges Markham, therefore, exceeds the average population size by 89 per cent - well beyond the 25 per cent threshold that the courts find is reasonable. And, this is not the only example.

It is cases such as Oak Ridges Markham that can leave Ontario vulnerable to a charter challenge.

Over the next 25 years Ontario's population is expected to rise by 32.7 per cent, or almost 4.4 million people from an estimated 13.4 million in 2011 to 17.7 million in 2036. The Greater Toronto Area is projected to be the fastest growing region of the province with its population increasing by 2.8 million or 44.6 per cent to reach almost 9.2 million by 2036 .

The federal electoral map has been recently redistributed and took effect in the 2015 General Election. Ontario's electoral map has historically closely mirrored the federal electoral map.

Several provinces, including British Columbia, Quebec, Saskatchewan, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia have recently updated or are in the process of updating their own provincial electoral districts All other provinces have handled their redistribution decisions via a provincial commission.

For Ontario to have a healthy democracy, and to be in compliance with our charter, the Chief Electoral Officer strongly believes that redistribution is needed to address the population disparities between electoral districts
(Since the initial drafting of this report, the Government of Ontario has passed legislation that will redistribute Ontario's electoral districts for the 2018 General Election.)

Establish common evaluative standards and a certification standard for election technology

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that Ontario should establish common evaluative standards and a certification process for technology that is used in the electoral process in Ontario.

Technology holds a lot of promise for the elections of the future. Increasingly, Ontarians expect that technology will be used to make voting easier, offer more choice to electors for how, when and where to vote and find efficiencies in the electoral process. Electoral agencies are increasingly turning to technologies to solve logistical challenges, such as the difficulty of finding nearly 80,000 to 100,000 Election Day staff that will be needed for future Elections.


> Ontarians expect that technology will be used to make voting easier, offer more choice to electors for how, when and where to vote and find efficiencies in the electoral process.

In Ontario, the adoption of technology into the electoral process has been done in an ad-hoc way since the late 1980 s and has been led by municipalities. This ad-hoc approach made sense when technologies were new and no best practices existed to draw from. The ad hoc approach allowed municipalities across Ontario to pioneer technology solutions and discover fit-for-purpose solutions to address their local needs.

With more than 20 years of practical application behind us, we are now at a point where we need to learn from our experiments so that we can create best practices and develop guidance.

Standards can provide consistent guidance for municipalities and the province to adopt proven technologies using a principled and measured approach.

It is critical that our approach to technology be intentional and evidence based. Even as the public expects electoral agencies to find efficiencies through technology, they are also increasingly aware of the possible failures of technologies. While there are many benefits to using technology, there are risks involved, as illustrated by recent failures of systems at large organizations such as Target, Apple iCloud, PlayStation and JCPenny

As the public becomes more informed about software, malware and manipulation of technology
data systems, they are increasingly interested in knowing exactly how technologies used in elections are preserving the integrity of our electoral process. For the public to trust the integrity of the electoral process they must be assured of the following:

- Technology used to cast a vote will accurately count that vote as intended.
- Technology used to cast a vote will uphold the secrecy of the vote
- Technology used to tabulate votes will be verifiable and protected from tampering.
- Technology used to transmit election results will be verifiable and protected from tampering.

To ensure we maintain public trust in our electoral system as we increasingly adopt technology, the Chief Electoral Officer recommends that Ontario establish a set of common evaluative standards that will guide election administrators as they consider which technologies to adopt, how to evaluate those technologies and specific technical standards for technologies that are adopted into the electoral process.

## Extend the election calendar

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends an extended election calendar to ensure a well-functioning electoral process in a non-fixed-date environment.

Between 2011 and 2014, Elections Ontario managed its business without a fixed date for the next

General Election. Once the "snap" election of 201 was called, Elections Ontario experienced significant difficulties opening returning offices on writ day and beginning to serve the public the next day as legislated.

The Chief Electoral Officer considers a 29-day election calendar to be insufficient to ensure a successful election that serves Ontarians in a non-fixed-date election environment

The 2014 election calendar was fortunately extended to 36 days because of intervening religious holidays. Without this extended calendar (which was ordered due to the particular circumstances) Elections Ontario would have faced potentially insurmountable challenges. Even within the extended calendar, returning officers faced majo time-related obstacles: it took until May 14, one week after the writs were issued, for phones and Internet service to be installed at all field offices and, the day after the NDP announcement that precipitated the General Election, 79 of 107 returning officers did not have leases signed by the Chief Electoral Officer for their returning offices.

An lpsos Reid survey following the election found that 47 per cent of returning officers did not have sufficient time to manage the logistics of opening their field offices. Only 42 per cent agreed their IT equipment was installed on time.

An extended election calendar would provide returning officers with the time they need once an election is called to ensure a smooth and seamless voting experience for all electors.

## Simplify election calendar timing

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the legislation be amended to provide him with greater latitude for making decisions regarding the election calendar

Key dates in the election calendar are outlined in the Election Act and Election Finances Act. Some of these dates count forward from writ day, others count backward from Election Day and some count by Thursdays. As a result, the entire election calendar is affected in an inconsistent manner when the writ period deviates from the standard. This logistical difficulty could be lessened if the Chief Electoral Officer had more discretion to determine key dates.

## Establish a voting day that is not a school day

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends amending the Election Act to change the voting day to a time when schools are not in session (weekend or school holiday).

Schools are foundational to the running of elections. They are among the most familiar and convenient locations for voting, partly because they can be found in virtually every residential neighbourhood in Ontario, and also because they tend to meet accessibility standards.

A voting day that is not a school day would provide for easier access to schools for electors and would help keep children safe.

Many democracies around the world hold their elections on the weekend. As voter turnout declines, it may be worth noting that the other democracies that hold their elections on the weekends or school holidays generally have a much higher voter turnout rate than Canada. Please refer to the appendix $R$ for a listing of the countries and states that have weekend or school holiday voting and their corresponding voter turnout rates. Holding elections on the weekend would be much more convenient for many electors and could potentially have a positive impact on voter turnout rate.

## The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that

 the nomination, registration and endorsement process be integrated and simplified.The Election Act and Election Finances Act require candidates to complete a complex and convoluted process in which they have to complete up to three key tasks before they can become official candidates. In the 2014 General Election, all candidates were required under the Election Act to file their nomination papers with their local returning officer by 2:00 p.m. on May 22. Completion of that process ensured that each candidate's name would appear on the ballot. The Election Act also required that any candidates who wanted a party affiliation to appear beside their name on the ballot were required to seek the party's official endorsement; party leaders were then required to file endorsement forms with the Chief Electoral Officer by 2:00 p.m. on May 22.

Under the Election Finances Act, candidates must register to be eligible to incur campaign expenses and accept contributions. They have until the day before Election Day to file their registration with
the Election Finances division at Elections Ontario. The process for the nomination, registration and endorsement is unnecessarily complicated and thus not appropriate for serving our stakeholders.

## Allow the provisional registration of individuals when they are 16

## The Chief Electoral Officer recommends the

 provisional registration of individuals otherwise eligible to be added to the Permanent Register of Electors of Ontario when they are 16.An elector is more likely to vote if they know where and when to do so. Elections Ontario tells people where and when they can vote by sending them a Notice of Registration Card, which details all the pertinent information. Individuals who are included on the list of electors are automatically sent a Notice of Registration Card. Therefore, these people are more likely to vote than those who are not registered on the list.

Youth electors (18-25), meanwhile, have the lowest rate of voter registration of all age groups in Ontario. It stands to reason that making sure they are registered with Elections Ontario could improve the likelihood that they will vote. One of the most effective ways to register youth voters may be to register them before they graduate from high school. Currently, voter registration is restricted to those at least 18 years of age; however, by that age, many youth have left high school and moved on to university/college or the workforce, where they become highly mobile and harder to communicate with.

Permitting early registration at age 16 would enable Elections Ontario to work with schools and Ontario's driver licensing program to ensure that
young electors received maximum exposure to the registration process. Many high school teachers have expressed support for this concept, as it would enable their students to engage in a tangible action following their earlier civics education.

The voting age in Ontario would remain at 18 in a scenario that included provisional registration of younger individuals, with registration becoming active on an individual's 18 th birthday. The provisional registration of young people will allow for greater opportunities to engage youth electors before they turn 18 , which may lead to greater voter turnout among youth electors.
(Since the writing of this report, the government has indicated that they will be introducing legislation in the Legislative Assembly of Ontario that would allow for the provisional registration of otherwise eligible individuals when they are 16.)

Enable a practical approach to communications

## The Chief Electoral Officer recommends the

 Election Act and Election Finances Act allow for a more practical and discretionary approach to communications during an election period.The Acts were written at a time when print was the predominant medium for advertising and communication and they continue to require print advertising in a number of contexts. For example, the current legislation requires Elections Ontario to publish the locations of advance polls in newspapers that reach the electoral district three days before the opening of the advance polls

This is not only prohibitively expensive, but also inefficient; newspaper readership has been declining over the last decade, and many local news-
papers have reduced their publishing schedule or have ceased to publish. At the same time, there is a growing acceptance of other communication channels, such as online and social media. The legislation should be amended so that the Chief Electoral Officer has greater discretion in selecting the appropriate communication channels for reaching electors.

## Provide easier access for campaigning

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the Election Act be amended so that it accords with federal regulations by permitting candidates to access apartment buildings, condominiums, co-operatives and student housing.

Sometimes candidates and political parties have challenges accessing multi-unit dwellings. Under the existing legislation, access to multi-unit dwellings is governed by statues that are beyond the mandate of Elections Ontario. The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the Election Act provide candidates with access in a manner similar to how the issue is addressed federally via the Canada Election Act. The inconsistency between the two Acts causes confusion for electors and political entities.

Eliminate the first advertising blackout period
The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that
the legislation be updated to eliminate the first advertising blackout period in elections since it is outdated.

The first blackout period was put in place to prevent the political party that formed the government from purchasing all of the available radio and broadcast advertising in advance of
calling an election-thereby receiving an unfair advantage over the other parties. However, with the expansion in recent years of communication channels-including low-cost and highly effective social media channels and other methods-Elections Ontario no longer believes that one party can create an uneven playing field by purchasing the majority of available media. Therefore, the legislation is outdated and in need of reform.

During the 2014 General Election, there was a great deal of confusion among the parties and others about the types of advertising allowed during the first advertising blackout period. On writ day and throughout the blackout period, Elections Ontario received a high number of questions about the acceptability, for example, of using social media such as Facebook during the blackout period. Members of the public do not understand why only traditional media are regulated but not new forms of media. The simplest solution is to remove the first blackout period.

## Move from a fall to a spring fixed-date election

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends amending
the legislation, which at present specifies a fixed date for General Elections as the first Thursday in October every four years, to a fixed date in the spring such as a date in early June.

A spring voting day is preferable for many reasons:

- Municipal elections in Ontario run under a fixeddate system in which they are held in the fall. In 2018, the current fixed-date provincial election will run two weeks ahead of the municipal elections. Closely placed elections can lead to voter fatigue and a drop in participation at the polls.
- A spring Election Day affords more hours of sunlight and warmer weather, which enables candidates and canvassers to interact with the public more easily.
- A spring election date is beneficial in rural areas because it falls just after planting. This provides greater convenience for hunting and farming communities.
- Fewer important cultural days and days of religious significance occur in the spring than in the fall.
- Spring provides for a more convenient filing period for candidates and parties, who under the current system have to file in the following calendar year.


## Allow the levying of administrative penalties

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the Election Act and Election Finances Act be amended so that the Chief Electoral Officer has the power to levy administrative penalties.

When it comes to the Chief Electoral Officer's attention that an individual or group appears to have willfully violated the Election Act or Election Finances Act, the only sanction is for the Chief Electoral Officer to report the activity to the Attorney General for prosecution as an offense under the Provincial Offences Act. Apart from deregistration, the only penalties that can be imposed are fines upon conviction in court. Other regulatory agencies including Elections Canada, are entrusted with a greater range of administrative penalties they can apply to uphold compliance with their stakeholders.

In the 2014 General Election, as one example Elections Ontario received several complaints alleging that political advertising was missing the proper authorization or that candidate campaign materials contained incorrect information. The Chief Electoral Officer believes this sort of minor transgression is important to penalize but a full-blown prosecution and conviction in court may not necessarily be a proportionate outcome.

Broader powers for the Chief Electoral Officer, like those exercised by other regulators, that among other things include the authority to levy administrative fines, to impose temporary suspensions, or to issue public reprimands could help promote compliance and would help instill public confidence in the electoral process.

## Centralize campaign administration

The Chief Electoral Officer recommends that the Election Act be amended so that registered political parties have access to all products and services provided to candidates.

Elections Ontario provides elector information to candidates and, as a service, provides some of the same information to registered political parties. As
the management of political campaigns becomes more centralized (and the Election Act meanwhile remains structured for a decentralized model), it becomes increasingly challenging to fulfill such information requests.

For example, during the 2014 General Election, Elections Ontario provided products such as the preliminary list of electors and the list of voting locations to the candidates, and to representa tives at the parties' central campaign offices. What we provided centrally was not always identical to what was provided to candidates in the field, due to limitations in our software and systems. This causes frustration among our political stakeholders.

## Office of the Chief Electoral Officer

## Election Act

## 51 Rolark Drive

 Toronto ON M1R 3B1
## Elections <br> Ontario

## Responsibility for financial reporting

The accompanying financial statements under the Election Act have been prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and are the responsibility of management. The financial statements have been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and in light of information available up to March 29, 2016.

Management is responsible for the integrity of financial statements and maintains a system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that the assets are safeguarded and that reliable financial information is available on a timely basis. The system includes formal policies and procedures and an organizational structure that provides for appropriate delegation of authority and segregation of responsibilities.

The financial statements have been audited by the Auditor General. The Auditor General's responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards. The Independent Auditor's Report, which appears on the following page, outlines the scope of the Auditor's examination and opinion.


Greg Essensa
Chief Electoral Office
March 29, 2016

## Office of the Auditor General of Ontario

Bureau du vérificateur général de l'Ontario

Independent Auditor's Report
To the Chief Electoral Officer
and to the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of Ontario
have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Election Act - Fees and Expenses, which comprise the statement of financial position as at March 31, 2015, and the statements of fees, expenses, revenue and accumulated surplus, changes in net financial assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assess-
ments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstanc es, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

## Opinion

In my opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Election Act - Fees and Expenses, as at March 31, 2015 and its fees, expenses, revenue and accumulated surplus, changes in its net financial assets, and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Toronto, Ontario
March 29, 2016


## Bonnie Lysyk, MBA, CPA, CA, LPA

Auditor General

## Statement of Financial Position

As at March 31, 2015

## Financial assets

Cash
Accounts receivable (Note 4)

## iabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 5)
Due to the Province of Ontario (Note 3)

## Net financial assets (debt)

## Non-financial assets

Tangible capital assets (Note 6)
Prepaid expenses

## Accumulated surplus

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 2014 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\$}$ | $\$$ |
| $5,000,000$ | $10,000,000$ |
| 345,543 | 248,309 |
| $5,345,543$ | $10,248,309$ |
|  |  |
| $1,397,577$ | $2,137,525$ |
| $3,947,966$ | $8,110,784$ |
| $5,345,543$ | $10,248,309$ |
| - | - |
|  |  |
| $9,472,027$ | $8,345,866$ |
| 381,278 | 400,244 |
| $9,853,305$ | $8,746,110$ |
|  |  |
| $9,853,305$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 4 6 , 1 1 0}$ |

## Commitments (Note 9)

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Approved by:


Chief Electoral Officer

## Statement of Fees, Expenses, Revenue and Accumulated Surplus

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015 (See accompanying notes to financial statements.)

|  | 2015 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ |
| Expenses (Note 2C) |  |  |
| Fee expenses |  |  |
| Returning officers | 12,688,582 | 930,605 |
| Election and poll clerks | 9,081,307 | 566,966 |
| Resource staff | 3,424,649 | 208,624 |
| Other assistants | 1,856,142 | 121,596 |
| Poll revision assistants | 1,439,942 | 103,499 |
| Supervising deputy returning officers | 1,189,626 | 79,767 |
| Recruitment officers | 1,165,312 | 78,928 |
| Automation co-ordinators | 884,840 | 60,600 |
| Area managers | 683,716 | 52,635 |
| Training officers | 513,773 | 32,414 |
| Other election officers | 370,635 | -- |
| Revising agents | 235,735 | 12,576 |
|  | 33,534,259 | 2,248,210 |
| Operational expenses |  |  |
| Information systems and consulting services | 8,162,125 | 3,953,260 |
| Notice of enumeration cards | 6,769,226 | 433,542 |
| Office equipment and rentals | 5,699,577 | 3,972,973 |
| Poll and returning office rentals | 5,634,840 | 410,607 |
| Contract and other temporary help | 4,788,088 | 4,120,205 |
| Advertising | 2,889,081 | 516,641 |
| Telephone, mail and shipping | 2,800,974 | 1,106,077 |
| Election forms and supplies | 1,625,778 | 586,544 |
| Head office rent, maintenance and security | 1,185,516 | 1,226,630 |
| Travel | 741,192 | 216,306 |
| Training related expenses | 595,675 | 722,287 |
| List of electors | 590,747 | 25,676 |
| Legal | 380,073 | 145,371 |
| Management consulting services | 251,976 | 371,674 |
|  | 42,114,868 | 17,807,793 |


| Amortization Expense |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amortization expense - tangible capital assets | $2,768,087$ | $3,102,376$ |
| Total expenses | $\mathbf{7 8 , 4 1 7 , 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 1 5 8 , 3 7 9}$ |
| Revenue |  |  |
| Consolidated Revenue Fund - accountable warrant (Note 3) | $\mathbf{7 9 , 5 2 4 , 4 0 9}$ | $23,430,791$ |
| Annual Surplus | $8,107,195$ | 272,412 |
| Accumulated Surplus, beginning of year | $8,74,110$ | $8,473,698$ |
| Accumulated Surplus, end of year | $9,853,305$ | $8,746,110$ |

## Statement of changes in net financial assets under the Election Act

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015 (See accompanying notes to financial statements.)

## Annual Surplus

Acquisition) of tangible capital assets
Amortization of tangible capital assets
Acquisition) of prepaid expense
Use of prepaid expense
Increase/(decrease) in net financial assets
Net financial assets, beginning and end of year


Statement of cash flows under the Election Act
For the year ended March 31, 2015 (See accompanying notes to financial statements.)

|  | 2015 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ |
| Operating Transactions |  |  |
| Accumulated Surplus | 1,107,195 | 272,412 |
| Amortization of tangible capital assets | 2,768,087 | 3,102,376 |
| Changes in non-cash working capital |  |  |
| (Increase)/Decrease in Accounts Receivable | $(97,234)$ | 9,017 |
| (Increase)/Decrease in Prepaid Expenses | 18,966 | $(166,909)$ |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Accounts Payable | $(739,948)$ | 495,797 |
| (Decrease) in Due to Province of Ontario | $(4,162,818)$ | $(504,814)$ |
| Cash provided by operating transactions | $(1,105,752)$ | 3,207,879 |
| Capital Transactions |  |  |
| Purchase of tangible capital assets | $(3,894,248)$ | $(3,207,879)$ |
| Cash (applied to) capital transactions | $(3,894,248)$ | $(3,207,879)$ |
| (Decrease) in cash | $(5,000,000)$ | --- |
| Cash, beginning of year | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
| Cash, end of year | 5,000,000 | 10,000,000 |

## Notes to Financial Statements

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015

## 1. Nature of operations

The Office of the Chief Electoral Officer (Elections Ontario) was established under the Election Act to conduct any election of Members to the Legislative Assembly. Elections Ontario coordinates the training and payment of all election officials and the provision of all polling places, equipment and supplies. As well, Elections Ontario directs and supervises the local returning officer in each electoral district.
There was a General Election held on June 12, 2014. There was one by-elections administered during the year ended March 31, 2015
Salaries and employee benefits for the Chie Electoral Officer and for permanent staff of Elections Ontario are not defined as election fees and expenses under the Election Act and consequently are excluded from these financial statements. Elections Ontario also administers the Election Finances Act, for which separate financial statements are produced.

## 2. Significant accounting policies

## A) Basis of accounting

These financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles established by the Canadian Public Sector Accounting Board. The significant accounting policies used to prepare these statements are summarized below.
B) Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized in the same period as the underlying expenses are incurred and committed.

## C) Expense recognition

Expenses are recognized on an accrual basis The cost of all goods consumed and services received during the year is expensed

## D) Tangible capital assets

Tangible capital assets are recorded at historical cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets; with a half year provision in the year the asset is acquired and available for use, as indicated below:

Computer hardware and software 3 years Furniture and equipment 5 years Leasehold improvements 5 years

Assets in development are not amortized until the asset is available for productive use.

Tangible capital assets are written down when conditions indicate that they no longer contribute to Election Ontario's ability to provide services, or when the value of future economic benefits associated with the tangible capital assets are less than their net book value. The write-downs are accounted for as expenses in the statement of fees, expenses, revenue and accumulated surplus

## E) Financial instruments

Elections Ontario's financial assets and financial liabilities are accounted for as follows:

- Cash is subject to an insignificant risk of change in value so carrying value approximates fair value; and
- Accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and due to/from the Province of Ontario are recorded at cost
Elections Ontario does not use derivative financial instruments
F) Prepaid expenses

Prepaid expenses, such as software licenses, are charged to expense over the periods expected to benefit from it.

## G) Measurement uncertainty

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards requires management make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities as to the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of fees, expenses and revenue during the reporting period

Estimates are based on the best information available at the time of preparation of the financial statements and are reviewed annually to reflect new information as it becomes available. Measurement uncertainty exists in these financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## 3. Source of funds and miscellaneous

 revenuesUnder the Elections Act, the Province pays the election fees and expenses out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund. An accountable warrant, in the form of cash advances, has been provided to Elections Ontario for payments of expenses as they are incurred. Periodically, Elections Ontario requests from the Province replenishments of the amounts spent and drawn down from the accountable warrant balance. The accountable warrant balance as at March 31, 2015 was $\$ 5,000,000$ (2014-\$10,000,000). The Due to Province balance on the Statement of Financial Position represents the unspent accountable warrant balance at year end.

## 4. Accounts receivable

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Accounts receivable | 765 | 10,671 |
| HST receivable | 344,778 | 237,638 |
|  | 345,543 | 248,309 |

Accounts receivable largely relate to refunds that have been received from vendors subsequent to year end and HST receivable. As a result, provisions for doubtful accounts are not necessary.
5. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

|  | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Operational expenses <br> payable and accrued | $1,359,152$ | $1,960,914$ |
| Fees payable | 38,425 | 176,611 |
|  | $1,397,577$ | $2,137,525$ |

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities relate largely to normal business transactions with third-party vendors and are subject to standard commercial terms.
6. Tangible capital assets

|  | Computer hardware and software | Software in development | Furniture and equipment | Leasehold improvements | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2014 | 20,796,575 | 4,181,397 | 984,693 | 688,034 | 26,650,699 |
| Additions | 2,537,111 | 1,144,441 | 151,988 | 60,708 | 3,894,248 |
| Disposals | $(8,476)$ |  | $(43,298)$ |  | $(51,774)$ |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2015 | 23,325,210 | 5,325,838 | 1,093,383 | 748,742 | 30,493,173 |
| Accumulated amortization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2014 | 16,812,640 | - | 869,007 | 623,186 | 18,304,833 |
| Amortization | 2,655,351 | - | 67,121 | 45,615 | 2,768,087 |
| Disposals | $(8,476)$ |  | $(43,298)$ |  | $(51,774)$ |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2015 | 19,459,515 | - | 892,830 | 668,801 | 21,021,146 |
| Net Book Value, March 31, 2015 | 3,865,695 | 5,325,838 | 200,553 | 79,941 | 9,472,027 |


|  | Computer hardware and software | Software in development | Furniture and equipment | Leasehold improvements | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2013 | 18,166,127 | 4,160,595 | 936,562 | 663,081 | 23,926,365 |
| Additions | 2,252,374 | 852,921 | 77,631 | 24,953 | 3,207,879 |
| Disposals | $(454,045)$ | - | $(29,500)$ | - | $(483,545)$ |
| Transfer among categories | 832,119 | $(832,119)$ | --- | --- |  |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2014 | 20,796,575 | 4,181,397 | 984,693 | 688,034 | 26,650,699 |
| Accumulated amortization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2013 | 14,285,188 | - | 844,941 | 555,873 | 15,686,002 |
| Amortization | 2,981,497 | - | 53,566 | 67,313 | 3,102,376 |
| Disposals | $(454,045)$ | - | $(29,500)$ | --- | $(483,545)$ |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2014 | 16,812,640 | - | 869,007 | 623,186 | 18,304,833 |
| Net Book Value, March 31, 2014 | 3,983,935 | 4,181,397 | 115,686 | 64,848 | 8,345,866 |

The majority of the tangible capital assets related to the in-house development of the Election Management System (EMS). At the end of March 31, 2015, the total capitalized cost for the development of the EMS is \$22,347,000, of which $\$ 2,379,000$ has been allocated to tangible capital assets needed to administer the Election Finances Act. The total net book value as of March 31, 2015 for the EMS is $\$ 10,014,000$, of which $\$ 1,067,000$ has been allocated to tangible capital assets needed to administer the Election Finances Act. The cost of the modules currently not in use is included in software in development. The EMS modules will continue to be developed and management plans to utilize this system to administer the 2018 general election.

## 7. Related party transactions

Elections Ontario provides certain administrative services such as accounting, human resources and information technology support for the administration of the Election Finances Act without charge.
Elections Ontario paid Infrastructure Ontario \$1,036,800 (2014-\$1,043,200) for the use of office premises, $\$ 48,000$ (2014- $\$ 48,000$ ) of which was allocated for space needed to administer the Election Finances Act.
Elections Ontario also paid the Ministry of Finance $\$ 4,259,900(2014-\$ 3,576,800)$ for information technology and other services provided by the Province's Guelph Data Centre, \$21,500 (2014- \$7,400) of which was allocated to the Election Finances Act.

## 8. Financial instruments

A) Liquidity risk:

Liquidity risk is the risk that Elections Ontario will be unable to fulfill its obligations on a timely basis or at a reasonable cost. Elections Ontario manages its liquidity risk by monitoring its operating requirements. Elections Ontario
requests replenishments of the amounts spent and drawn down from the accountable warrant balance to ensure it has sufficient funds to fulfill its obligations. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are generally due within 30 days of receipt of an invoice.

## B) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. Elections Ontario is exposed to minimal credit risk arising from its accounts receivable.
It is management's opinion that Elections Ontario is not exposed to significant interest rate, currency, liquidity or credit risk arising from its financial instruments due to their nature.

## 9. Lease commitments

In March 2015, Elections Ontario negotiated the extension of the lease agreement for an additional 5 years to May 31, 2020. A five year lease agreement was also signed for an office location in Toronto ending on August 31, 2020. Elections Ontario shares its main office space with the Office administering the Election Finances Act and the leasing costs are shared based on the square footage occupied by the respective offices.

The minimum lease payments for Elections Ontario, net of the amount allocated to the Election Finances Act, for the remaining term of the lease are as follows:

Year ended March 31, 2016

2018
2019
2020

1,171,100
1,171,800
1,173,500 1,174,800

## 10. Budget

Budgeted amounts are required to be disclosed under Canadian public sector accounting standards if the basis of accounting and the scope of activities are consistent with the actual results reported. The budget, which is approved by the Chief Electoral Officer, is prepared on a modified cash basis while the actual results are accounted for on an accrual basis. Given the uncertainty of when a general election would occur, the fiscal year 2015 budget includes expenses for projects that were put on hold when the general election was called. In addition, there is also uncertainty related to the number of by-elections that would occur in the fiscal year and therefore the budget is not comparable to the actual expenses incurred. Given these differences, the budget is not disclosed in these financial statements.

## 11. Reconciliation to public accounts Volume 1 basis of presentation

The Office of the Chief Electoral Officer - Election Act expenses as presented in Volume 1 of the Public Accounts of Ontario was prepared on a basis consistent with the accounting policies followed for preparation of the Estimates, under which purchases of tangible capital assets are expensed in the year of acquisition rather than being capitalized and amortized over their useful lives. Volume 1 also excludes accrued expenditures payable recognized in these financial statements. A reconciliation of total expenses reported in Volume 1 to the total
expenses reported in these financial statements is as follows:

|  | 2015 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ |
| Total statutory expenses per Volume 1 | 80,309,953 | 23,216,500 |
| Purchase of capital assets | (3,894,248) | $(3,207,879)$ |
| Amortization of capital assets | 2,768,087 | 3,102,376 |
| Change in accrued expenses | $(766,578)$ | 47,382 |
|  | $(1,892,739)$ | $(58,121)$ |
| Total expenses per audited financial statements | 78,417,214 | 23,158,379 |

## 12. Salary, Wages and Employee Benefits

 Salaries, wages and employee benefits which are not reflected in either the Election Act-Fees and Expenses financial statements or the Election Finances Act financial statements are separately appropriated as Election Administration Expenses in Volume 1 of the Public Accounts of Ontario. The figures in Volume 1 are prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting, as described in Note 11. The appropriated amounts and the actual expenses were as follows:| 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Appropriated | Actual | Appropriated | Actual |
| $6,397,600$ | $5,725,877$ | $6,283,600$ | $5,589,763$ |
| $1,471,500$ | $1,114,872$ | $1,445,300$ | $1,119,210$ |
| $7,869,100$ | $6,840,549$ | $7,728,900$ | $6,708,973$ |

## Office of the Chief Electoral Officer

## Election Finances Act

## 51 Rolark Drive

 Toronto ON M1R 3B1
## Elections <br> Ontario

## Responsibility for financial reporting

The accompanying financial statements under the Election Finances Act have been prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and are the responsibility of management. The financial statements have been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and in light of information available up to March 29, 2016.

Management is responsible for the integrity of financial statements and maintains a system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that the assets are safeguarded and that reliable financial information is available on a timely basis. The system includes formal policies and procedures and an organizational structure that provides for appropriate delegation of authority and segregation of responsibilities.

The financial statements have been audited by the Auditor General. The Auditor General's responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards. The Independent Auditor's Report, which appears on the following page, outlines the scope of the Auditor's examination and opinion.


Greg Essensa
Chief Electoral Officer
March 29, 2016

## Office of the Auditor General of Ontario

Bureau du vérificateur général de l'Ontario

Independent Auditor's Report
To the Chief Electoral Officer
and to the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of Ontario
I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Office of the Chief Electoral Officer under the Election Finances Act, which comprise the statement of financial position as at March 31, 2015, and the statements of operations and accumulated surplus, and cash flows for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.
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MSG 2 C2 416-327-2381 copieur $416-327-986$ ${ }^{\text {ats 416-37--6123 }}$ An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments,
the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, bu not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

## Opinion

In my opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Office of the Chief Electoral Officer under the Election Finances Act, as at March 31, 2015 and the results of its operations and accumulated surplus and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Toronto, Ontario
March 29, 2016


## onnie Lysyk, MBA, CPA, CA, LPA

Auditor General

## Statement of Financial Position

As at March 31, 2015

## Financial assets

Accounts receivable - consolidated revenue fund

## Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 3)
Accrued employee benefits obligation (Note 5B)

## Net Financial Assets

## Non-financial assets

Tangible capital assets (Note 4)

## Accumulated surplus

1,084,592

## Commitments (Note 9)

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Approved by:


Chief Electoral Officer

## Statement of Operations and Accumulated Surplu

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015 (See accompanying notes to financial statements.)

|  | 2015 <br> Budget <br> (Note 11) | 2015 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Expenses |  |  |  |
| Administrative and Operating |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits (Note 5) | 1,096,700 | 1,037,583 | 959,417 |
| Professional fees | 695,700 | 242,943 | 177,132 |
| Office supplies and expenses | 202,200 | 142,051 | 65,977 |
| Office rent | 45,900 | 48,002 | 48,029 |
| Telephone, mail and shipping | 15,500 | 13,171 | 14,770 |
| Other | 18,600 | 1,057 | 1,706 |
| Amortization |  | 210,611 | 110,030 |
|  | 2,074,600 | 1,695,418 | 1,377,061 |
| Subsidies (Note 6) |  |  |  |
| Campaign expenses |  |  |  |
| - candidates | 3,535,300 | 3,441,926 | 292,552 |
| - parties | 1,362,200 | 1,222,159 | 66,410 |
| Audit fees |  |  |  |
| Annual returns |  |  |  |
| - constituency associations | 355,900 | 330,337 | 331,340 |
| - parties | 33,500 | 36,130 | 31,501 |
| Campaign returns |  |  |  |
| - candidates | 933,700 | 758,984 | 64,211 |
| - parties | 97,400 | 50,453 | 22,716 |
| - constituency associations | 356,700 | 334,766 | 20,596 |
| Leadership Contestants | 19,200 | 1,544 | 576 |
|  | 6,693,900 | 6,176,299 | 829,902 |
| Total Expenses | 8,768,500 | 7,871,717 | 2,206,963 |
| Less: Anonymous and excess contributions received (Note 2D) | 1,000 | 61,922 | 105,802 |
| Net Expenses | 8,767,500 | 7,809,795 | 2,101,161 |
| Revenue |  |  |  |
| Consolidated Revenue Fund - Voted appropriation (Note 2E) | 8,767,500 | 8,052,876 | 2,336,862 |
| Annual Surplus | - | 243,081 | 235,701 |
| Accumulated surplus, at beginning of year | 841,511 | 841,511 | 605,810 |
| Accumulated surplus, end of year | 841,511 | 1,084,592 | 841,511 |

## Statement of Cash Flows

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015 (See accompanying notes to financial statements.)

|  | 2015 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ |
| Operating Transactions |  |  |
| Annual surplus | 243,081 | 235,701 |
| Amortization of tangible capital assets | 210,611 | 110,030 |
| Accrued employee benefits obligation | 6,622 | 12,404 |
|  | 460,314 | 358,135 |
| Changes in non-cash working capital (Increase)/Decrease in accounts receivable-consolidated revenue fund Increase/(Decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  |  |
|  | $(3,493,357)$ | 1,044,010 |
|  | 3,486,735 | $(1,056,414)$ |
|  | $(6,622)$ | $(12,404)$ |
| Cash provided by operating transactions | 453,692 | 345,731 |
| Capital Transactions |  |  |
| Purchase of tangible capital assets | $(453,692)$ | $(345,731)$ |
| Cash provided by/(applied to) capital transactions | $(453,692)$ | $(345,731)$ |
| (Increase) /decrease in cash | - | - |
| Cash, beginning of year | - | - |
| Cash, end of year | - | - |

## Notes to Financial Statements

For the Year Ended March 31, 2015

## 1. Nature of operation

The Chief Electoral Officer is responsible for administering the Election Act and Election Finances Act. These financial statements reflect the activities conducted under the Election Finances Act [Act]. Under that Act, the Chief Electoral Officer registers and reviews filings from Ontario political parties, constituency associations, candidates and leadership contestants for purposes of monitoring compliance with contribution and expenditure limits established by the Act. The Chief Electoral Officer also pays subsidies to eligible recipients as provided for under the Act.
There was a General Election held on June 12, 2014. There was one leadership contest and one by-election administered during the year ended March 31, 2015. There were seven by-elections administered during the year ended March 31, 2014.

Eligible expenses and asset acquisitions under the Act are paid by the Office of the Chief Electoral Officer and are reimbursed out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the maximum of the voted appropriation.

## 2. Significant accounting policies

## A) Basis of accounting

These financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with public sector accounting standards established by the Canadian Public Sector Accounting Board. The significant accounting policies used to prepare these statements are summarized below.

## B) Expense recognition

Expenses are recognized on an accrual basis The cost of all goods consumed and services received during the year is expensed.
C) Revenue recognition - provincial funding Revenue from the Province is recognized in the same period as the underlying administrative and operating expenses and subsidies are incurred. As a result, the total of accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and accrued employee benefits obligation are equal to the amount of accounts receivable - consolidated revenue fund.
D) Revenue recognition - anonymous and excess contributions Under the Act, anonymous contributions received by a registered political party, constituency association, candidate or leadership contestant must be remitted to the Chief Electoral Officer. In addition, excess contributions over the maximum limits specified in the Act must be remitted to the Chief Electoral Officer unless the recipient is able to refund the excess contribution to the contributor. Due to the unpredictable nature of such contributions, they are recognized when received.

## E) Voted appropriations

The Office is funded through annual voted appropriations from the Province of Ontario As the voted appropriation is prepared on a modified cash basis, a surplus or deficit arises from the application of accrual accounting, including the capitalization and amortization of capital assets and the recognition of employee benefit costs earned to date that will be funded from future appropriations. For financial state ment purposes, the appropriation has been restated on an accrual basis of accounting.

## F) Tangible capital assets

Tangible capital assets are recorded at historical cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets; with a half-year provision in the year the asset is acquired and available for use, as indicated below:

| Computer hardware and software | 3 years |
| :--- | :--- |
| Furniture and equipment | 5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | 5 years |

Assets in development are not amortized until the asset is available for productive use.
Tangible capital assets are written down when conditions indicate that they no longer contribute to the Office's ability to provide services, or when the value of future economic benefits associated with the tangible capital assets are less than their net book value. The write-downs are accounted for as expenses in the statement of operations and accumulated surplus.

## G) Financial instruments

The Office's financial assets and financial liabilities are accounted for as follows:

- Accounts receivable are recorded at cost;
- Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are recorded at cost; and
- Accrued employee benefits obligation is recorded at cost based on the entitlements earned by employees up to March 31, 2015. A fair value estimate based on actuarial assumptions about when these benefits will actually be paid has not been made as it is not expected that there would be a significant difference from the recorded amount

The Office does not use derivative financial instruments.

## H) Subsidies

Campaign expense subsidies and audit fee subsidies for campaign returns are recorded in the fiscal year in which the election was held. Leadership contestant audit fee subsidies are recorded in the fiscal year in which the event took place. Annual returns audit fee subsidies are recorded in the fiscal year to which the returns relate.

## I) Measurement uncertaint

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards requires that management make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities as at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of the revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Estimates are based on the best information available at the time of preparation of the financial statements and are reviewed annually to reflect new information as it becomes available. Measurement uncertainty exists in these financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## 3. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

Subsidies payable

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,497,790$ | $1,019,903$ |
| 64,474 | 66,131 |
|  |  |
| 57,140 | 46,635 |
| $4,619,404$ | $1,132,669$ |

The Subsidies payable includes amounts owing to registered parties and registered candidates. Administrative and operating liabilities relate to normal business transactions with third-party vendors and are subject to standard commercial terms. Accrued benefits are recorded based on employment arrangements and legislated entitlements
4. Tangible capital assets

|  | Computer hardware and software | Software in development | Furniture and equipment | Leasehold improvements | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2014 | 1,480,080 | 490,715 | 59,920 | 46,511 | 2,077,226 |
| Additions | 311,494 | 142,198 | - | - | 453,692 |
| Disposals | $(13,983)$ | - | $(1,092)$ | - | $(15,075)$ |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2015 | 1,777,591 | 632,913 | 58,828 | 46,511 | 2,515,843 |
| Accumulated amortization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2014 | 1,134,756 | - | 57,904 | 43,055 | 1,235,715 |
| Amortization | 205,202 | - | 2,016 | 3,393 | 210,611 |
| Disposals | $(13,983)$ | - | $(1,092)$ |  | $(15,075)$ |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2015 | 1,325,975 | - | 58,828 | 46,448 | 1,431,251 |
| Net Book Value, March 31, 2015 | 451,616 | 632,913 | - | 63 | 1,084,592 |


|  | Computer hardware and software | Software in development | Furniture and equipment | Leasehold improvements | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2013 | 1,135,269 | 489,795 | 59,920 | 46,511 | 1,731,495 |
| Transfers from development | 97,959 | $(97,959)$ |  |  | - |
| Additions | 246,852 | 98,879 | - | - | 345,731 |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2014 | 1,480,080 | 490,715 | 59,920 | 46,511 | 2,077,226 |
| Accumulated amortization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening balance, April 1, 2013 | 1,035,526 | - | 53,872 | 36,287 | 1,125,685 |
| Amortization | 99,230 | - | 4,032 | 6,768 | 110,030 |
| Closing balance, March 31, 2014 | 1,134,756 | - | 57,904 | 43,055 | 1,235,715 |
| Net Book Value, March 31, 2014 | 345,324 | 490,715 | 2,016 | 3,456 | 841,511 |

The majority of the computer software relates to the in-house development of the Election Management System (EMS). At the end of March 31, 2015, the total capitalized cost for the development of the EMS is \$22,347,000 of which \$2,379,000 has been allocated to tangible assets needed to administer the Act. The total net book value as of March 31, 2015 for the EMS is $\$ 10,014,000$, of which $\$ 1,067,000$ has been allocated to tangible capital assets needed to administer the Act. The cost of the modules currently not in use is included in software in development. The EMS modules will continue to be developed and management plans to utilize this system to administer the 2018 general election.

## 5. Employee Future Benefits

## A) Pension benefits

The Office's full-time employees participate in the Public Service Pension Fund (PSPF), which is a defined benefit pension plan for employees of the Province and many provincial agencies. The Province of Ontario, which is the sole sponsor of the PSPF, determines the Office's annual payments to the funds. As the sponsors are responsible for ensuring that the pension funds are financially viable, any surpluses or unfunded liabilities arising from statutory actuarial funding valuations are not assets or obligations of the Office.
The Office's annual payments of \$62,000 (2014 - \$58,000), are included in salaries and employee benefits costs in the Statement of Operations and Accumulated Surplus.

## B) Accrued employee benefits obligation

 Although the costs of any legislated severance and unused vacation entitlements earned by employees are recognized by the Province when earned by eligible employees, these costs are also recognized in these financial statements. The costs for the year amounted to \$17,000 (2014 - \$8,000) and are included in salaries and employee benefits expense in the Statement ofOperations and Accumulated Surplus. The total liability for these costs is reflected in the accrued employee benefits obligation, less any amounts payable within one year, which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, as follows:
Total liability for severance and vacation
Less: Due within one year and included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Accrued employee

| 2015 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 216,214 | 199,087 |
| 57,140 | 46,635 |
|  |  |
| 159,074 | 152,452 |

## C) Other non-pension post-employment

 benefitsThe cost of other non-pension post-retirement benefits are determined and paid for by the Treasury Board Secretariat and accordingly are not included in these financial statements.

## 6. Subsidies

The Act requires payment of various subsidies. The subsidy amounts noted below are effective from January 1, 2014 until December 31, 2018.

- Candidate campaign expenses to every registered candidate who receives at least $15 \%$ of the popular vote in an electoral district. The reimbursed amount is the lesser of $20 \%$ of the candidate's campaign expenses or $20 \%$ of the allowable maximum campaign expenditure limit of \$1.28 [2009-2013: $\$ 1.19]$ per eligible voter. Candidates in designated northern electoral districts may receive an additional \$9,310 [20092013: $\$ 8,680]$
- Campaign expenses to every registered party that receives at least $15 \%$ of the popular vote in any electoral district. The reimbursed amount is five cents per eligible voter in each electoral district.
- Audit fees for annual and campaign returns of each registered constituency association to a maximum of \$798 [2009-2013:\$744] per return.
- Audit fees for annual and campaign returns of each registered party to a maximum of $\$ 1,596$ [2009-2013:\$1,488] per return.
- Audit fees for campaign returns of each candidate to a maximum of \$1,330 [20092013:\$1,240] per return.
- Audit fees for campaign returns of each leadership contestant to a maximum of \$1,064 [2009-2013:\$992] per return.


## 7. Related Party Transactions

Certain administrative services such as accounting, human resources and information technology support needed to administer the Act are provided by the Office of the Chief Electoral Officer without charge.
The Office of the Chief Electoral Officer allocated $\$ 48,000$ (2014 - \$48,000) for the use of office premises needed to administer the Act.
The Office of the Chief Electoral Officer allocated \$21,500 (2014 - \$7,400) for information technology and other services provided by the Ministry of Finance.

## 8. Financial instruments

## A) Liquidity risk:

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Office will be unable to fulfill its obligations on a timely basis or at a reasonable cost. The Office manages its liquidity risk by monitoring its operating requirements. The Office is funded by an annual voted appropriation to ensure it has sufficient funds to fulfill its obligations. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are generally due within 30 days of receipt of an invoice.

## B) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss to the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. The Office is exposed to credit risk principally through balances receivable from the Province. It is management's opinion that the Office is not exposed to significant liquidity or credit risk arising from its financial instruments due to their nature.

## 9. Lease commitments

In March 2015, the Office negotiated the extension of the lease agreement for an additional 5 years to May 31, 2020. Annual charges by the Office of the Chief Electoral Officer for office rent are based on the square footage occupied and the amounts for future lease commitments related to space needed to administer the Act are as follows:

Year ended March 31, 2016
2017
47,400
2018
2019
47,400
47,400
47,400
10. Reconciliation to Public Accounts Volume 1 Basis of Presentation
The Office's Statement of Expenses presented in Volume 1 of the Public Accounts of Ontario was prepared on a basis consistent with the accounting policies followed for preparation of the Estimates submitted for approval to the Board of Internal Economy, under which purchases of tangible capital assets are expensed in the year of acquisition rather than being capitalized
and amortized over their useful lives. Volume 1 also excludes the accrued subsidy fees payable, employee future benefit costs and other adminis trative expenses paid after late April 2015. The estimates, which are prepared on the same basis of accounting as the total expenses reported in Volume 1 of the Public Accounts of Ontario, were approved at \$9,449,000 (2014-\$10,518,100) for fiscal year 2015. A reconciliation of total expenses reported in Volume 1 to the net expenses reported in these financial statements is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total expenses <br> per Volume 1 | $4,566,761$ | $3,362,572$ |
| Accrued subsidy <br> fees payable <br> Change in accrued <br> administrative <br> expenses | $\mathbf{( 4 4 5 , 4 6 4 )}$ | $(286,555)$ |
| Amortization of <br> capital assets | 210,611 | $\mathbf{1 1 0 , 0 3 0}$ |
|  | $3,243,034$ | $(1,261,411)$ |
| Net expenses per <br> audited financial <br> statements | $7,809,795$ | $2,101,161$ |

## 11. Budget

Budgeted amounts are required to be disclosed under Canadian public sector accounting standards with the basis of accounting and the scope of activities being consistent with the actual results reported. The budget, which is approved by the Board of Internal Economy, is prepared on a modified cash basis while the actual results are accounted for on an accrual basis. The schedule
below reconciles the original approved budget with the restated budget figures disclosed in the Statement of Operations and Accumulated Surplus:

## Original Approved Budget - Estimates

## Less: Planned Expenditures 319,100 to be Capitalized <br> Scope Adjustment -By-election Activity <br> Restated Budget Figures

Given the uncertainty of the number of by-elections that would occur in any fiscal year, the Office budget includes expenses relating to four by-elections; however, there was only one by-election that occurred in the fiscal year. The budget figures were restated in the Statement of Operations and Accumulated Surplus to include projected expenses for one by-election as opposed to expenses for four by-elections

## 12. Statement of Change in Net Financial Assets

A statement of change in net financial assets was not presented as the information it would provide is readily available from these financial statements.

## $>$ Appendices

Appendix A: Organizational Overview

The Chief Electoral Officer of Ontario is appointed under the province's Election Act and is an officer of the Legislative Assembly. The Office of the Chief Electoral Officer, operating as "Elections Ontario," is a non-partisan office of the Legislative Assembly of Ontario and is responsible for the conduct of general elections, by-elections and referenda. Elections Ontario also oversees the registration and regulation of the financial activity of Ontario's provincial political parties, constituency associations, candidates, leadership contestants and third party advertisers.

These activities of the office are governed by the Election Act, the Election Finances Act, and several other statutes

| VISION | MISSION | MANDATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




Appendix B: Registered political parties as of December 31, 2014

| Party name | Party name or abbreviation to be shown in any <br> election documents |
| :--- | :--- |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Ontario NDP/NPD |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Ontario Liberal Party |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | PC Party of Ontario |
| Communist Party of Canada (Ontario) | Libertarian |
| Ontario Libertarian Party | Freedom Party of Ontario |
| Freedom Party of Ontario | Green Party of Ontario |
| Green Party of Ontario | Family Coalition Party of Ontario |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario Provincial Confederation of Regions Party |  |
| Ontario Provincial Confederation <br> of Regions Party | Party for People with Special Needs |
| Party for People with Special Needs | Northern Ontario Heritage Party |
| Northern Ontario Heritage Party | CCP |
| Canadians' Choice Party | The People |
| The Peoples Political Party | Paupers |
| Pauper Party of Ontario | Ontario Moderate Party |
| Vegan Environmental Party | Vegan Environmental Party Party TPO |
| Equal Parenting Party | None of the Above Party (NOTA) |
| None of the Above Party of Ontario | Ontario Moderate Party |


| Date of registration | Registration method |
| :---: | :---: |
| February 13, 1975 | On enactment of the Election Finances Reform Act |
| February 13, 1975 | On enactment of the Election Finances Reform Act |
| February 13, 1975 | On enactment of the Election Finances Reform Act |
| September 3, 1975 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Reform Act |
| August 18, 1976 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Reform Act |
| Registered as "Unparty Party (Ontario)" on November 26, 1980. Renamed October 19, 1983. | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Reform Act |
| July 4, 1984 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Reform Act |
| June 10,1987 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Act |
| May 30, 1990 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Act |
| September 18, 2007 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| August 5, 2010 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Act |
| September 12, 2011 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| September 13, 2011 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| September 14, 2011 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| September 14, 2011 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| April 30, 2014 | Petitioning process under the Election Finances Act |
| May 15, 2014 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| May 22, 2014 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |
| May 22, 2014 | Candidate endorsement process under the Election Finances Act |

Appendix C: Requests to register the name of a new political party during 2014

| Date of Chief Electoral Officer decision | Name requested | Abbreviation requested | Chief Electoral Officer decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 17, 2014 | The Federation Party of Ontario (A request from Thane MacKay) | FEDS | Name: Acceptable Abbreviation: Acceptable |
| March 27, 2014 | Royal Canadian Equity Party (A request from Gord Vass) | Royal Canadian Equity Party | Name: Acceptable Abbreviation: Acceptable |
| May 13, 2014 | God at Work, Love in Action (A request by Ernest Kao) | GAWLIA | Name: Acceptable Abbreviation: Acceptable |
| May 13, 2014 | None of the Above Party of Ontario (A request by Greg Vezina) | None of the Above (NOTA) | Name: Not Acceptable Abbreviation: <br> Not Acceptable |
| May 15, 2014 | None of the Above Party of Ontario (A request by Greg Vezina) | None of the Above Party (NOTA) | Name: Acceptable Abbreviation: Acceptable |
| September 12, 2014 | Conservative Party of Ontario (A request by Robert Scott) | CPO | Name: Not Acceptable Abbreviation: <br> Not Acceptable |
| October 14, 2014 | People Power Political Party (A request by Monika Desai) | P4! | Name: Not Acceptable Abbreviation: <br> Not Acceptable |
| December 3, 2014 | Young People's Party (A request by Matthew Giancola) | YP | Name: Acceptable Abbreviation: Acceptable |

Appendix D: Registered constituency association changes by party during 2014

| Party name | Number of registered constituency associations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | as at January 1, 2014 | as at December 31, 2014 |
| Canadians' Choice Party | 0 | 2 |
| Communist Party of Canada (Ontario) | 3 | 3 |
| Equal Parenting Party | 0 | 3 |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario | 19 | 19 |
| Freedom Party of Ontario | 7 | 7 |
| Green Party of Ontario | 50 | 51 |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | 107 | 105 |
| Northern Ontario Heritage Party | 2 | 4 |
| Ontario Liberal Party | 107 | 107 |
| Ontario Libertarian Party | 3 | 3 |
| Ontario Provincial Confederation of Regions Party | 2 | 3 |
| Party for Human Rights in Ontario | 2 | 0 |
| Party for People with Special Needs | 7 | 8 |
| Pauper Party of Ontario | 0 | 3 |
| People First Republic Party of Ontario | 1 | 0 |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | 105 | 106 |
| Reform Party of Ontario | 1 | 0 |
| Socialist Party of Ontario | 2 | 0 |
| The Peoples Political Party | 0 | 2 |
| Vegan Environmental Party | 0 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 418 | 428 |

Appendix E: Description of financial returns and contribution limits

## Financial Returns

Elections Ontario is responsible for the review and approval of all financial statements submitted by registered political parties, candidates, constituency associations, leadership contestants and third party advertisers registered under the Election Finances Act. All returns filed with Elections Ontario are available to the public at our headquarters and summary statements are presented on the Election Ontario website.

All financial statements (including the list of contributors over \$100) are posted to the website on an 'As Submitted' basis within a target of 30 business days of the filing deadline. Revisions to the information submitted, that may be identified during the compliance review process, are ultimately reflected in the 'Final' version of the documents that are posted to the website when approved.

All financial reports filed with Elections Ontario are reviewed to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Election Finances Act. The financial information in this report and the accompanying appendices, reflect the information as filed with the Chief Electoral Officer and are subsequently revised to the date of publication. Review of some of the filings continues and, as a result, some of the information in this report may be revised after this publication. Election Finance information within the Elections Ontario website will be updated as revisions become available.

## Contribution Limits

In a year not including any campaign period contributions may be made to a registered political party up to a limit of $\$ 9,974$. In any campaign period, contributions may be made up to an additional limit of $\$ 9,975$ in relation to the election in that period.

There are also limits on contributions that may be accepted by a registered constituency association or candidate:

- In a given year, contributions may be made to any one registered constituency association up to a limit of $\$ 1,330$ and a total contribution to all constituency associations of the same registered political party up to a limit of \$6,650.
- In any campaign period, contributions may be made to any one registered candidate up to a limit of \$1,330 and a total contribution to all registered candidates of the same registered political party up to a limit of $\$ 6,650$.

Table: Contribution limits

|  | Contribution limits from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To a political party | To a constituency association |  | To a candidate |  |
|  |  | Each | To the associations of one party | Each | To the candidates of one party |
| Annual contribution limit | \$9,975 | \$1,330 | \$6,650 | Not permitted |  |
| Limit during a campaign period | Extra amount of \$9,975 over the annual limit | No extra amount over the annual limit |  | \$1,330 | \$6,650 |

Appendix F: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Political

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR-4 FILING STATUS | Filed | Filed | Filed | Not Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed |
| Statement of revenue and expenses | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Contributions |  | 16,841 | 15,140 |  | 21,570 | 271,195 | 929,545 | 1,100 |
| Transfers |  | 43 |  |  | 3,600 | 719 | 21,692 |  |
| Other Income | - | - | 658 |  | 101 | 3,484 | 90,100 | 10 |
| TOTALINCOME | - | 16,884 | 15,798 |  | 25,271 | 275,397 | 1,041,337 | 1,110 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers |  | 5,968 | - |  | - | 79,024 | 59,935 | - |
| Expenses Subject to Limitation |  | 6,059 | 4,036 |  | 15,353 | 107,345 | 3,510,908 | 1,091 |
| Other | - | 1,395 | 4,914 |  | 14,518 | 127,060 | 998,051 | - |
| TOTALEXPENSES | - | 13,422 | 8,950 |  | 29,871 | 313,429 | 4,568,895 | 1,091 |
| EXCESS REVENUE OVER EXPENSES | - | 3,462 | 6,848 |  | $(4,600)$ | $(38,032)$ | $(3,527,558)$ | 19 |
| Subsidy from Chief Election Officer | - | - | - |  | - | 13,039 | 315,708 | - |
| Campaign Period Surplus (Deficit) | - | 3,462 | 6,848 |  | $(4,600)$ | $(24,993)$ | $(3,211,850)$ | 19 |
| Campaign Expense Limitation | 281,001 | 779,810 | 138,268 | 503,825 | 2,908,688 | 7,620,851 | 7,620,851 | 591,374 |
| Contribution Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals | - | 16,841 | 12,640 |  | 21,400 | 270,260 | 371,030 | 1,100 |
| Corporations |  | - | 2,500 |  | 170 | 935 | 255,857 | - |
| Trade Unions | - | - | - |  | - | - | 302,658 | - |
| TOTAL | - | 16,841 | 15,140 |  | 21,570 | 271,195 | 929,545 | 1,100 |
| Average Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals |  | 211 | 1,400 |  | 294 | 149 | 156 | 220 |
| Corporations |  | - | 1,250 |  | 85 | 468 | 3,655 | - |
| Trade Unions | - | - | - |  | - | - | 5,218 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Not Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| - | 2,693,227 | 14,499 |  | - | - | - | 4,423,963 |  | 5,500 |  |  |
| - | 75,000 |  |  | - | - | - | 272,655 |  |  |  |  |
| - | 139,035 | 133 | - | - | - | - | 40,000 |  |  | - | - |
| - | 2,907,262 | 14,632 | - | - | - | - | 4,736,618 |  | 5,500 | - | - |
| - | 517,606 | 5,339 | - | 1,220 | - | - | 1,577,468 |  |  | - |  |
| - | 6,089,859 | 13,337 | - | 8 | - | - | 7,020,170 |  | 5,958 | - |  |
| - | 1,470,054 | 4,045 | - | - | - | - | 865,167 |  | 12 |  | - |
| - | 8,077,519 | 22,720 | - | 1,228 | - | - | 9,462,806 |  | 5,970 | - | - |
| - | (5,170,257) | $(8,089)$ |  | $(1,228)$ |  | - | $(4,726,187)$ |  | (470) | - | - |
| - | 466,568 | - | - | - | - | - | 420,308 |  | - |  |  |
| - | $(4,703,689)$ | $(8,089)$ | - | $(1,228)$ | - | - | (4,305,879) |  | (470) |  |  |
| 133,534 | 7,620,851 | 5,291,530 | 153,025 | 102,686 | 247,064 | 228,584 | 7,620,851 | 152,378 | 332,103 | 221,332 | 375,790 |
| - | 949,181 | 11,979 | - | - |  | - | 2,345,384 |  | 5,500 |  |  |
| - | 1,456,971 | 2,520 | - | - | - | - | 2,078,579 |  | - |  | - |
| - | 287,075 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| - | 2,693,227 | 14,499 | - | - | - | - | 4,423,963 |  | 5,500 | - | - |
| - | 176 | 107 | - | - | - | - | 138 |  | 688 | - |  |
| - | 2,659 | 504 | - | - | - | - | 2,042 |  |  | - | - |
| - | 3,120 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |

Appendix G: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Political Parties' Campaign Period Financial Statements for the February 5, 2015 Sudbury By-Election

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR-4 FILING STATUS | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Not Filed | Filed |
| Statement of revenue and expenses | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Contributions |  |  |  |  |  | 137,586 | - |  |
| Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Income |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL INCOME |  | - |  |  | - | 137,586 | - | - |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers |  | - |  |  | 11,633 | 849 | - |  |
| Expenses Subject to Limitation |  | - |  |  | 26 | 30,266 | - |  |
| Other |  | - |  |  | 1,532 | 23,607 | - | - |
| TOTALEXPENSES |  | - |  |  | 13,191 | 54,723 | - | - |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXCESS REVENUE OVER EXPENSES |  |  |  |  | $(13,191)$ | 82,864 |  |  |
| Subsidy from Chief Election Officer |  | - |  |  |  | 3,268 | - |  |
| Campaign Period Surplus (Deficit) |  | - |  |  | $(13,191)$ | 86,131 | - | - |
| Campaign Expense Limitation |  |  |  |  | 52,285 | 52,285 |  |  |
| Contribution Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals |  | - |  |  | - | 43,606 | - | - |
| Corporations |  | - |  |  | - | 5,000 | - | - |
| Trade Unions |  | - |  |  | - | 88,980 | - | - |
| TOTAL |  | - |  |  | - | 137,586 | - | - |
| Average Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individuals |  | - |  |  | - | 89 | - | - |
| Corporations |  | - |  |  | - | 5,000 | - | - |
| Trade Unions |  | - |  |  | - | 5,561 | - | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,645,257 \\ 15,000 \\ 121,830 \end{array}$ |  | - | - | - |  | 859,990 | 3,970 |  | - |
| - | 2,782,087 |  | - | - | - |  | 859,990 | 3,970 | - | - |
| - | 27,120 |  |  | - |  |  | 14,282 | - |  | - |
| - | 8,044 |  | - | - | - |  | 354 | 896 |  | - |
| - | 130,904 |  | - | - | - |  | 9,874 | 2,407 |  | - |
| - | 166,068 |  | - | - | - |  | 24,510 | 3,303 |  | - |
|  | 2,616,019 |  |  |  |  |  | 835,480 | 667 |  |  |
| - | 3,268 |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| - | 2,619,287 |  | - | - | - |  | 835,480 | 667 |  | - |
|  | 52,285 |  |  |  |  |  | 52,285 | 52,285 |  |  |
| - | 683,362 |  | - | - | - |  | 628,171 | 3,970 |  | - |
| - | 1,565,410 |  | - | - | - |  | 231,819 | - |  | - |
| - | 396,485 |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| - | 2,645,257 |  | - | - | - |  | 859,990 | 3,970 | - | - |
| - | 250 |  | - | - |  |  | 80 | 496 |  | - |
| - | 3,118 |  |  | - |  |  | 1,885 | - |  | - |
| - | 3,389 |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |

Appendix H: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Political Parties' 2014 Annual Financial Statements


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Not Filed | Filed | Filed | Not Filed | Filed | Not <br> Filed | Not <br> Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 3,869,442 | 23,957 | - | - |  | 31,713 |  |  | 1,643,016 |  |  | 4,450 | - | 423 |
| 1,128,370 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 715,293 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632,845 | 171 | - | - |  | 3,038 |  |  | 604,341 |  |  | - | - | 47 |
| 5,630,658 | 24,128 | - | - |  | 34,751 | - |  | 2,962,650 |  |  | 4,450 | - | 470 |
| 641,287 | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | 314,230 |  |  | - |  | - |
| 7,522,860 | 21,346 |  | 16 |  | 26,768 |  |  | 4,832,585 |  |  | 3,778 | - | 179 |
| 8,164,147 | 21,346 | - | 16 |  | 26,768 | - |  | 5,146,815 |  |  | 3,778 | - | 179 |
| $(2,533,489)$ | 2,783 | - | (16) |  | 7,983 | - |  | $(2,184,165)$ |  |  | 672 | - | 291 |
| $(622,518)$ | $(9,177)$ | - | $(1,228)$ |  | - |  |  | $(1,829,642)$ |  |  | (663) | - | - |
| $(2,164,254)$ | 24,110 |  | 1,334 |  | $(5,649)$ | - |  | $(3,210,005)$ |  |  | (171) | - | 1,186 |
| $(5,320,261)$ | 17,716 | - | 91 |  | 2,333 | - |  | $(7,223,812)$ |  |  | (162) | - | 1,477 |
| 1,658,834 | 23,484 | - | - |  | 31,713 | - |  | 688,569 |  |  | 4,450 |  | 423 |
| 1,926,442 | 473 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 950,623 |  |  | - |  |  |
| 284,166 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | 3,825 |  |  | - |  | - |
| 3,869,442 | 23,957 | - | - |  | 31,713 | - |  | 1,643,016 |  |  | 4,450 | - | 423 |
| 190 | 175 | - | - |  | 738 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 625 | - | 211 |
| 2,165 | 158 | - | - |  |  |  |  | 2,515 |  |  | - |  | - |
| 1,681 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | 3,825 |  |  | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2712766 | 19,465 | - | 91 |  | 7545 |  |  | 1353.240 |  |  | 8 | - | 1,477 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8,033,027 | 1749 | - | - |  | 5,212 |  |  | 8,577,052 |  |  | 170 |  | - |
| (5,320,261) | 17,716 | - | 91 |  | 2,333 | - |  | (7,223,812) |  |  | (162) | - | 1,477 |
| 2,712,766 | 19,465 | - | 91 |  | 7,545 | - |  | 1,353,240 |  |  | 8 | - | 1,477 |

Appendix I: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Third Parties' Campaign Period Reports for the June 12, 2014 General Election





|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TPAR FILING STATUS | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed | Filed |
| StATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENSES | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Contributions |  | - |  |  | 3,472 |
| Third Party's Own Funds | 2,900 | 200,000 | 349,925 | 386,454 | - |
| TOTALINCOME | 2,900 | 200,000 | 349,925 | 386,454 | 3,472 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALADVERTISING EXPENSES | 2,900 | 41,570 | 349,925 | 386,454 | 3,472 |
| SUMMARY TOTALADVERTISING EXPENSES FOR ALL THIRD PARTIES |  | 8,696,231 |  |  |  |

Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Third Parties' Campaign Period Reports for the June 12, 2014 General Election

Income Breakdown

| Third parties | Own funds | Net contributions |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Individual | Corporation | Trade unions |  |
| 2325524 Ontario Inc | 5,533 | - | - | - | 5,533 |
| Animal Alliance of Canada | 39,427 | - | - | - | 39,427 |
| Association des enseignantes et des enseignants francoontariens - Unité 61 -Moyen-Nord catholique | - |  | - | - | - |
| Campaign for Public Education Inc | - | - | - | - | - |
| Canadian Office and Professional Employees Union (COPE) Ontario | 500 | - | - | - | 500 |
| Canadian Office and Professional Employees Union Local 343 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 4400 | 72,085 | - | - | - | 72,085 |
| Canadian Union of Public <br> Employees Locals 2331-01-02 and Local 1571 | 1,760 | - | - | - | 1,760 |
| Canadian Union of Public Employees Ontario Division | - | - | - | 215,626 | 215,626 |
| Elementary Teachers' Federation of Ontario | 1,265,909 | - | - | 9,619 | 1,275,528 |
| Elementary Teachers' Federation of Ontario Rainbow Teacher Local | 1,000 | - | - | - | 1,000 |
| Gesher Canada | - | 2,190 | 1,627 | - | 3,818 |
| Hamilton and District Labour Council | 1,580 | - | - | - | 1,580 |
| International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 115 | 2,633 | - | - | - | 2,633 |


| Third parties | Net contributions |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own funds | Individual | Corporation | Trade unions |  |
| International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 303 | 4,966 | - | - | - | 4,966 |
| National Citizens Coalition | - | 66,695 | - | - | 66,695 |
| Niagara Haldimand Building and Construction Trades Council | 4,966 | - | - | - | 4,966 |
| One Big Campaign | 259 | 4,710 | 13,335 | - | 18,304 |
| Ontario Council of Hospital Unions |  | - | - | - | - |
| Ontario English Catholic Teachers' Association | 2,174,433 | - | - | - | 2,174,433 |
| Ontario Federation of Labour | 48,028 | - | - | - | 48,028 |
| Ontario Nurses' Association | 687,155 | - | - | - | 687,155 |
| Ontario Plasma Coalition | - | - | 209,060 | - | 209,060 |
| Ontario Professional Fire Fighters Association | 74,718 | - | - | - | 74,718 |
| Ontario Provincial Council UFCW Canada | 2,900 | - | - | - | 2,900 |
| Ontario Provincial Police Association | 200,000 | - | - | - | 200,000 |
| Ontario Public Service Employees Union | 349,925 | - | - | - | 349,925 |
| Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation | 386,454 | - | - | - | 386,454 |
| Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation District 3 | - | - | - | 3,472 | 3,472 |
| Project Ontario | - | - | - | 550,000 | 550,000 |
| Stop the Trades Tax | 6,502 | - | 78,000 | - | 84,502 |
| The Society of Energy Professionals | 7,646 | - | - | - | 7,646 |
| Unifor | 17,924 | - | - | - | 17,924 |
| Unifor Local 87-M | 3,221 | - | - | - | 3,221 |
| Vaughan Health Campus of Care | 83,768 | - | - | - | 83,768 |
| Working Families | - | 500 | - | 3,470,740 | 3,471,240 |
| Your Billion | - | - | 25,500 | - | 25,500 |
| TOTAL | 5,443,293 | 74,095 | 327,522 | 4,249,458 | 10,094,368 |

Appendix J: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Third Parties' Campaign Period Reports for the February 5, 2015 Sudbury By-election

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. STATEMENT OF REVENUE ANDEXPENSES | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Contributions | 19,431 | - | - |  |  |
| Third Party's Own Funds |  | 59,544 | 3,164 | 39,032 | - |
| TOTAL INCOME | 19,431 | 59,544 | 3,164 | 39,032 | - |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALADVERTISING EXPENSES | 461 | 59,544 | 3,164 | 39,032 | 24,877 |
| SUMMARYTOTAL ADVERTISING EXPENSES FOR ALL THIRD PARTIES |  | 127,078.18 |  |  |  |

Income from contributions breakdown

|  |  | Net contributions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third parties | Own funds | Individual | Corporation | Trade unions | TOTAL |
| Canadian Union of Public Employees ON Division | - |  |  | 19,431 | 19,431 |
| ON Public Service Employees Union | 59,544 |  | - | - | 59,544 |
| Sudbury Professional Firefighters Association | 3,164 |  | - |  | 3,164 |
| The Society of Energy Professionals | 39,032 |  | - | - | 39,032 |
| United Steelworkers Canadian National Office | - |  | - | - | - |
| total | 101,740 |  | - | 19,431 | 121,171 |

Appendix K: Summary of Candidates' and Constituency Associations' Income and Expenses, for the June 12, 2014 General Election

| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 AJAX--PICKERING | Joe Dickson | LIB | 51,542 | 7,342 | 155,758 | 111,558 |
|  | Jermaine King | NDP | 25,771 | 13,994 | 38,282 | 26,505 |
|  | Todd McCarthy | PCP | 185,646 | 81,652 | 210,059 | 143,130 |
|  | Adam Narraway | GPO | 1,025 | 1,025 | 854 | 854 |
|  | Kyle Stewart | LTN | - |  | - |  |
| 002 ALGOMA--MANITOULIN | Richard Hadidian | LTN | - | - | - |  |
|  | Craig Hughson | LIB | 43,252 | 10,115 | 83,762 | 50,625 |
|  | Michael Mantha | NDP | 143,742 | 82,632 | 138,611 | 59,426 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - |  | - |  |
|  | Byron (Jib) Turner | PCP | 19,492 | 4,492 | 27,395 | 17,395 |
|  | Alexandra Zalucky | GPO | - |  | - |  |
| 003 ANCASTER--DUNDAS--FLAMBOROUGH--WESTDALE | Raymond Dartsch | GPO | 30 | - | 32 | 32 |
|  | Alex Johnstone | NDP | 28,079 | 23,758 | 22,220 | 15,279 |
|  | Glenn Langton | LTN | - |  |  |  |
|  | Ted McMeekin | LIB | 176,946 | 110,220 | 236,630 | 143,885 |
|  | no Candidate | FCP | - | - | - |  |
|  | Donna Skelly | PCP | 123,660 | 58,356 | 148,624 | 113,666 |
|  | Barry Spruce | FRE | - |  | - |  |
| 004 BARRIE | David Bradbury | NDP | 76 | - | 3,791 | 688 |
|  | Ann Hoggarth | LIB | 113,557 | 76,968 | 98,704 | 83,234 |
|  | Rod Jackson | PCP | 138,790 | 102,865 | 160,249 | 124,324 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | PSN |  |  | - |  |
|  | Bonnie North | GPO | 5,744 | 744 | 9,562 | 4,562 |
|  | Darren Roskam | LTN | - |  | - |  |
| 005 BEACHES--EAST YORK | Nicolas Johnson | PCP | 18,099 | 11,799 | 17,617 | 16,317 |
|  | Alexander Lindsay | LTN | - | - | - |  |
|  | Naomi Poley-Fisher | FRE | - | - | - | - |
|  | Arthur Potts | LIB | 74,110 | 47,869 | 99,682 | 83,532 |
|  | Michael Prue | NDP | 101,724 | 56,404 | 119,347 | 74,028 |
|  | Debra Scott | GPO | 5,847 | 200 | 7,200 | 1,608 |
| 006 BRAMALEA--GORE-MALTON | Harjit Jaswal | PCP | 51,627 | 24,352 | 74,437 | 49,662 |
|  | Kuldip Kular | LIB | 111,229 | 63,957 | 147,468 | 113,268 |
|  | Jagmeet Singh | NDP | 208,862 | 154,351 | 153,440 | 115,331 |
|  | Pauline Thornham | GPO | 2,130 | 2,130 | 2,130 | 2,130 |


| Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106,469 | 132,648 | 21,294 | 2,926 | 103,631 | 26,257 | 51 |
| 23,233 | 132,648 | 4,647 | 2,128 | 103,631 | 8,274 | 16 |
| 122,289 | 132,648 | 24,458 | 2,128 | 103,631 | 14,999 | 29 |
| 824 | 132,648 |  | 2,128 | 103,631 | 1,589 | 3 |
|  | 132,648 | - | 1,330 | 103,631 | 301 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 51,420 | 100 |
| - | 78,936 | - | 1,330 | 54,395 | 441 | 2 |
| 50,083 | 78,936 | 19,327 | 2,128 | 54,395 | 6,504 | 25 |
| 54,936 | 78,936 | 20,297 | 2,128 | 54,395 | 14,171 | 53 |
| - | 78,936 | n/a | 396 | 54,395 | n/a |  |
| 14,468 | 78,936 | 12,204 | 2,128 | 54,395 | 4,589 | 17 |
|  | 78,936 | - | 2,128 | 54,395 | 828 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 26,533 | 100 |
| 32 | 118,828 |  | 2,128 | 92,834 | 2,639 | 5 |
| 13,855 | 118,828 | 2,771 | 2,128 | 92,834 | 8,415 | 16 |
|  | 118,828 |  | 1,330 | 92,834 | 423 | 1 |
| 82,671 | 118,828 | 16,140 | 2,128 | 92,834 | 24,042 | 45 |
|  | 118,828 | n/a | 396 | 92,834 | n/a |  |
| 99,522 | 118,828 | 19,904 | 2,128 | 92,834 | 18,252 | 34 |
|  | 118,828 |  | 554 | 92,834 | 188 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 53,959 | 100 |
| - | 129,498 |  | 798 | 101,170 | 7,975 | 16 |
| 72,417 | 129,498 | 14,483 | 2,128 | 101,170 | 19,916 | 41 |
| 97,154 | 129,498 | 19,430 | 2,128 | 101,170 | 17,667 | 36 |
|  | 129,498 | n/a | 678 | 101,170 | n/a |  |
| 3,593 | 129,498 |  | 1,622 | 101,170 | 3,018 | 6 |
|  | 129,498 | - | 2,128 | 101,170 | 366 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 48,942 | 100 |
| 13,176 | 99,048 | - | 2,128 | 77,381 | 5,982 | 14 |
|  | 99,048 | - | 1,330 | 77,381 | 524 | 1 |
|  | 99,048 |  | 277 | 77,381 | 158 | 0 |
| 63,194 | 99,048 | 12,639 | 2,128 | 77,381 | 17,218 | 40 |
|  | 99,048 | 13,889 | 2,128 | 77,381 | 16,737 | 39 |
| 1,429 | 99,048 | - | 2,128 | 77,381 | 2,329 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 42,948 | 100 |
| 41,957 | 153,004 | 8,391 | 2,128 | 119,534 | 9,403 | 18 |
| 79,815 | 153,004 | 15,963 | 1,130 | 119,534 | 17,873 | 34 |
| 94,475 | 153,004 | 18,895 | 2,128 | 119,534 | 23,519 | 44 |
| 2,118 | 153,004 | - | 2,128 | 119,534 | 2,277 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 53,072 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pct} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007 BRAMPTON-- | Gurpreet Dhillon | NDP | 1,113 | 1,113 | 1,136 | 24 | - | 120,863 |  | 798 | 94,424 | 13,513 | 32 |
| SPRINGDALE | Elizabeth Hill | COM | 591 |  | 591 | 591 | 591 | 120,863 | - | 763 | 94,424 | 382 | 1 |
|  | Pam Hundal | PCP | 88,684 | 28,915 | 96,903 | 85,059 | 78,093 | 120,863 | 15,619 | 2,128 | 94,424 | 10,117 | 24 |
|  | Harinder Malhi | LIB | 74,238 | 25,748 | 154,315 | 90,325 | 71,655 | 120,863 | 14,331 | 2,128 | 94,424 | 16,927 | 40 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | COR | - |  | - |  | - | 120,863 | n/a | 798 | 94,424 | n/a | - |
|  | No CANDIDATE | FCP | - | - |  | - | - | 120,863 | n/a | 509 | 94,424 | n/a | - |
|  | Laila Zarrabi Yan | GPO | 500 | 500 | 361 | 361 | 355 | 120,863 | - | 1,330 | 94,424 | 1,311 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,250 | 100 |
| 008 BRAMPTON WEST | Luis Chacin | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 168,237 | - | 1,330 | 131,435 | 878 | 2 |
|  | Vic Dhillon | LIB | 102,306 | 85,356 | 76,694 | 76,394 | 67,004 | 168,237 | 13,401 | 2,128 | 131,435 | 24,832 | 45 |
|  | Sayyeda Ebrahim | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 168,237 | - | 1,330 | 131,435 | 1,504 | 3 |
|  | Ted Harlson | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 168,237 | - | 277 | 131,435 | 540 | 1 |
|  | Gugnigill Panaich | NDP | 32,384 | 32,384 | 32,884 | 32,884 | 28,854 | 168,237 | 5,771 | 2,128 | 131,435 | 12,994 | 24 |
|  | Randeep Sandhu | PCP | 71,257 | 45,116 | 117,317 | 93,676 | 77,911 | 168,237 | 15,582 | 2,128 | 131,435 | 13,363 | 24 |
|  | Daniel Sullivan | FCP | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 168,237 | - | 565 | 131,435 | 800 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,911 | 100 |
| 009 BRANT | Ken Burns | GPO | 14,951 | 9,651 | 20,025 | 13,195 | 12,825 | 127,442 | - | 2,128 | 99,564 | 2,095 | 4 |
|  | Alex Felsky | NDP | 84,994 | 36,729 | 98,777 | 49,237 | 42,612 | 127,442 | 8,522 | 2,128 | 99,564 | 13,992 | 27 |
|  | Rob Ferguson | LTN | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 127,442 | - | 1,330 | 99,564 | 374 | 1 |
|  | Philip Gillies | PCP | 177,264 | 42,504 | 186,836 | 129,066 | 116,473 | 127,442 | 23,295 | 2,128 | 99,564 | 15,447 | 30 |
|  | Dave Levac | LIB | 122,234 | 40,970 | 182,684 | 105,690 | 57,796 | 127,442 | 11,559 | 2,128 | 99,564 | 19,396 | 38 |
|  | Brittni Mitchell | FRE |  | - | - | - | - | 127,442 | - | 277 | 99,564 | 180 | 0 |
|  | John Turmel | PAU | - | - | - | - | - | 127,442 | - | 1,356 | 99,564 | 60 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,544 | 100 |
| 010 BRUCE--GREY-- | Ellen Anderson | LIB | 69,351 | 39,851 | 60,652 | 60,652 | 60,651 | 103,227 | 6,785 | 2,128 | 80,646 | 11,586 | 27 |
| OWEN SOUND | Karen Giventer | NDP | - | - | - | - | - | 103,227 |  | 798 | 80,646 | 6,787 | 16 |
|  | Jenny Parsons | GPO | 10,632 | 6,632 | 12,017 | 6,430 | 4,949 | 103,227 | - | 2,128 | 80,646 | 3,696 | 9 |
|  | Jamie Spence | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 103,227 | - | 277 | 80,646 | 200 | 0 |
|  | Caleb Voscamp | LTN |  |  |  |  |  | 103,227 |  |  | 80,646 | 188 | 0 |
|  | Bill Walker | PCP | 87,388 | 53,740 | 98,946 | 65,298 | 61,295 | 103,227 | 12,259 | 2,128 | 80,646 | 20,359 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,816 | 100 |
| 011 BURLINGTON | Andrew Brannan | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 122,245 | - | 277 | 95,504 | 245 | 0 |
|  | Meredith Cross | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 122,245 | - | 1,330 | 95,504 | 2,250 | 4 |
|  | Jane McKenna | PCP | 101,436 | 47,822 | 127,110 | 73,496 | 62,398 | 122,245 | 12,480 | 2,128 | 95,504 | 20,086 | 37 |
|  | Eleanor McMahon | LIB | 129,400 | 58,423 | 157,727 | 109,579 | 66,665 | 122,245 | 13,333 | 2,128 | 95,504 | 23,573 | 43 |
|  | Janice (Jan) Mowbray | NDP | 24,537 | 17,537 | 25,164 | 18,164 | 17,044 | 122,245 | - | 2,128 | 95,504 | 7,792 | 14 |
|  | Charles Zach | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 122,245 | - | 1,330 | 95,504 | 363 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,309 | 100 |
| 012 CAMBRIDGE | Temara Brown | GPO | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 128,168 | - | 1,330 | 100,131 | 2,726 | 6 |
|  | Allan Dettweiler | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 128,168 | - | 1,330 | 100,131 | 605 | 1 |
|  | Rob Leone | PCP | 81,325 | 34,984 | 133,969 | 92,378 | 77,681 | 128,168 | 15,536 | 2,128 | 100,131 | 15,694 | 33 |
|  | Kathryn McGarry | LIB | 88,773 | 38,027 | 111,970 | 75,318 | 41,048 | 128,168 | 8,210 | 2,128 | 100,131 | 18,763 | 39 |
|  | Bobbi Stewart | NDP | 18,710 | 13,830 | 18,624 | 12,994 | 10,816 | 128,168 | 2,163 | 2,128 | 100,131 | 10,413 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48,201 | 100 |
| 013 CARLETON-- | John Hansen | NDP | 24,903 | 22,613 | 16,203 | 13,763 | 13,005 | 148,541 | - | 2,128 | 116,048 | 8,744 | 14 |
| MISSISSIPPI MILLS | Jack MacLaren | PCP | 174,850 | 100,407 | 161,946 | 62,504 | 46,085 | 148,541 | 9,217 | 2,128 | 116,048 | 30,590 | 47 |
|  | Rosalyn Stevens | LIB | 74,288 | 39,251 | 58,700 | 53,417 | 52,364 | 148,541 | 10,473 | 2,039 | 116,048 | 20,472 | 32 |
|  | Andrew West | GPO | 5,165 | 3,825 | 5,353 | 4,399 | 3,407 | 148,541 | - | 2,128 | 116,048 | 4,614 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64,420 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 014 CHATHAM--KENT-ESSEX | Ken Bell | GPO | - | - | - | - |
|  | Dan Gelinas | NDP | 21,030 | 15,015 | 22,220 | 16,205 |
|  | Terry Johnson | LIB | 29,829 | 9,829 | 40,632 | 20,632 |
|  | Douglas McLarty | LTN |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rick Nicholls | PCP | 116,238 | 49,038 | 135,424 | 80,287 |
| 015 DAVENPORT | Mariam Ahmad | COM | 465 | 0 | 465 | 465 |
|  | Franz Cauchi | FRE |  | - |  |  |
|  | Lan Daniel | PCP | 8,323 | 1,323 | 9,410 | 7,410 |
|  | Cristina Martins | LIB | 141,896 | 89,551 | 109,787 | 74,011 |
|  | Jonah Schein | NDP | 187,718 | 114,811 | 184,280 | 100,849 |
|  | Daniel Stein | GPO | 5,639 | 1,320 | 8,270 | 3,950 |
|  | Nunzio Venuto | LTN | - | - | - |  |
|  | Troy Young | PEO | - | - | - | - |
| 016 DON VALLEY EAST | Michael Coteau | LIB | 109,702 | 67,942 | 119,495 | 77,735 |
|  | Angela Kennedy | PCP | 37,586 | 15,359 | 57,930 | 38,203 |
|  | Christopher McLeod | GPO | 354 | 354 | 354 | 354 |
|  | Akil Sadikali | NDP | 18,509 | 4,511 | 19,605 | 5,607 |
|  | Wayne Simmons | FRE | - |  | - | - |
| 017 DON VALLEY WEST | Khalid Ahmed | NDP | 5,295 | 5,295 | 5,946 | 5,458 |
|  | lan Patrick Boyd | LTN | - | - | - | - |
|  | Brock Burrows | IND | - | - | - | - |
|  | Tracy Curley | FRE | - | - | - | - |
|  | Louis Fliss | GPO | 1,520 | 1,370 | 1,437 | 1,287 |
|  | Dimitrios (Jim) Kabitsis | COM | 465 | - | 465 | 465 |
|  | David Porter | PCP | 84,610 | 58,270 | 106,711 | 80,371 |
|  | Rosemary Waigh | VEP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kathleen Wynne | LIB | 176,811 | 156,088 | 164,499 | 100,176 |
| 018 DUFFERIN--CALEDON | Bobbie Daid | LIB | 76,967 | 59,217 | 87,109 | 79,609 |
|  | Sylvia Jones | PCP | 120,000 | 60,341 | 138,787 | 79,128 |
|  | Daniel Kowalewski | LTN | - | - |  |  |
|  | Karren Wallace | GPO | 35,476 | 15,681 | 37,578 | 27,578 |
|  | Rehya Yazbek | NDP | 6,562 | 6,062 | 6,311 | 6,311 |
| 019 DURHAM | Granville Anderson | LIB | 38,105 | 16,859 | 72,730 | 59,484 |
|  | Michael Patrick | PCP | 121,696 | 33,313 | 157,584 | 69,201 |
|  | Derek Spence | NDP | 17,509 | 16,602 | 12,577 | 11,670 |
|  | Conner Toye | LTN | - | - | - | - |
|  | Halyna Zalucky | GPO | - | - | - | - |


| Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pot } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 95,436 | - | 1,330 | 74,559 | 1,971 | 5 |
| 14,095 | 95,436 | 2,819 | 2,128 | 74,559 | 11,664 | 31 |
| 17,249 | 95,436 | 3,450 | 2,121 | 74,559 | 9,158 | 24 |
|  | 95,436 |  | 1,330 | 74,559 | 514 | , |
| 70,854 | 95,436 | 14,171 | 2,128 | 74,559 | 14,183 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 37,490 | 100 |
| 465 | 93,257 | - | 1,323 | 72,857 | 172 | 0 |
| - | 93,257 |  | 277 | 72,857 | 110 |  |
| 6,327 | 93,257 |  | 2,128 | 72,857 | 2,665 | 7 |
| 67,641 | 93,257 | 13,528 | 2,128 | 72,857 | 16,272 | 46 |
| 82,577 | 93,257 | 16,515 | 2,128 | 72,857 | 14,322 | 40 |
| 3,684 | 93,257 | - | 2,128 | 72,857 | 1,784 | 5 |
| - | 93,257 | - | 1,330 | 72,857 | 250 | 1 |
| - | 93,257 | - | 1,330 | 72,857 | 99 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 35,674 | 100 |
| 66,502 | 93,531 | 13,300 | 2,128 | 73,071 | 19,248 | 56 |
| 31,577 | 93,531 | 6,315 | 2,128 | 73,071 | 9,257 | 27 |
| 354 | 93,531 | - | 1,330 | 73,071 | 1,256 | , |
| 5,059 | 93,531 | - | 2,128 | 73,071 | 4,500 | 13 |
|  | 93,531 | - | 277 | 73,071 | 287 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 34,548 | 100 |
| 3,712 | 110,204 | - | 2,128 | 86,097 | 3,569 | 8 |
|  | 110,204 | - | 1,330 | 86,097 | 338 | 1 |
|  | 110,204 | - | 565 | 86,097 | 138 | 0 |
|  | 110,204 | - | 277 | 86,097 | 83 | 0 |
| 989 | 110,204 | - | 2,128 | 86,097 | 1,286 | 5 |
| 465 | 110,204 | - | 763 | 86,097 | 153 | 0 |
| 64,124 | 110,204 | 12,825 | 2,128 | 86,097 | 14,082 | 31 |
|  | 110,204 |  | 1,330 | 86,097 | 116 | 0 |
| 80,186 | 110,204 | 16,037 | 2,128 | 86,097 | 26,215 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45,980 | 100 |
| 72,725 | 113,951 | 14,534 | 2,128 | 89,024 | 13,861 | 31 |
| 44,341 | 113,951 | 8,868 | 2,128 | 89,024 | 18,017 | 40 |
|  | 113,951 |  | 1,330 | 89,024 | 538 | 1 |
| 26,240 | 113,951 | 5,248 | 2,128 | 89,024 | 7,518 | 17 |
| 5,911 | 113,951 | - | 2,128 | 89,024 | 5,269 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45,203 | 100 |
|  | 127,237 | 10,221 | 2,128 | 99,404 | 19,816 | 36 |
| 39,435 | 127,237 | 7,872 | 2,128 | 99,404 | 18,640 | 34 |
| 10,439 | 127,237 | 2,088 | 2,128 | 99,404 | 13,094 | 24 |
|  | 127,237 | - | 1,330 | 99,404 | 434 | 1 |
| - | 127,237 | - | 1,330 | 99,404 | 2,382 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 54,366 | 100 |



| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pct} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 026 GLENGARRY-- | Grant Crack | LIB | 89,211 | 64,211 | 84,553 | 70,553 | 62,665 | 114,870 | 12,533 | 2,128 | 89,742 | 23,565 | 50 |
| PRESCOTT--RUSSELL | Darcy Donnelly | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 114,870 | - | 1,330 | 89,742 | 422 | 1 |
|  | Marc-Antoine Gagnier | IND |  |  |  |  |  | 114,870 |  |  | 89,742 | 296 | 1 |
|  | Carl Leduc | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 114,870 | - | 277 | 89,742 | 233 | 0 |
|  | Isabelle Sabourin | NDP | 9,426 | 8,601 | 7,462 | 6,637 | 5,780 | 114,870 | - | 2,128 | 89,742 | 5,902 | 12 |
|  | Raymond St.Martin | GPO | 1,942 | 1,742 | 1,987 | 1,787 | 1,271 | 114,870 | - | 2,128 | 89,742 | 1,528 | 3 |
|  | Roxane Villeneuve Robertson | PCP | 139,080 | 31,490 | 179,494 | 134,905 | 125,957 | 114,870 | 11,887 | 2,128 | 89,742 | 15,429 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,375 | 100 |
| 027 GUELPH | Juanita Burnett | COM | 465 | - | 465 | 465 | 465 | 123,647 | - | 763 | 96,599 | 178 | 0 |
|  | James Gordon | NDP | 83,958 | 48,737 | 103,414 | 68,193 | 55,235 | 123,647 | 11,047 | 2,128 | 96,599 | 9,385 | 18 |
|  | Anthony MacDonald | PCP | 65,185 | 47,685 | 104,041 | 91,541 | 76,688 | 123,647 | 15,337 | 2,125 | 96,599 | 11,048 | 21 |
|  | Liz Sandals | LIB | 99,613 | 49,695 | 147,025 | 77,143 | 58,089 | 123,647 | 11,618 | 2,128 | 96,599 | 22,014 | 42 |
|  | Mike Schreiner | GPO | 175,756 | 27,444 | 189,783 | 109,895 | 102,538 | 123,647 | 20,508 | 2,128 | 96,599 | 10,230 | 19 |
|  | Blair Smythe | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 123,647 | - | 1,330 | 96,599 | 170 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53,025 | 100 |
| 028 HALDIMAND-- | Toby Barrett | PCP | 97,391 | 54,263 | 111,234 | 68,106 | 54,540 | 103,561 | 10,908 | 2,128 | 80,907 | 22,066 | 52 |
| NORFOLK | Anne Faulkner | GPO |  |  | - | - | - | 103,561 | - | 1,330 | 80,907 | 2,071 | 5 |
|  | Bradley Mottashed | WTH | - |  |  | - | - | 103,561 | - | 1,330 | 80,907 | n/a |  |
|  | Ian Nichols | NDP | 3,871 | 3,690 | 3,523 | 3,286 | 2,956 | 103,561 | 591 | 2,128 | 80,907 | 9,786 | 23 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP |  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 103,561 | n/a | 509 | 80,907 | n/a |  |
|  | Karen Robinson | LIB | 23,211 | 7,857 | 26,684 | 15,830 | 14,900 | 103,561 | 2,980 | 2,128 | 80,907 | 8,331 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,254 | 100 |
| 029 HALIBURTON-- | Don Abel | NDP | 31,468 | 20,711 | 32,599 | 21,841 | 20,728 | 122,917 | 4,146 | 2,128 | 96,029 | 10,431 | 20 |
| KAWARTHA LAKES--BROCK | Arsalan Ahmad | GPO | - |  |  |  |  | 122,917 |  | 1,330 | 96,029 | 2,255 | 4 |
|  | Rick Johnson | LIB | 71,768 | 32,900 | 79,350 | 64,984 | 55,936 | 122,917 | 11,187 | 2,128 | 96,029 | 18,512 | 35 |
|  | Laurie Scott | PCP | 186,859 | 117,273 | 185,881 | 111,295 | 66,171 | 122,917 | 13,234 | 2,128 | 96,029 | 21,641 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,839 | 100 |
| O3O HALTON | Ted Chudleigh | PCP | 125,086 | 37,086 | 221,331 | 128,871 | 88,311 | 191,532 | 17,662 | 2,128 | 149,634 | 27,937 | 37 |
|  | Susan Farrant | GPO | 801 | 801 | 784 | 784 | 775 | 191,532 | - | 1,330 | 149,634 | 2,618 | 3 |
|  | Khalid (Kal) Ghory | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 191,532 | - | 1,330 | 149,634 | 916 | 1 |
|  | Gerald Marsh | FCP | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 191,532 | - | 509 | 149,634 | 346 | 0 |
|  | Indira Naidoo-Harris | LIB | 66,066 | 35,539 | 112,789 | 86,762 | 78,389 | 191,532 | 15,678 | 2,074 | 149,634 | 33,724 | 45 |
|  | Nik Spohr | NDP | 26,696 | 20,755 | 30,618 | 24,677 | 24,134 | 191,532 | - | 2,128 | 149,634 | 9,758 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75,299 | 100 |
| 031 HAMILTON CENTRE | Andrea Horwath | NDP | 74,227 | 46,539 | 107,571 | 89,826 | 81,899 | 105,041 | 16,380 | 2,128 | 82,063 | 18,697 | 52 |
|  | Robert (Bob) Mann | COM | 465 | - | 523 | 523 | 523 | 105,041 | - | 1,271 | 82,063 | 229 | 1 |
|  | Peter Melanson | FRE | - | - |  | - | - | 105,041 | - | 277 | 82,063 | 334 | 1 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - | - | - | - | - | 105,041 | n/a | 396 | 82,063 | n/a | - |
|  | Peter Ormond | GPO | 14,942 | 9,585 | 15,515 | 10,193 | 10,193 | 105,041 | - | 2,128 | 82,063 | 3,067 | 9 |
|  | Donna Tiqui-Shebib | LIB | 25,600 | 11,100 | 55,037 | 29,037 | 26,270 | 105,041 | 5,255 | 2,128 | 82,063 | 8,450 | 24 |
|  | John Vail | PCP | 75,797 | 3,145 | 75,768 | 66,157 | 63,902 | 105,041 | - | 2,124 | 82,063 | 5,173 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,950 | 100 |
| 032 HAMILTON EAST-- | David Brown | PCP | 29,195 | 9,245 | 41,586 | 29,636 | 28,738 | 113,641 | 5,748 | 2,124 | 88,782 | 7,574 | 18 |
| STONEY CREEK | Mark Burnison | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 113,641 | - | 1,330 | 88,782 | 676 | 2 |
|  | Britney Johnston | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 113,641 | - | 277 | 88,782 | 254 | 1 |
|  | Ivan Luksic | LIB | 71,017 | 61,369 | 85,467 | 75,819 | 72,063 | 113,641 | 14,413 | 2,128 | 88,782 | 12,433 | 29 |
|  | Paut Miller | NDP | 147,367 | 97,057 | 110,974 | 58,004 | 54,759 | 113,641 | 10,952 | 2,128 | 88,782 | 19,958 | 47 |
|  | Greg Zink | GPO | 500 | 500 | 438 | 438 | 434 | 113,641 | - | 1,330 | 88,782 | 1,742 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,637 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Incomeincl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | Pct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 033 HAMILTON MOUNTAIN | Brian Goodwin | FRE | - |  | - | - | - | 120,781 | - | 277 | 94,360 | 320 | 1 |
|  | Greg Lenko | GPO | - |  | - | - | - | 120,781 | - | 2,128 | 94,360 | 2,047 | 4 |
|  | Albert Marshall | PCP | 53,585 | 33,810 | 62,112 | 50,337 | 47,691 | 120,781 | 9,538 | 2,128 | 94,360 | 8,795 | 18 |
|  | Javid Mirza | LIB | 33,335 | 23,335 | 108,766 | 98,766 | 87,030 | 120,781 | 17,406 | 2,128 | 94,360 | 14,508 | 30 |
|  | Monique Taylor | NDP | 103,292 | 54,224 | 107,659 | 58,351 | 52,636 | 120,781 | 10,527 | 2,128 | 94,360 | 23,006 | 47 |
|  | Hans Wienhold | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 120,781 | - | 1,330 | 94,360 | 379 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,055 | 100 |
| 034 HURON--BRUCE | Jan Johnstone | NDP | 54,953 | 48,760 | 49,050 | 43,430 | 38,021 | 102,949 | 7,604 | 2,128 | 80,429 | 10,843 | 23 |
|  | Max Maister | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 102,949 | - | 1,330 | 80,429 | 323 | 1 |
|  | Colleen Schenk | LIB | 70,741 | 31,241 | 100,830 | 61,832 | 42,989 | 102,949 | 8,598 | 2,128 | 80,429 | 14,647 | 31 |
|  | Lisa Thompson | PCP | 86,141 | 46,141 | 107,612 | 67,612 | 58,805 | 102,949 | 11,761 | 1,330 | 80,429 | 18,512 | 39 |
|  | Dennis Valenta | EPP | - |  |  |  | - | 102,949 | - | 284 | 80,429 | 128 | 0 |
|  | Adam Werstine | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 102,949 | - | 1,330 | 80,429 | 1,651 | 3 |
|  | Andrew Zettel | FCP | 13,038 | 6,040 | 17,706 | 10,008 | 8,066 | 102,949 | - | 2,128 | 80,429 | 1,353 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,457 | 100 |
| 035 KENORA--RAINY RIVER | Sarah Campbell | NDP | 80,669 | 43,947 | 109,359 | 71,528 | 65,959 | 73,199 | 22,502 | 2,128 | 49,913 | 12,889 | 56 |
|  | Anthony Leek | LIB | 35,804 | 26,144 | 37,387 | 27,727 | 23,536 | 73,199 | 14,017 | 2,128 | 49,913 | 3,652 | 16 |
|  | Timothy McKillop | GPO | 1,319 | 1,319 | 1,319 | 1,319 | 972 | 73,199 | - | 1,330 | 49,913 | 711 | 3 |
|  | Randolph Nickle | PCP | 83,351 | 38,041 | 126,059 | 78,849 | 64,905 | 73,199 | 12,797 | 2,128 | 49,913 | 5,905 | 26 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | NOH | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 73,199 | n/a | 678 | 49,913 | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,157 | 100 |
| 036 KINGSTON AND | Mark Bain | PCP | 89,163 | 36,183 | 91,748 | 58,758 | 52,489 | 124,402 | 10,498 | 2,126 | 97,189 | 10,652 | 21 |
| THE ISLANDS | Mary Rita Holland | NDP | 118,175 | 89,720 | 118,906 | 83,632 | 78,176 | 124,402 | 15,635 | 2,128 | 97,189 | 14,811 | 30 |
|  | Robert Kiley | GPO | 30,327 | 9,380 | 37,082 | 16,195 | 16,083 | 124,402 | - | 2,128 | 97,189 | 3,566 | 7 |
|  | Sophie Kiwala | LIB | 136,059 | 59,440 | 158,605 | 91,702 | 61,808 | 124,402 | 12,362 | 2,128 | 97,189 | 20,838 | 42 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - |  |  | - | - | 124,402 | n/a | 396 | 97,189 | n/a |  |
|  | Jonathan Reid | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 124,402 | - | 277 | 97,189 | 242 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,109 | 100 |
| 037 KITCHENER CENTRE | Patrick Bernier | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 106,459 | - | 1,330 | 83,171 | 557 | 1 |
|  | Margaret Johnston | NDP | 58,077 | 38,629 | 54,636 | 43,959 | 37,925 | 106,459 | 7,472 | 2,128 | 83,171 | 9,765 | 23 |
|  | Ronnie Smith | GPO | 1,510 | 1,510 | 1,510 | 1,501 | 1,435 | 106,459 | - | 1,330 | 83,171 | 2,472 | 6 |
|  | Daiene Vernile | LIB | 157,002 | 82,576 | 153,760 | 92,079 | 74,870 | 106,459 | 14,974 | 2,128 | 83,171 | 18,472 | 43 |
|  | Wayne Wettlaufer | PCP | 166,760 | 72,706 | 170,598 | 125,040 | 105,693 | 106,459 | 21,139 | 2,124 | 83,171 | 11,550 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,816 | 100 |
| 038 KITCHENER-- | Michael Harris | PCP | 166,845 | 105,699 | 212,228 | 151,082 | 117,418 | 121,457 | 23,484 | 2,128 | 94,888 | 17,083 | 36 |
| CONESTOGA | David Schumm | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 121,457 | - | 1,330 | 94,888 | 1,001 | 2 |
|  | James Villeneuve | NDP | 20,583 | 14,084 | 18,553 | 9,804 | 8,876 | 121,457 | 1,775 | 2,128 | 94,888 | 9,958 | 21 |
|  | David Weber | GPO | 1,850 | 1,850 | 1,850 | 1,821 | 1,575 | 121,457 | - | 2,128 | 94,888 | 3,277 | 7 |
|  | Wayne Wright | LIB | 53,627 | 26,695 | 68,695 | 55,063 | 45,435 | 121,457 | 9,087 | 2,128 | 94,888 | 15,664 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,983 | 100 |
| 039 KITCHENER-- | Jamie Burton | LIB | 117,469 | 65,369 | 138,169 | 106,169 | 99,091 | 129,245 | 19,818 | 2,128 | 100,973 | 16,534 | 30 |
| WATERLOO | Stacey Danckert | GPO | 4,218 | 3,418 | 4,519 | 3,719 | 3,719 | 129,245 | , - | 2,128 | 100,973 | 2,859 | 5 |
|  | Catherine Fife | NDP | 167,070 | 96,354 | 210,501 | 133,774 | 122,178 | 129,245 | 24,436 | 2,128 | 100,973 | 20,536 | 37 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | PSN | - | - | - | - | - | 129,245 | n/a | 678 | 100,973 | n/a | - |
|  | James Schulz | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 129,245 | - | 1,330 | 100,973 | 481 |  |
|  | Tracey Weiler | PCP | 197,842 | 96,273 | 197,508 | 123,438 | 108,954 | 129,245 | 21,791 | 2,128 | 100,973 | 14,450 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,860 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040 LAMBTON--KENT-- | James Armstrong | GPO | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| middLesex | Dave Durnin | FRE | 500 | 500 | 500 |  |
|  | Joe Hill | NDP | 42,140 | 29,981 | 43,351 | 30,079 |
|  | Robert Edwin Lewis | NAP | - |  | - | - |
|  | Monte McNaughton | PCP | 134,028 | 63,918 | 184,498 | 101,888 |
|  | Mike Radan | LIB | 32,565 | 11,760 | 52,311 | 31,506 |
|  | Marinus Vander Vloet | FCP | 3,933 | 2,458 | 5,775 | 3,063 |
|  | Matt Willson | LTN | - |  | - |  |
| 041 LANARK--FRONTENAC-- | Randy Hillier | PCP | 97,539 | 47,539 | 111,018 | 61,018 |
| LENNOX AND ADDINGTON | Bill MacDonald | LIB | 43,439 | 16,703 | 70,698 | 44,783 |
|  | Cam Mather | GPO | 6,416 | 1,900 | 8,654 | 3,254 |
|  | David Parkhill | NDP | 17,685 | 14,713 | 21,064 | 18,092 |
| 042 LEEDS--GRENVILLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stephen Bowering | GPO |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steve Clark | PCP | 132,976 | 103,255 | 133,170 | 103,449 |
|  | Harold Gabriel | LTN |  |  |  |  |
|  | David Lundy | NDP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Christine Milks | LIB | 32,220 | 16,664 | 56,550 | 35,746 |
| 043 LONDON--FANSHAWE | Teresa Armstrong | NDP | 78,837 | 56,566 | 70,767 | 46,966 |
|  | Ali Hamadi | IND |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tim Harnick | LTN | - | - | - | - |
|  | Marcel Marcellin | LIB | 104,718 | 33,366 | 152,719 | 86,938 |
|  | Paul McKeever | FRE | - | - | - |  |
|  | Chris Robson | PCP | 74,291 | 16,440 | 118,155 | 67,015 |
|  | William Sorrell | GPO | - |  | - |  |
| 044 LONDON NORTH CENTRE | Nancy Branscombe | PCP | 116,080 | 48,726 | 156,856 | 126,001 |
|  | Judy Bryant | NDP | 68,352 | 43,997 | 73,979 | 49,624 |
|  | Kevin Labonte | GPO | 2,319 | 358 | 3,629 | 1,846 |
|  | Salim Mansur | FRE | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 | - |
|  | Deb Matthews | LIB | 148,313 | 74,682 | 241,866 | 126,768 |
|  | Dave McKee | COM | 799 | - | 799 | 799 |
|  | Michael Spottiswood | PAU | - | - | - |  |
| 045 LONDON WEST | Jeffrey Bennett | PCP | 194,486 | 54,393 | 196,957 | 127,367 |
|  | Al Gretzky | FRE | 1,855 | 1,855 | 2,057 | 507 |
|  | Keith McAlister | GPO | 2,445 | 1,335 | 2,744 | 1,956 |
|  | Peggy Sattler | NDP | 132,254 | 84,949 | 124,964 | 69,814 |
|  | Nick Steinburg | LIB | 83,904 | 11,477 | 152,214 | 69,703 |
| 046 MARKHAM--UNIONVILLE | Michael Chan | LIB | 173,182 | 61,467 | 213,710 | 101,996 |
|  | Nadine Kormos Hawkins | NDP |  |  | 230 |  |
|  | Myles O'Brien | GPO | 7,474 | 3,569 | 8,666 | 666 |
|  | Allen Small | LTN | - |  | - |  |
|  | Shan Thayaparan | PCP | 2,657 | 2,657 | 11,582 | 582 |


| Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 104,549 | - | 1,330 | 81,679 | 2,104 | 5 |
| - | 104,549 | - | 339 | 81,679 | 242 | 1 |
| 28,103 | 104,549 | 5,621 | 2,128 | 81,679 | 12,160 | 27 |
| - | 104,549 | - | 1,243 | 81,679 | 558 | 1 |
| 43,268 | 104,549 | 7,914 | 2,128 | 81,679 | 20,710 | 45 |
| 27,778 | 104,549 | 5,556 | 2,128 | 81,679 | 9,298 | 20 |
| 2,646 | 104,549 |  | 1,271 | 81,679 | 568 | 1 |
| 2,64 | 104,549 | - | 1,330 | 81,679 | 207 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45,847 | 100 |
| 55,742 | 121,183 | 11,148 | 2,034 | 94,674 | 21,966 | 44 |
| 28,733 | 121,183 | 5,747 | 2,128 | 94,674 | 15,037 | 30 |
| 2,641 | 121,183 |  | 2,128 | 94,674 | 3,283 | 7 |
| 15,918 | 121,183 | 3,184 | 2,128 | 94,674 | 10,184 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50,470 | 100 |
| 65,052 | 101,651 |  |  | 79,415 | 2,030 | 5 |
|  | 101,651 | 13,010 | 2,128 | 79,415 | 23,253 | 56 |
|  | 101,651 |  |  | 79,415 | 471 | 1 |
|  | 101,651 |  |  | 79,415 | 7,219 | 17 |
| 28,593 | 101,651 | 5,719 | 2,128 | 79,415 | 8,499 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 41,472 | 100 |
| 43,539 | 99,232 | 8,708 | 2,128 | 77,525 | 17,903 | 50 |
|  | 99,232 |  |  | 77,525 | 112 | 0 |
| - | 99,232 |  | 1,330 | 77,525 | 386 | 1 |
| 73,945 | 99,232 | 14,789 | 2,128 | 77,525 | 7,066 | 20 |
| - | 99,232 | - | 554 | 77,525 | 467 | 1 |
| 31,828 | 99,232 | 6,366 | 2,121 | 77,525 | 8,196 | 23 |
|  | 99,232 | - | 1,330 | 77,525 | 1,378 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 35,508 | 100 |
| $81,752$ | 117,756 | 16,350 | 2,128 | 91,997 | 12,016 | 26 |
| $1,698$ | 117,756 | 9,202 | 2,128 | 91,997 | 13,853 | 30 |
|  | 117,756 |  | 2,128 | 91,997 | 2,445 | 5 |
|  | 117,756 |  | 339 | 91,997 | 639 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 89,150 \\ 799 \end{array}$ | 117,756 | 17,830 | 2,128 | 91,997 | 16,379 | 36 |
|  | 117,756 |  | 763 | 91,997 | 115 | 0 |
| - | 117,756 | - | 1,356 | 91,997 | 70 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45,517 | 100 |
| 103,210 | 127,324 | 20,642 | 2,128 | 99,472 | 16,295 | 30 |
| 484 | 127,324 | - | 1,083 | 99,472 | 1,188 | 2 |
| 1,907 | 127,324 | - | 2,128 | 99,472 | 2,310 | 4 |
| 64,849 | 127,324 | 12,970 | 2,128 | 99,472 | 22,243 | 40 |
| 64,84957,783 | 127,324 | 11,557 | 2,128 | 99,472 | 13,070 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 55,106 | 100 |
| 69,780 | 122,070 | 13,956 | 2,128 | 95,367 | 21,517 | 51 |
|  | 122,070 |  | 798 | 95,367 | 4,205 | 10 |
| 666 | 122,070 |  | 2,128 | 95,367 | 1,509 | 4 |
|  | 122,070 |  | 1,330 | 95,367 | 444 | 1 |
| - | 122,070 | 8,861 | 2,128 | 95,367 | 14,241 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 41,916 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | Pct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 047 MISSISSAUGA-- | Kathy Acheson | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 129,295 | - | 1,330 | 101,012 | 1,302 | 3 |
| BRAMPTON SOUTH | Robert Alilovic | IND | - | - | - | - | - | 129,295 | - | 1,330 | 101,012 | 351 | 1 |
|  | Amarjeet Gill | PCP | 28,569 | 21,761 | 66,214 | 61,906 | 52,691 | 129,295 | 10,538 | 2,128 | 101,012 | 11,251 | 27 |
|  | Richard Levesque | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 129,295 | - | 1,330 | 101,012 | 993 | 2 |
|  | Amrit Mangat | LIB | 155,607 | 29,752 | 230,342 | 104,487 | 98,856 | 129,295 | 19,771 | 2,128 | 101,012 | 19,923 | 48 |
|  | Kevin Troake | NDP | 6,815 | 6,815 | 6,815 | 5,960 | 4,710 | 129,295 | 942 | 2,128 | 101,012 | 6,906 | 17 |
|  | Kathleen Vezina | NAP | - | - | - | - | - | 129,295 | - | 1,243 | 101,012 | 597 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,323 | 100 |
| 048 MISSISSAUGA EAST-- | Dolly Catena | EPP | - | - | - | - | - | 118,280 | - | 284 | 92,406 | 234 | 1 |
| COOKSVILLE | Zoran Churchin | PCP | 76,715 | 22,461 | 90,466 | 75,712 | 70,479 | 118,280 | 14,096 | 2,128 | 92,406 | 10,479 | 26 |
|  | Dipika Damerla | LIB | 115,134 | 42,136 | 188,554 | 113,866 | 97,048 | 118,280 | 19,410 | 2,128 | 92,406 | 20,934 | 52 |
|  | Levko Iwanusiw | LTN |  |  | - |  |  | 118,280 |  | 1,330 | 92,406 | 788 | 2 |
|  | Fayaz Karim | NDP | 14,726 | 12,347 | 13,047 | 9,813 | 9,058 | 118,280 | 1,812 | 2,128 | 92,406 | 6,158 | 15 |
|  | Linh Nguyen | GPO | - |  | - | - |  | 118,280 |  | 798 | 92,406 | 1,408 | 4 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - | - | - | - | - | 118,280 | n/a | 744 | 92,406 | n/a | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,001 | 100 |
| 049 MISSISSAUGA-- | Michelle Bilek | NDP | 12,906 | 7,124 | 17,327 | 11,545 | 10,428 | 142,963 | - | 2,128 | 111,690 | 7,730 | 15 |
| ERINDALE | Vivek Gupta | GPO | 1,028 | 1,028 | 1,028 | 1,028 | 1,028 | 142,963 | - | 1,330 | 111,690 | 1,216 | 2 |
|  | Chris Jewell | LTN | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | - | 142,963 | - | 1,330 | 111,690 | 873 | 2 |
|  | Nabila Kiyani | FCP | 1,025 | 1,025 | 1,025 | 1,025 | 990 | 142,963 | - | 746 | 111,690 | 474 | 1 |
|  | Harinder Takhar | LIB | 95,361 | 13,246 | 158,234 | 76,086 | 70,173 | 142,963 | 14,035 | 2,128 | 111,690 | 25,356 | 49 |
|  | Greg Vezina | NAP |  |  |  |  |  | 142,963 |  | 1,243 | 111,690 | 641 | 1 |
|  | Jeff White | PCP | 104,932 | 26,210 | 137,340 | 122,840 | 105,535 | 142,963 | 21,107 | 2,128 | 111,690 | 15,474 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,764 | 100 |
| 050 MISSISSAUGA SOUTH | Lloyd Jones | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 105,576 | - | 1,330 | 82,481 | 1,418 | 3 |
|  | James Judson | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 105,576 | - | 1,330 | 82,481 | 355 | 1 |
|  | Boris Rosolak | NDP | 11,924 | 8,630 | 10,250 | 6,956 | 5,889 | 105,576 | - | 2,128 | 82,481 | 4,649 | 11 |
|  | Charles Sousa | LIB | 159,163 | 73,571 | 231,247 | 137,401 | 99,182 | 105,576 | 19,836 | 2,128 | 82,481 | 22,192 | 51 |
|  | Effie Triantafilopoulos | PCP | 261,788 | 80,218 | 282,497 | 105,749 | 77,411 | 105,576 | 15,482 | 2,128 | 82,481 | 14,514 | 33 |
|  | Andrew Weber | NAP | - | - | - | - | - | 105,576 | - | 1,243 | 82,481 | 591 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,719 | 100 |
| 051 MISSISSAUGA-- | Bob Delaney | LIB | 77,586 | 41,499 | 130,729 | 94,642 | 70,907 | 118,959 | 14,181 | 2,074 | 92,937 | 22,587 | 53 |
| STREETSVILLE | Anju Sikka | NDP | 26,766 | 23,216 | 24,101 | 20,551 | 19,963 | 118,959 | - | 2,128 | 92,937 | 5,885 | 14 |
|  | Nina Tangri | PCP | 33,755 | 17,275 | 110,024 | 93,544 | 87,010 | 118,959 | 17,402 | 2,128 | 92,937 | 12,060 | 28 |
|  | Alexander Vezina | NAP | - | - | - | - | - | 118,959 | - | 1,243 | 92,937 | 524 | 1 |
|  | Dave Walach | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 118,959 | - | 1,330 | 92,937 | 342 |  |
|  | Scott Warner | GPO | 120 | 30 | 120 | 96 | 6 | 118,959 | - | 1,330 | 92,937 | 1,566 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,964 | 100 |
| 052 NEPEAN--CARLETON | Coreen Corcoran | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 154,470 | - | 1,330 | 120,680 | 940 | 1 |
|  | Ric Dagenais | NDP | 18,967 | 12,933 | 17,129 | 8,495 | 7,545 | 154,470 | - | 2,128 | 120,680 | 8,628 | 13 |
|  | Gordon Kubanek | GPO |  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 154,470 | - | 2,128 | 120,680 | 3,630 | 5 |
|  | Lisa MacLeod | PCP | 165,205 | 101,597 | 214,996 | 160,138 | 88,568 | 154,470 | 17,714 | 2,128 | 120,680 | 30,901 | 47 |
|  | Jack Uppal | LIB | 52,263 | 41,594 | 64,100 | 55,300 | 37,516 | 154,470 | 7,482 | 2,128 | 120,680 | 21,974 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66,073 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 053 NEWMARKET--AURORA | Chris Ballard | LIB | 50,123 | 30,373 | 81,437 | 62,437 |
|  | Dorian Baxter | CCP | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 |
|  | Angus Duff | NDP | 5,166 | 2,250 | 7,854 | 4,888 |
|  | Jason Jenkins | LTN | - |  | - | - |
|  | Andrew Roblin | GPO | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Jane Twinney | PCP | 125,044 | 45,277 | 157,189 | 124,672 |
|  | Robert Yaciuk | TRI | - |  | - |  |
| 054 NIAGARA FALLS | John Beam | NAP | - | - | - | - |
|  | Clarke Bitter | GPO |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wayne Gates | NDP | 151,133 | 99,327 | 156,539 | 114,663 |
|  | Bart Maves | PCP | 232,808 | 108,445 | 232,728 | 130,102 |
|  | Ralph Panucci | LTN | - |  | - | - |
|  | Lionel Tupman | LIB | 22,020 | 7,791 | 38,783 | 37,554 |
| 055 NIAGARA WEST-GLANBROOK | Tim Hudak | PCP | 114,278 | 42,080 | 162,507 | 80,259 |
|  | Stefanos Karatopis | LTN | - | - | - | - |
|  | Basia Krzyzanowski | GPO | 1,439 | 1,175 | 4,553 | 904 |
|  | Brian McCormack | NDP | 16,209 | 12,148 | 12,976 | 8,764 |
|  | David Mossey | LIB | 29,683 | 16,939 | 36,985 | 24,242 |
|  | Geoff Peacock | FRE | - |  | - |  |
|  | Marty Poos | WTH | - | - | - | - |
| 056 NICKEL BELT | Marck Blay | PCP | 35,787 | 9,078 | 43,879 | 14,670 |
|  | Heather K Dahlstrom | GPO | 1,693 | 633 | 1,674 | 1,630 |
|  | France Gélinas | NDP | 137,857 | 79,981 | 124,599 | 62,733 |
|  | Adam Hyde | WTH | - | - | - |  |
|  | James Tregonning | LIB | 26,607 | 14,869 | 31,257 | 24,519 |
| 057 NIPISSING | Patrick Clement | IND | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
|  | Derek Elliott | LTN | 200 |  | 200 | 200 |
|  | Victor Fedeli | PCP | 364,710 | 317,710 | 223,617 | 133,117 |
|  | Henri Giroux | NDP | 35,340 | 23,018 | 41,903 | 27,396 |
|  | Nicole Peltier | GPO | 3,319 | 1,276 | 2,298 | 255 |
|  | Catherine Whiting | LIB | 33,894 | 7,583 | 44,191 | 23,440 |
| 058 NORTHUMBERLAND-QUINTE WEST | Gudrun Ludorf-Weaver | GPO | 1,129 |  | 2,001 | 872 |
|  | Kira Mees | NDP | 19,959 | 16,373 | 12,572 | 8,986 |
|  | Rob Milligan | PCP | 122,439 | 48,386 | 122,343 | 103,290 |
|  | Lou Rinaldi | LIB | 195,537 | 115,394 | 204,869 | 117,978 |
| 059 OAK RIDGES--MARKHAM | Karl Boelling | LTN | - | - | - |  |
|  | Helena Jaczek | LIB | 153,839 | 65,567 | 219,485 | 133,038 |
|  | Miles Krauter | NDP | 8,883 | 5,929 | 8,476 | 5,522 |
|  | Emilia Melara | GPO | - | - | - | - |
|  | Gennady Vilensky | TRI | - | - | - | - |
|  | Farid Wassef | PCP | 190,908 | 74,328 | 253,119 | 182,745 |


| Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34,542 | 127,244 | 6,908 | 2,128 | 99,409 | 22,997 | 44 |
| 2,064 | 127,244 | - | 1,330 | 99,409 | 922 | 2 |
| 4,253 | 127,244 | - | 2,128 | 99,409 | 6,023 | 12 |
| - | 127,244 | - | 1,330 | 99,409 | 579 | 1 |
| 100 | 127,244 |  | 1,330 | 99,409 | 2,144 | 4 |
| 81,402 | 127,244 | 16,280 | 2,128 | 99,409 | 19,585 | 37 |
| - | 127,244 | - | 1,330 | 99,409 | 83 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 52,333 | 100 |
| - | 128,896 | - | 1,243 | 100,700 | 478 | 1 |
|  | 128,896 |  |  | 100,700 | 1,724 | 3 |
| 99,930 | 128,896 | 19,986 | 2,128 | 100,700 | 24,131 | 47 |
| 117,514 | 128,896 | 25,779 | 2,128 | 100,700 | 16,702 | 33 |
|  | 128,896 |  | 1,330 | 100,700 | 559 | 1 |
| 32,200 | 128,896 | - | 2,128 | 100,700 | 7,329 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50,923 | 100 |
| 40,229 | 124,419 | 8,046 | 2,128 | 97,202 | 23,378 | 42 |
| - | 124,419 | - | 1,330 | 97,202 | 970 | 2 |
| 543 | 124,419 | - | 2,128 | 97,202 | 3,004 | 5 |
| 7,019 | 124,419 | 1,404 | 2,128 | 97,202 | 12,423 | 22 |
| 15,785 | 124,419 | 3,151 | 2,128 | 97,202 | 15,843 | 28 |
| - | 124,419 | - | 554 | 97,202 | 284 | 1 |
| - | 124,419 | - | 1,330 | 97,202 | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 55,902 | 100 |
| 12,658 | 92,395 | - | 2,098 | 64,910 | 3,827 | 12 |
| 1,557 | 92,395 | - | 2,128 | 64,910 | 1,145 | 4 |
| 59,181 | 92,395 | 21,146 | 2,128 | 64,910 | 20,104 | 63 |
| - | 92,395 | - | 1,330 | 64,910 | n/a | - |
| 21,124 | 92,395 | 13,535 | 2,128 | 64,910 | 7,031 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 32,107 | 100 |
| 14 | 77,340 | - | 1,328 | 60,422 | 208 | 1 |
| - | 77,340 | - | 1,330 | 60,422 | 377 | 1 |
| 68,986 | 77,340 | 13,797 | 2,128 | 60,422 | 13,085 | 42 |
| 25,285 | 77,340 | 5,057 | 2,128 | 60,422 | 8,057 | 26 |
| 227 | 77,340 |  | 2,128 | 60,422 | 1,188 | 4 |
| 19,620 | 77,340 | 3,924 | 2,128 | 60,422 | 8,382 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 31,297 | 100 |
| 531 | 126,650 |  | 2,128 | 98,945 | 2,283 | 4 |
| 8,083 | 126,650 | 1,617 | 2,128 | 98,945 | 9,211 | 17 |
| 97,130 | 126,650 | 19,426 | 2,098 | 98,945 | 19,583 | 36 |
| 107,021 | 126,650 | 21,404 | 2,128 | 98,945 | 23,419 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 54,496 | 100 |
| - | 226,888 | - | 1,330 | 177,256 | 1,358 | 2 |
| 118,547 | 226,888 | 23,709 | 2,128 | 177,256 | 36,782 | 46 |
| 4,569 | 226,888 | - | 2,128 | 177,256 | 9,355 | 12 |
|  | 226,888 | - | 1,330 | 177,256 | 2,791 | 3 |
|  | 226,888 | - | 1,330 | 177,256 | 213 | 0 |
| 173,538 | 226,888 | 34,708 | 2,128 | 177,256 | 30,256 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 80,755 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expense } \\ \text { limit } \end{gathered}$ | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 060 OAKVILLE | Andrew Chlobowski | GPO | 665 | 665 | 607 | 607 | 607 | 115,208 | - | 1,330 | 90,006 | 1,887 | 4 |
|  | David Clement | LTN | 617 | 617 | 617 | 617 | 483 | 115,208 | - | 1,330 | 90,006 | 386 | 1 |
|  | Kevin Flynn | LIB | 106,011 | 45,886 | 159,028 | 98,903 | 56,652 | 115,208 | 11,330 | 2,128 | 90,006 | 24,717 | 49 |
|  | Che Marville | NDP | 5,197 | 5,197 | 7,226 | 6,577 | 5,529 | 115,208 |  | 2,128 | 90,006 | 3,994 | 8 |
|  | Larry Scott | PCP | 119,773 | 41,471 | 133,135 | 124,626 | 113,636 | 115,208 | 22,727 | 2,128 | 90,006 | 18,921 | 38 |
|  | Silvio Ursomarzo | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 115,208 | - | 277 | 90,006 | 132 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,037 | 100 |
| 061 OSHAWA | Jennifer French | NDP | 62,177 | 57,539 | 66,592 | 60,854 | 54,781 | 123,077 | 10,956 | 2,128 | 96,154 | 22,232 | 47 |
|  | Jerry Ouellette | PCP | 64,344 | 30,225 | 94,491 | 61,491 | 54,562 | 123,077 | 10,912 | 2,128 | 96,154 | 14,540 | 31 |
|  | Esrick Quintyn | LIB | 12,962 | 4,962 | 20,081 | 17,081 | 12,664 | 123,077 | 2,533 | 2,128 | 96,154 | 9,051 | 19 |
|  | Becky Smit | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 123,077 | - | 1,330 | 96,154 | 1,785 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,608 | 100 |
| 062 OtTAWA CENTRE | Robert Dekker | PCP | 80,150 | 11,410 | 77,484 | 63,744 | 58,940 | 121,379 | 11,788 | 2,128 | 94,827 | 9,678 | 18 |
|  | Bruce Faulkner | LTN | - |  | - |  | - | 121,379 | - | 1,330 | 94,827 | 525 | 1 |
|  | Jennifer McKenzie | NDP | 82,055 | 65,306 | 86,174 | 67,825 | 63,117 | 121,379 | 12,623 | 2,128 | 94,827 | 10,894 | 20 |
|  | Yasir Naqvi | LIB | 172,316 | 77,097 | 267,350 | 147,131 | 117,848 | 121,379 | 23,570 | 2,128 | 94,827 | 27,689 | 52 |
|  | Kevin O'Donnell | GPO | 14,912 | 5,114 | 14,866 | 4,263 | 4,171 | 121,379 |  | 2,128 | 94,827 | 4,163 | 8 |
|  | Larry Wasslen | COM | 648 |  | 648 | 648 | 648 | 121,379 | - | 1,219 | 94,827 | 283 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53,232 | 100 |
| 063 OtTAWA--ORLÉANS | Bob Bell | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 121,938 | - | 1,330 | 95,264 | 2,036 | 4 |
|  | Gerald Bourdeau | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 121,938 | - | 1,330 | 95,264 | 411 | 1 |
|  | Marie-France Lalonde | LIB | 168,049 | 99,198 | 137,443 | 106,408 | 90,947 | 121,938 | 18,189 | 2,128 | 95,264 | 29,911 | 54 |
|  | Andrew Lister | PCP | 173,374 | 31,289 | 212,126 | 146,929 | 100,449 | 121,938 | 20,089 | 2,128 | 95,264 | 18,525 | 33 |
|  | Prosper M'Bemba-Meka | NDP | 5,614 | 5,614 | 2,678 | 2,678 | 2,281 | 121,938 | - | 2,128 | 95,264 | 5,022 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,905 | 100 |
| 064 OTTAWA SOUTH | Jean-Serge Brisson |  | - | - | - | - | - | 114,136 | - | 1,330 | 89,169 | 273 | 1 |
|  | John Fraser | LIB | 154,288 | 97,694 | 187,994 | 132,400 | 92,940 | 114,136 | 18,588 | 2,128 | 89,169 | 23,708 | 50 |
|  | Bronwy Funiciello | NDP | 30,941 | 11,218 | 36,697 | 16,974 | 16,560 | 114,136 | - | 2,128 | 89,169 | 5,817 | 12 |
|  | Matt Lakatos-Hayward | GPO | 1,550 | 750 | 2,088 | 1,288 | 1,288 | 114,136 | - | 2,128 | 89,169 | 2,034 | 4 |
|  | Espoir Manirambona | COM | 465 | - | 465 | 465 | 465 | 114,136 | - | 763 | 89,169 | 139 | 0 |
|  | David McGruer | WTH | - | - | - | - | - | 114,136 | - | 277 | 89,169 | n/a | - |
|  | No CANDIDATE | FCP | - | - | 8 | 8 | 8 | 114,136 | n/a | 452 | 89,169 | n/a | - |
|  | John Redins | PSN | - | - | - | - | - | 114,136 | - | 1,356 | 89,169 | 244 | 1 |
|  | Matt Young | PCP | 140,542 | 87,494 | 166,801 | 123,531 | 103,788 | 114,136 | 20,757 | 2,128 | 89,169 | 15,235 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,450 | 100 |
| 065 OTTAWA--VANIER | David Bagler | GPO | 15,211 | 12,578 | 11,552 | 8,918 | 8,261 | 104,278 | - | 2,128 | 81,467 | 3,144 | 8 |
|  | Martin Forget | PCP | 45,414 | 15,873 | 63,584 | 39,043 | 35,984 | 104,278 | 7,197 | 2,128 | 81,467 | 8,750 | 22 |
|  | Madeleine Meilleur | LIB | 90,019 | 58,153 | 110,394 | 48,528 | 43,293 | 104,278 | 8,659 | 2,128 | 81,467 | 21,810 | 56 |
|  | Herve Ngamby | NDP | 25,960 | 20,848 | 23,582 | 18,469 | 15,613 | 104,278 | - | 2,128 | 81,467 | 5,228 | 13 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - |  | - | - | - | 104,278 | n/a | 509 | 81,467 | n/a | - |
|  | Phillip Richard | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 104,278 | - | 1,330 | 81,467 | 329 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39,261 | 100 |
| 066 Ottawa west--NEPEAN | Matthew Brooks | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 108,964 | - | 1,330 | 85,128 | 318 | 1 |
|  | Bob Chiarelli | LIB | 384,546 | 264,510 | 352,262 | 204,248 | 106,787 | 108,964 | 21,357 | 2,128 | 85,128 | 21,035 | 45 |
|  | Alex Cullen | NDP | 43,450 | 36,950 | 31,090 | 21,818 | 20,919 | 108,964 | - | 2,128 | 85,128 | 6,760 | 14 |
|  | Randall Denley | PCP | 245,893 | 152,615 | 157,747 | 114,635 | 99,528 | 108,964 | 19,906 | 2,128 | 85,128 | 15,895 | 34 |
|  | Alex Hill | GPO | 8,678 | 5,322 | 8,520 | 5,331 | 5,156 | 108,964 | - | 2,128 | 85,128 | 2,899 | , |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - |  | - | - | - | 108,964 | n/a | 744 | 85,128 | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,907 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expense } \\ & \text { limit } \end{aligned}$ | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 067 OXFORD | Michael Farlow | GPO |  |  | - |  |  | 102,909 |  | 1,330 | 80,398 | 1,985 | 5 |
|  | Ernie Hardeman | PCP | 105,745 | 44,575 | 137,886 | 61,716 | 52,319 | 102,909 | 10,464 | 2,128 | 80,398 | 18,958 | 46 |
|  | Tim Hodges | FRE | 200 | 200 | 200 |  | - | 102,909 |  | 339 | 80,398 | 384 | 1 |
|  | Daniel Moulton | LIB | 49,895 | 31,529 | 56,841 | 48,341 | 33,886 | 102,909 | 6,777 | 2,128 | 80,398 | 8,736 | 21 |
|  | Bryan Smith | NDP | 23,001 | 19,399 | 18,697 | 15,095 | 13,882 | 102,909 | 2,776 | 2,128 | 80,398 | 10,573 | 26 |
|  | Devin Wright | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 102,909 | - | 1,330 | 80,398 | 365 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,001 | 100 |
| 068 PARKDALE--HIGH PARK | Cheri Dinovo | NDP | 134,081 | 111,242 | 133,440 | 106,308 | 92,823 | 102,561 | 18,565 | 2,128 | 80,126 | 18,385 | 41 |
|  | Jamie Ellerton | PCP | 123,739 | 83,546 | 65,428 | 37,711 | 32,355 | 102,561 | - | 2,128 | 80,126 | 5,787 | 13 |
|  | Nancy Leblanc | LIB | 118,283 | 84,505 | 90,312 | 78,120 | 70,080 | 102,561 | 14,016 | 2,128 | 80,126 | 17,841 | 40 |
|  | Melanie Motz | FRE | - |  | - |  |  | 102,561 |  | 277 | 80,126 | 105 | 0 |
|  | Timothy Rudkins | GPO | 1,944 | 1,860 | 1,960 | 1,960 | 1,960 | 102,561 | - | 2,128 | 80,126 | 2,479 | 6 |
|  | Matthew Vezina | NAP | - | - | - | - | - | 102,561 | - | 1,243 | 80,126 | 305 | 1 |
|  | Redmond Weissenberger | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 102,561 | - | 2,128 | 80,126 | 191 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45,093 | 100 |
| 069 PARRY SOUND-MUSKOKA | Norm Miller | PCP | 107,264 | 51,009 | 143,448 | 69,693 | 46,301 | 96,198 | 9,260 | 2,128 | 75,155 | 15,761 | 41 |
|  | Clyde Mobbley | NDP | 3,939 | 3,422 | 4,532 | 132 |  | 96,198 |  | 798 | 75,155 | 4,999 | 13 |
|  | Matt Richter | GPO | 24,787 | 10,306 | 30,891 | 25,683 | 23,846 | 96,198 | 4,769 | 2,128 | 75,155 | 7,484 | 19 |
|  | Andy Stivrins | FRE | - |  |  |  | - | 96,198 |  | 277 | 75,155 | 296 | 1 |
|  | Dan Waters | LIB | 10,639 | 5,483 | 15,312 | 13,612 | 12,293 | 96,198 | 2,459 | 2,128 | 75,155 | 10,158 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38,698 | 100 |
| 070 PERTH--WELLINGTON | Chris Desjardins | GPO | 2,100 | 1,600 | 2,169 | 2,144 | 1,174 | 95,890 | - | 2,128 | 74,914 | 2,005 | 5 |
|  | Irma Devries | FCP | 3,059 | 3,059 | 2,687 | 2,053 | - | 95,890 | - | 2,119 | 74,914 | 746 | 2 |
|  | Scott Marshall | LTN | 436 | 436 | 436 | 436 | 436 | 95,890 | - | 1,330 | 74,914 | 411 | 1 |
|  | Matthew Murphy | IND | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 95,890 | - | 1,243 | 74,914 | 343 | 1 |
|  | Randy Pettapiece | PCP | 129,967 | 65,588 | 150,836 | 86,457 | 78,951 | 95,890 | 15,790 | 2,128 | 74,914 | 15,992 | 39 |
|  | Marie Roth | WTH | - | - | - | - | - | 95,890 | - | 283 | 74,914 | n/a | - |
|  | Stewart Skinner | LIB | 87,916 | 38,745 | 127,079 | 84,723 | 68,955 | 95,890 | 13,791 | 2,128 | 74,914 | 13,585 | 33 |
|  | Rob Smeenk | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 95,890 | - | 277 | 74,914 | 202 | 0 |
|  | Romayne Smith Fullerton | NDP | 47,416 | 37,038 | 45,507 | 34,060 | 27,768 | 95,890 | 5,554 | 2,128 | 74,914 | 7,764 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,048 | 100 |
| 071 PETERBOROUGH | Gary Beamish | GPO | 2,658 | 2,434 | 2,052 | 1,828 | 1,793 | 120,536 | - | 2,128 | 94,169 | 2,287 | 4 |
|  | Gerard Faux | PAU | - |  | - |  | - | 120,536 | - | 1,356 | 94,169 | 52 | 0 |
|  | Jeff Leal | LIB | 126,950 | 72,177 | 148,555 | 91,855 | 54,753 | 120,536 | 10,951 | 2,128 | 94,169 | 24,709 | 46 |
|  | Brian Martindale | IND | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 120,536 | - | 565 | 94,169 | 395 | 1 |
|  | Wayne Matheson | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 120,536 | - | 277 | 94,169 | 121 | 0 |
|  | Andrea Quiano | SPO |  |  |  |  |  | 120,536 |  |  | 94,169 | 132 | 0 |
|  | Gary Scott Stewart | PCP | 220,500 | 97,638 | 193,387 | 110,392 | 101,850 | 120,536 | 20,370 | 2,128 | 94,169 | 15,907 | 30 |
|  | Sheila Wood | NDP | 34,650 | 23,360 | 46,102 | 33,812 | 31,509 | 120,536 | 6,302 | 2,128 | 94,169 | 9,728 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53,331 | 100 |
| 072 PICKERING-- | Kevin Gaudet | PCP | 187,760 | 81,445 | 211,774 | 111,299 | 95,686 | 105,624 | 19,137 | 2,128 | 82,519 | 12,638 | 28 |
| SCARBOROUGH EAST | Eileen Higdon | NDP | 9,611 | 9,611 | 17,288 | 16,228 | 11,701 | 105,624 | - | 2,128 | 82,519 | 6,600 | 15 |
|  | Scott Hoefig | LTN | - |  |  |  |  | 105,624 | - | 1,330 | 82,519 | 463 | 1 |
|  | Tracy MacCharles | LIB | 100,240 | 61,264 | 119,270 | 72,794 | 65,230 | 105,624 | 13,046 | 2,128 | 82,519 | 23,206 | 52 |
|  | Anthony Navarro | GPO | 2,445 | 795 | 3,303 | 1,653 | 1,635 | 105,624 | - | 2,128 | 82,519 | 1,564 | 4 |
|  | Matthew Oliver | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 105,624 | - | 277 | 82,519 | 191 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44,662 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 073 PRINCE EDWARD-- | Lindsay Forbes | LTN |  |  |  |  |  | 115,692 |  |  | 90,384 | 555 | 1 |
| HASTINGS | Anita Payne | GPO | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 187 | 115,692 | - | 1,330 | 90,384 | 2,448 | 5 |
|  | Todd Smith | PCP | 90,138 | 40,138 | 102,927 | 77,863 | 72,085 | 115,692 | 14,417 | 2,128 | 90,384 | 19,281 | 42 |
|  | Merrill Stewart | NDP | 25,330 | 18,180 | 23,131 | 15,981 | 15,149 | 115,692 | 3,030 | 2,128 | 90,384 | 8,829 | 19 |
|  | Georgina Thompson | LIB | 59,511 | 16,032 | 101,206 | 62,970 | 56,975 | 115,692 | 11,395 | 2,128 | 90,384 | 15,105 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,218 | 100 |
| 074 RENFREW--NIPISSING-- | Chad Beckwith-Smith | IND |  |  |  |  |  | 98,506 |  |  | 76,958 | 392 | 1 |
| PEMBROKE | Roderic Boileau | LIB | 3,969 | 1,300 | 2,898 | 2,898 | 2,825 | 98,506 | 565 | 1,921 | 76,958 | 7,897 | 19 |
|  | Brian Dougherty | NDP | 21,950 | 15,597 | 18,399 | 12,046 | 11,697 | 98,506 | - | 2,128 | 76,958 | 5,978 | 14 |
|  | Murray Reid | COR | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 746 | 98,506 | - | 1,526 | 76,958 | 489 | 1 |
|  | Benjamin Wright | GPO |  |  |  |  | - | 98,506 | - | 1,330 | 76,958 | 1,337 | 3 |
|  | John Yakabuski | PCP | 132,058 | 98,226 | 94,619 | 58,287 | 31,085 | 98,506 | 6,217 | 2,128 | 76,958 | 25,241 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,334 | 100 |
| 075 RICHMOND HILL | Igor Bily | LTN | - | - |  | - | - | 121,571 | - | 1,330 | 94,977 | 510 | 1 |
|  | Adam DeVita | NDP | 11,647 | 9,257 | 11,099 | 8,509 | 8,174 | 121,571 | - | 2,128 | 94,977 | 4,697 | 11 |
|  | Yuri Duboisky | MOD | - |  |  |  | - | 121,571 | - | 1,330 | 94,977 | 160 | 0 |
|  | Vic Gupta | PCP | 148,489 | 33,840 | 197,328 | 129,299 | 116,223 | 121,571 | 23,245 | 2,128 | 94,977 | 15,642 | 37 |
|  | David Horsak | WTH | - |  |  |  | - | 121,571 | - | 1,330 | 94,977 | n/a | - |
|  | Rachael Lave | GPO | - |  | 708 | 8 | 8 | 121,571 |  | 798 | 94,977 | 1,344 | 3 |
|  | Reza Moridi | LIB | 128,996 | 39,602 | 245,697 | 140,357 | 110,000 | 121,571 | 22,000 | 2,128 | 94,977 | 20,455 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,808 | 100 |
| 076 ST. CATHARINES | James Bradley | LIB | 193,423 | 58,168 | 254,607 | 100,864 | 65,004 | 110,335 | 13,001 | 2,128 | 86,199 | 19,070 | 41 |
|  | Nick Dushko | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 110,335 | - | 1,330 | 86,199 | 223 | 0 |
|  | Karen Fraser | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 110,335 | - | 1,330 | 86,199 | 1,792 | 4 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - | - |  | - | - | 110,335 | n/a | 396 | 86,199 | n/a | - |
|  | Mathew Siscoe | PCP | 156,229 | 60,030 | 120,719 | 101,121 | 91,969 | 110,335 | 18,394 | 2,128 | 86,199 | 13,814 | 30 |
|  | Jennifer (Jennie) Stevens | NDP | 20,791 | 18,756 | 14,968 | 12,933 | 11,903 | 110,335 | 2,381 | 2,128 | 86,199 | 11,350 | 24 |
|  | Dave Unrau | FRE | - | - |  | - | - | 110,335 | - | 277 | 86,199 | 170 | 0 |
|  | Saleh Waziruddin | COM | 676 | - | 676 | 676 | 676 | 110,335 | - | 763 | 86,199 | 95 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,514 | 100 |
| 077 ST. PAUL'S | Justine Deluce | PCP | 166,381 | 157,037 | 142,712 | 133,427 | 112,194 | 113,804 | 22,439 | 2,128 | 88,909 | 12,037 | 24 |
|  | Eric Hoskins | LIB | 166,873 | 115,427 | 174,393 | 102,087 | 81,206 | 113,804 | 16,241 | 2,128 | 88,909 | 30,027 | 60 |
|  | John Kittredge | LTN | - |  |  | - | - | 113,804 | - | 1,330 | 88,909 | 407 | 1 |
|  | Josh Rachlis | GPO | 672 | 100 | 1,028 | 576 | 576 | 113,804 | - | 2,128 | 88,909 | 2,569 | 5 |
|  | Mike Rita | FRE | - |  |  | - | - | 113,804 | - | 554 | 88,909 | 165 | 0 |
|  | Luke Savage | NDP | 5,506 | 4,018 | 4,644 | 1,919 | 1,712 | 113,804 | - | 2,128 | 88,909 | 5,056 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,261 | 100 |
| 078 SARNIA--LAMBTON | Bob Bailey | PCP | 97,845 | 27,255 | 140,546 | 69,956 | 41,293 | 103,259 | 8,259 | 2,128 | 80,671 | 18,722 | 41 |
|  | Andrew Falby | LTN | - | - |  | - | - | 103,259 | - | 1,330 | 80,671 | 340 | 1 |
|  | Anne Marie Gillis | LIB | 35,670 | 26,130 | 45,781 | 37,477 | 33,878 | 103,259 | 7,524 | 2,128 | 80,671 | 8,152 | 18 |
|  | No CANDIDATE | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 103,259 | n/a | 277 | 80,671 | n/a | - |
|  | Kevin Shaw | GPO | 3,976 | 3,976 | 3,976 | 3,931 | 3,212 | 103,259 | - | 1,330 | 80,671 | 2,109 | 5 |
|  | Brian White | NDP | 32,902 | 20,972 | 45,037 | 33,107 | 30,332 | 103,259 | 6,066 | 2,128 | 80,671 | 16,327 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45,650 | 100 |
| 079 SAULT STE. MARIE | Kara Flannigan | GPO | - | - | 12 | 12 | 12 | 76,013 | - | 2,128 | 59,385 | 965 | 3 |
|  | Roderick (Rod) Fremlin | PCP | 7,110 | 7,110 | 7,339 | 7,339 | 7,163 | 76,013 | - | 2,128 | 59,385 | 3,704 | 12 |
|  | David Orazietti | LIB | 83,193 | 52,458 | 106,189 | 76,765 | 72,704 | 76,013 | 14,541 | 2,128 | 59,385 | 17,490 | 59 |
|  | Celia Ross | NDP | 58,322 | 34,353 | 76,754 | 52,785 | 50,429 | 76,013 | 10,086 | 2,128 | 59,385 | 7,610 | 25 |
|  | Austin Williams | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 76,013 | - | 1,330 | 59,385 | 115 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,884 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 080 SCARBOROUGH-- | Liang Hsuan Chen | PCP | 80,577 | 14,456 | 146,944 | 83,323 | 70,584 | 97,983 | 14,117 | 2,128 | 76,549 | 12,041 | 35 |
| AGINCOURT | Kevin Clarke | PEO | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 97,983 | - | 2,128 | 76,549 | 387 | 1 |
|  | Pauline Thompson | GPO |  |  |  |  |  | 97,983 |  | 1,330 | 76,549 | 907 | 3 |
|  | Alex Wilson | NDP | 7,649 | 6,149 | 9,061 | 7,561 | 6,931 | 97,983 |  | 2,128 | 76,549 | 4,105 | 12 |
|  | Soo Wong | LIB | 70,663 | 40,663 | 138,319 | 101,285 | 61,911 | 97,983 | 12,382 | 2,128 | 76,549 | 17,332 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,772 | 100 |
| 081 SCARBOROUGH CENTRE | Carol Baker | NDP | 8,191 | 8,166 | 6,577 | 6,553 | 6,205 | 94,963 | 1,241 | 2,128 | 74,190 | 7,145 | 20 |
|  | Brad Duguid | LIB | 64,348 | 19,348 | 152,479 | 82,479 | 78,415 | 94,963 | 15,683 | 1,045 | 74,190 | 19,390 | 55 |
|  | David Ramalho | PCP | 20,196 | 6,646 | 28,806 | 20,256 | 18,667 | 94,963 | 3,733 | 2,128 | 74,190 | 7,599 | 22 |
|  | Edward Yaghledjian | GPO | 1,251 | 1,251 | 1,251 | 1,251 | 78 | 94,963 | - | 1,330 | 74,190 | 1,086 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,220 | 100 |
| 082 SCARBOROUGH-- | Jeffrey Bustard | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 91,279 |  | 1,330 | 71,312 | 1,034 | 3 |
| GUILDWOOD | Mitzie Hunter | LIB | 102,448 | 64,648 | 111,112 | 79,112 | 64,280 | 91,279 | 12,856 | 2,128 | 71,312 | 17,318 | 50 |
|  | Richard Kerr | LTN |  |  | - | - | - | 91,279 | - - | 1,330 | 71,312 | 476 | 1 |
|  | Ken Kirupa | PCP | 87,946 | 25,880 | 88,949 | 79,101 | 72,793 | 91,279 | 14,559 | 1,928 | 71,312 | 9,721 | 28 |
|  | Khalid Mokhtarzada | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 91,279 | - | 277 | 71,312 | 148 | 0 |
|  | John Sawdon | CCP | - | - | - | - | - | 91,279 | - | 1,330 | 71,312 | 120 | 0 |
|  | Shuja Syed | NDP | 16,469 | 16,469 | 14,579 | 13,000 | 11,908 | 91,279 | 2,382 | 2,128 | 71,312 | 5,894 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,711 | 100 |
| 083 SCARBOROUGH-- | Bas Balkissoon | LIB | 86,359 | 23,619 | 149,901 | 87,161 | 69,437 | 113,398 | 13,887 | 2,128 | 88,592 | 16,095 | 39 |
| ROUGE RIVER | Raymond Cho | PCP | 65,676 | 17,370 | 134,379 | 86,073 | 76,534 | 113,398 | 15,308 | 2,128 | 88,592 | 11,500 | 28 |
|  | Amir Khan | NAP | 1,790 | 1,790 | 1,790 | 1,790 |  | 113,398 |  | 1,243 | 88,592 | 398 | 1 |
|  | Neethan Shan | NDP | 93,565 | 62,926 | 124,482 | 81,391 | 75,133 | 113,398 | 15,027 | 2,128 | 88,592 | 13,019 | 31 |
|  | George Singh | GPO | - |  | - | - | - | 113,398 | - | 1,330 | 88,592 | 571 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,583 | 100 |
| 084 SCARBOROUGH | Lorenzo Berardinetti | LIB | 56,208 | 56,208 | 87,033 | 87,033 | 71,369 | 95,146 | 14,274 | 2,128 | 74,333 | 18,420 | 50 |
| SOUTHWEST | David Del Grande | GPO | 3,206 | 3,206 | 2,725 | 2,725 | 2,710 | 95,146 |  | 1,330 | 74,333 | 1,493 | 4 |
|  | Jean-Baptiste Foaleng | IND | - |  | - | - | - | 95,146 | - | 1,330 | 74,333 | 185 | 1 |
|  | Nita Kang | PCP | 62,500 | 25,085 | 75,671 | 40,756 | 38,433 | 95,146 | 7,687 | 2,128 | 74,333 | 7,573 | 21 |
|  | Jessie Macaulay | NDP | 35,601 | 21,764 | 38,643 | 24,806 | 23,296 | 95,146 | 4,659 | 2,128 | 74,333 | 8,674 | 24 |
|  | Tyler Rose | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 95,146 |  | 1,330 | 74,333 | 328 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36,673 | 100 |
| 085 SIMCOE--GREY | Jesseca Dudun | GPO | 30 | - | 583 | 583 | 583 | 137,935 | - | 1,895 | 107,762 | 4,172 | 8 |
|  | Lorne Kenney | LIB | 26,778 | 18,781 | 41,291 | 33,294 | 29,787 | 137,935 | 5,957 | 2,128 | 107,762 | 17,199 | 31 |
|  | David Matthews | NDP | 14,443 | 9,559 | 13,944 | 9,060 | 7,581 | 137,935 |  | 2,128 | 107,762 | 7,793 | 14 |
|  | Jim Wilson | PCP | 136,549 | 61,611 | 193,339 | 100,555 | 67,869 | 137,935 | 13,574 | 2,128 | 107,762 | 25,988 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,152 | 100 |
| 086 SIMCOE NORTH | Garfield Dunlop | PCP | 159,000 | 87,284 | 151,726 | 80,010 | 57,425 | 122,879 | 11,485 | 2,128 | 95,999 | 22,179 | 44 |
|  | Fred Larsen | LIB | 56,702 | 31,411 | 77,777 | 62,510 | 48,735 | 122,879 | 9,747 | 2,128 | 95,999 | 16,413 | 33 |
|  | Doris Middleton | NDP | 46,432 | 20,927 | 48,760 | 22,755 | 20,107 | 122,879 | 4,021 | 2,128 | 95,999 | 7,846 | 16 |
|  | Peter Stubbins | GPO | 8,764 | 970 | 17,398 | 9,552 | 7,703 | 122,879 | - | 2,036 | 95,999 | 4,013 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,451 | 100 |
| 087 STORMONT--DUNDAS-- | John Earle | LIB | 3,380 | 3,380 | 8,432 | 5,367 | - | 99,332 |  | 798 | 77,603 | 9,250 | 23 |
| SOUTH GLENGARRY | Elaine MacDonald | NDP | 45,496 | 31,367 | 41,231 | 24,972 | 22,704 | 99,332 | 4,541 | 2,128 | 77,603 | 8,336 | 21 |
|  | Jim McDonell | PCP | 146,597 | 85,638 | 182,047 | 115,588 | 92,822 | 99,332 | 18,564 | 2,128 | 77,603 | 20,624 | 52 |
|  | Shawn McRae | LTN | 299 | - | 299 | 299 | - | 99,332 | - | 1,330 | 77,603 | 602 |  |
|  | Sharron Norman | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 99,332 | - | 1,330 | 77,603 | 1,067 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39,879 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | Pct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 088 SUDBURY | Joe Cimino | NDP | 55,078 | 55,078 | 98,367 | 98,267 | 83,644 | 84,248 | 16,729 | 2,128 | 65,819 | 14,274 | 42 |
|  | Casey Lalonde | GPO | 832 | 832 | 3,175 | 2,159 | 1,761 | 84,248 |  | 2,128 | 65,819 | 1,212 | 4 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | FCP | - |  | 273 | 7 | 7 | 84,248 | n/a | 565 | 65,819 | n/a |  |
|  | Andrew Olivier | LIB | 146,416 | 119,974 | 133,778 | 109,874 | 86,377 | 84,248 | 14,721 | 2,128 | 65,819 | 13,296 | 39 |
|  | Paula Peroni | PCP | 116,426 | 84,746 | 116,481 | 109,981 | 68,554 | 84,248 | - | 2,128 | 65,819 | 4,663 | 14 |
|  | John David Popescu | IND | 280 | 280 | 242 | 242 | 242 | 84,248 | - | 1,330 | 65,819 | 105 | 0 |
|  | Steven Wilson | LTN | - |  | - | - | - | 84,248 | - | 1,330 | 65,819 | 243 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33,793 | 100 |
| 089 THORNHILL | Gene Balfour | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 134,584 | - | 1,330 | 105,144 | 571 | 1 |
|  | David Bergart | GPO | 555 | 555 | 449 | 449 | - | 134,584 | - | 339 | 105,144 | 1,229 | 2 |
|  | Erin Ashley Goodwin | FRE | - |  | - | - | - | 134,584 | - | 277 | 105,144 | 233 | 0 |
|  | Cindy Hackelberg | NDP | 4,929 | 1,420 | 8,669 | 5,160 | 4,833 | 134,584 | - | 2,128 | 105,144 | 4,052 | 8 |
|  | Gila Martow | PCP | 147,588 | 40,694 | 185,330 | 117,622 | 99,156 | 134,584 | 19,831 | 2,128 | 105,144 | 21,886 | 44 |
|  | Sandra Racco | LIB | 102,982 | 53,293 | 129,470 | 85,856 | 67,722 | 134,584 | 13,544 | 2,128 | 105,144 | 21,780 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,751 | 100 |
| 090 THUNDER BAY-ATIKOKAN | Edward Deibel | NOH | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | 84,714 |  | 1,356 | 58,909 | 129 | 0 |
|  | Mary Kozorys | NDP | 66,643 | 46,366 | 70,213 | 49,836 | 45,845 | 84,714 | 18,479 | 2,128 | 58,909 | 8,052 | 28 |
|  | Bill Mauro | LIB | 144,181 | 94,213 | 135,406 | 93,906 | 73,229 | 84,714 | 23,935 | 2,128 | 58,909 | 15,176 | 53 |
|  | John Northey | GPO | 1,550 | 1,100 | 1,459 | 1,459 | 992 | 84,714 | - | 1,330 | 58,909 | 964 | 3 |
|  | Joe Talarico | LTN | - |  |  |  |  | 84,714 | - | 1,330 | 58,909 | 547 | 2 |
|  | Harold Wilson | PCP | 44,279 | 22,503 | 54,737 | 41,237 | 36,796 | 84,714 | - | 2,128 | 58,909 | 3,779 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28,647 | 100 |
| 091 THUNDER BAY-SUPERIOR NORTH | Andrew Foulds | NDP | 88,756 | 62,182 | 90,294 | 62,190 | 55,503 | 80,268 | 20,411 | 2,128 | 55,436 | 8,169 | 29 |
|  | Michael Gravelle | LIB | 121,916 | 65,726 | 111,863 | 106,863 | 75,066 | 80,268 | 15,013 | 1,928 | 55,436 | 15,519 | 56 |
|  | Tamara Johnson | LTN | 4,812 |  | 4,812 | 4,812 | - | 80,268 | - | 1,330 | 55,436 | 922 | 3 |
|  | Joseph LeBlanc | GPO | 2,090 | 1,140 | 2,950 | 1,550 | 1,366 | 80,268 | - | 2,128 | 55,436 | 997 | 4 |
|  | Derek Parks | PCP | 50,423 | 23,050 | 59,107 | 41,734 | 41,734 | 80,268 | - | 2,128 | 55,436 | 1,991 | 7 |
|  | Paul Sloan | NOH |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | - | 80,268 | - | 1,356 | 55,436 | 136 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27,734 | 100 |
| 092 TIMISKAMING-COCHRANE | Gino Chitaroni | NOH | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 76,602 | - | 2,128 | 52,572 | 615 | 2 |
|  | Cody Fraser | GPO | - |  | , |  | - | 76,602 | - | 1,330 | 52,572 | 489 | 2 |
|  | Sébastien Goyer | LIB | 29,687 | 21,241 | 49,216 | 46,816 | 42,365 | 76,602 | 17,783 | 2,128 | 52,572 | 6,134 | 23 |
|  | Peter Politis | PCP | 37,150 | 24,650 | 43,149 | 43,149 | 39,004 | 76,602 | 17,111 | 2,128 | 52,572 | 4,527 | 17 |
|  | John Vanthof | NDP | 67,359 | 48,534 | 65,288 | 42,753 | 36,597 | 76,602 | 16,629 | 2,128 | 52,572 | 14,661 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26,426 | 100 |
| 093 TIMMINS--JAMES BAY | Gilles Bisson | NDP | 159,346 | 96,978 | 138,766 | 75,867 | 59,620 | 75,101 | 21,234 | 2,128 | 51,399 | 11,756 | 51 |
|  | Steve Black | PCP | 51,785 | 29,100 | 54,831 | 32,146 | 27,347 | 75,101 | 14,779 | 2,128 | 51,399 | 5,226 | 23 |
|  | Sylvie Fontaine | LIB | 20,879 | 10,779 | 30,665 | 30,665 | 13,056 | 75,101 | 6,133 | 2,128 | 51,399 | 5,527 | 24 |
|  | Bozena Hrycyna | GPO |  |  |  |  | - | 75,101 | - | 1,330 | 51,399 | 403 | 2 |
|  | Fauzia Sadiq | COR | 1,220 |  | 1,220 | 1,220 | 1,220 | 75,101 | - | 2,128 | 51,399 | 60 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,972 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 094 TORONTO CENTRE | Martin Abell | PCP | 169,246 | 96,616 | 188,242 | 115,612 | 97,375 | 130,231 | 19,475 | 2,128 | 101,743 | 9,498 | 19 |
|  | Lada Alekseychuk | PSN |  |  |  |  | - | 130,231 | - | 1,356 | 101,743 | 200 | 0 |
|  | Mark Daye | GPO | 2,599 | 1,120 | 1,722 | 983 | 589 | 130,231 | - | 2,128 | 101,743 | 2,265 | 4 |
|  | Judi Falardeau | LTN | 28 | - | 28 | 28 | - | 130,231 | - | 1,330 | 101,743 | 551 | 1 |
|  | Andrew Garvie | COM | 465 | - | 465 | 465 | 465 | 130,231 | - | 763 | 101,743 | 163 | 0 |
|  | Christopher Jason Goodwin | FRE | - | - | - |  | - | 130,231 | - | 277 | 101,743 | 137 | 0 |
|  | Glen Murray | LIB | 132,406 | 38,652 | 192,113 | 70,359 | 41,393 | 130,231 | 8,251 | 2,128 | 101,743 | 29,935 | 58 |
|  | Robin Nurse | PEO | - |  | - |  | - | 130,231 | - | 2,128 | 101,743 | 76 | 0 |
|  | Harvey Rotenberg | VEP | - | - | - | - | - | 130,231 | - | 2,121 | 101,743 | 152 | 0 |
|  | Kate Sellar | NDP | 36,392 | 23,655 | 62,849 | 49,882 | 47,405 | 130,231 | 9,481 | 2,128 | 101,743 | 8,140 | 16 |
|  | Bahman Yazdanfar | CCP | - | - | - | - | - | 130,231 | - | 2,128 | 101,743 | 78 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,195 | 100 |
| 095 TORONTO--DANFORTH | Thomas Armstrong | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 100,847 | - | 1,330 | 78,787 | 501 | 1 |
|  | Ali Azaroghli | PEO |  |  |  |  |  | 100,847 |  |  | 78,787 | 79 | 0 |
|  | Simon Luisi | VEP | - | - | - | - | - | 100,847 | - | 1,330 | 78,787 | 149 | 0 |
|  | Rob Newman | LIB | 52,280 | 23,872 | 67,773 | 51,674 | 47,113 | 100,847 | 9,423 | 2,128 | 78,787 | 15,983 | 37 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | PSN |  |  | - |  |  | 100,847 | n/a | 678 | 78,787 | n/a |  |
|  | Tristan Parlette | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 100,847 | - | 277 | 78,787 | 121 | 0 |
|  | Rachel Power | GPO | 211 | 211 | 211 | 211 | 200 | 100,847 | - | 1,330 | 78,787 | 2,351 | 5 |
|  | John Richardson | CCP |  |  | - |  | - | 100,847 | - | 2,128 | 78,787 | 167 | 0 |
|  | Elizabeth Rowley | COM | 465 |  | 465 | 465 | 465 | 100,847 | - | 763 | 78,787 | 172 | 0 |
|  | Naomi Solomon | PCP | 7,919 | 723 | 6,862 | 4,666 | 3,706 | 100,847 | - | 2,128 | 78,787 | 4,304 | 10 |
|  | Peter Tabuns | NDP | 262,742 | 118,356 | 264,152 | 118,436 | 90,662 | 100,847 | 18,132 | 2,128 | 78,787 | 19,190 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,017 | 100 |
| 096 TRINITY--SPADINA | Han Dong | LIB | 95,973 | 52,401 | 169,403 | 133,403 | 113,387 | 150,935 | 22,678 | 2,128 | 117,918 | 26,543 | 46 |
|  | Andrew Echevarria | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 150,935 | - | 1,330 | 117,918 | 729 | 1 |
|  | Paul Figueiras | VEP | - | - | - - | - | - | 150,935 | - | 2,121 | 117,918 | 306 | 1 |
|  | Tim Grant | GPO | 49,511 | 19,536 | 69,226 | 39,465 | 38,044 | 150,935 | - | 2,128 | 117,918 | 4,016 | 7 |
|  | Dan King | PSN | - | - | - | - | - | 150,935 | - | 1,356 | 117,918 | 264 | , |
|  | Rosario Marchese | NDP | 101,089 | 67,102 | 146,657 | 121,926 | 110,887 | 150,935 | 22,177 | 2,128 | 117,918 | 17,389 | 30 |
|  | Roberta Scott | PCP | 19,829 | 4,439 | 28,681 | 13,291 | 11,829 | 150,935 | - | 2,128 | 117,918 | 8,014 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,261 | 100 |
| 097 VAUGHAN | Marco Coletta | NDP | 2,050 | 1,050 | 2,540 | 2,540 | 1,796 | 174,629 | - | 2,128 | 136,429 | 6,942 | 12 |
|  | Steven Del Duca | LIB | 284,570 | 104,570 | 177,890 | 147,890 | 134,594 | 174,629 | 26,919 | 2,128 | 136,429 | 33,877 | 56 |
|  | Paolo Fabrizio | LTN | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 174,629 | - | 1,330 | 136,429 | 1,121 | 2 |
|  | Peter Meffe | PCP | 39,139 | 39,139 | 18,157 | 8,157 | 7,260 | 174,629 |  | 798 | 136,429 | 16,979 | 28 |
|  | Matthew Pankhurst | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 174,629 | - | 1,330 | 136,429 | 1,350 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60,269 | 100 |
| 098 WELLAND | Frank Campion | PCP | 60,445 | 27,445 | 55,163 | 54,493 | 49,955 | 111,697 | 9,991 | 2,121 | 87,263 | 12,933 | 28 |
|  | Donna Cridland | GPO | - | - | - |  | - | 111,697 | - | 2,128 | 87,263 | 1,874 | 4 |
|  | Cindy Forster | NDP | 108,781 | 75,995 | 114,532 | 76,832 | 70,005 | 111,697 | 14,001 | 2,128 | 87,263 | 21,326 | 47 |
|  | Benoit Mercier | LIB | 13,936 | 10,067 | 41,226 | 40,026 | 13,707 | 111,697 | 2,741 | 2,128 | 87,263 | 9,060 | 20 |
|  | Andrea Murik | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 111,697 | - | 1,330 | 87,263 | 460 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45,653 | 100 |
| 099 WELLINGTON-- | Ted Arnott | PCP | 150,170 | 57,191 | 152,618 | 59,639 | 53,485 | 113,087 | 10,697 | 2,128 | 88,349 | 22,450 | 47 |
| HALTON HILLS | Michael Carlucci | NDP | 4,442 | 3,670 | 9,003 | 8,230 | 5,612 | 113,087 | - | 2,128 | 88,349 | 6,804 | 14 |
|  | Jason Cousineau | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 113,087 | - | 1,330 | 88,349 | 1,043 | 2 |
|  | David Rodgers | GPO | 1,215 | 1,215 | 923 | 923 | 919 | 113,087 | - | 1,330 | 88,349 | 3,550 | 7 |
|  | Mitchell Sproule | FRE |  |  | - |  | - | 113,087 | - | 277 | 88,349 | 198 | 0 |
|  | Dan Zister | LIB | 32,045 | 18,826 | 50,420 | 42,870 | 39,103 | 113,087 | 7,821 | 2,128 | 88,349 | 14,120 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48,165 | 100 |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 WHITBY--OSHAWA | Christine Janice Elliott | PCP | 510,582 | 325,832 | 430,435 | 131,185 | 81,062 | 141,311 | 16,212 | 2,128 | 110,399 | 24,027 | 41 |
|  | Ryan Kelly | NDP | 27,140 | 18,441 | 21,937 | 13,238 | 12,743 | 141,311 | 2,549 | 2,128 | 110,399 | 13,621 | 23 |
|  | Ajay Krishnan | LIB | 22,400 | 12,071 | 26,690 | 24,030 | 23,348 | 141,311 | 4,670 | 2,128 | 110,399 | 18,617 | 32 |
|  | Stacey Leadbetter | GPO | 4,728 | 3,512 | 5,899 | 4,699 | 4,555 | 141,311 | - | 2,128 | 110,399 | 2,523 | 4 |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | LTN | - | - | - | - | - | 141,311 | n/a | 798 | 110,399 | n/a | - |
|  | NO CANDIDATE | PSN | - | - | - | - | - | 141,311 | n/a | 678 | 110,399 | n/a | - |
|  | Douglas Thom | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 141,311 | - | 277 | 110,399 | 322 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59,110 | 100 |
| 101 WILLOWDALE | Alexander Brown | NDP | 12,439 | 5,076 | 14,007 | 6,644 | 6,178 | 127,653 | - | 2,128 | 99,729 | 4,693 | 10 |
|  | Michael Ceci | PCP | 85,847 | 31,816 | 170,076 | 116,045 | 112,859 | 127,653 | 22,572 | 2,128 | 99,729 | 15,468 | 33 |
|  | Teresa Pun | GPO | 1,385 | 450 | 2,258 | 1,356 | 1,356 | 127,653 | - | 2,128 | 99,729 | 1,758 | 4 |
|  | David Zimmer | LIB | 87,221 | 47,054 | 122,487 | 83,070 | 62,399 | 127,653 | 12,480 | 2,128 | 99,729 | 24,300 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,219 | 100 |
| 102 WINDSOR--TECUMSEH | Jason William Dupuis | LIB | 21,005 |  | 23,828 | 23,828 | 23,816 | 111,498 | 4,763 | 2,128 | $\begin{aligned} & 87,108 \\ & 87,108 \\ & 87,108 \\ & 87,108 \\ & 87,108 \end{aligned}$ | 5,599 | 15 |
|  | Percy Hatfield | NDP | 60,612 | 48,732 | 55,718 | 43,838 | 39,430 | $\begin{aligned} & 111,498 \\ & 111,498 \end{aligned}$ | 7,886 | 2,1281,3301,3302,128 |  | 22,818 | 62 |
|  | Timothy Marshall | LTN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 682 | 2 |
|  | Adam Wright | GPO | 1,165 | 1,165 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 111,498 | - |  |  | 5,493 | 15 |
|  | Brandon Wright | PCP | 15,005 | 3,205 | 16,620 | 9,820 | 9,426 | 111,498 | - |  |  | 2,118 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36,710 100 |  |
| 103 WINDSOR WEST | Helmi Charif | IND | 5,592 | 5,592 | 5,584 | 5,584 | 5,517 | $\begin{aligned} & 110,451 \\ & 110,451 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,243 |  |  | 86,290 891 |
|  | Chad Durocher | GPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,330 | 86,290 | 1,171 | 3 |
|  | Lisa Gretzky | NDP | 70,195 | 49,999 | 74,322 | 48,806 | 42,957 | 110,451 | 8,591 | 2,128 | 86,290 | 15,043 | 41 |
|  | Hung-Chih (Henry) Lau | PCP | 73,947 | 19,413 | 122,555 | 73,021 | 56,051 | 110,451 | - | 2,128 | 86,290 | 5,225 | 14 |
|  | Teresa Piruzza | LIB | 150,952 | 93,759 | 178,697 | 139,697 | 97,431 | 110,451 | 19,486 | 2,128 | 86,290 | 14,001 39 <br> $\mathbf{3 6 , 3 3 1}$ $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 YORK CENTRE | Joshua Borenstein | GPO | - | - | - | - | - | 98,195 | - | 1,330 | 76,715 | 1,163 | 3 |
|  | Laurence Cherniak | FRE | - | - | - | - | - | 98,195 | - | 277 | 76,715 | 493 | 1 |
|  | John Fagan | NDP | 33,016 | 10,833 | 26,607 | 15,424 | 14,168 | 98,195 | 2,834 | 2,128 | 76,715 | 5,645 | 16 |
|  | Monte Kwinter | LIB | 140,085 | 89,112 | 107,974 | 57,203 | 49,087 | 98,195 | 9,817 | 2,128 | 76,715 | 16,935 | 48 |
|  | Avi Yufest | PCP | 116,471 | 48,941 | 144,804 | 82,024 | 73,007 | 98,195 | 14,601 | 2,128 | 76,715 | 11,125 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,361 | 100 |
| 105 YORK--SIMCOE | Cheryl Laura Bowman | NDP | 15,321 | 15,030 | 12,720 | 11,559 | 10,894 | 128,954 | 2,179 | 2,128 | 100,745 | 8,420 | 18 |
|  | Loralea Carruthers | LIB | 65,691 | 36,941 | 99,098 | 75,598 | 67,738 | 128,954 | 13,547 | 2,128 | 100,745 | 16,276 | 35 |
|  | Peter Elgie | GPO | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,349 | 128,954 | - | 1,330 | 100,745 | 2,946 | 6 |
|  | Julia Munro | PCP | 197,282 | 127,129 | 181,711 | 81,153 | 65,524 | $\begin{aligned} & 128,954 \\ & 128,954 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2,128 | 100,745 | 19,025 | 40 |
|  | Craig Wallace | LTN |  |  | - | - |  |  | 13,105 | 1,330 | 100,745 | 419 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47,086 | 100 |
| 106 YORK SOUTH--WESTON | Laura Albanese | LIB | 78,957 | 19,890 | 129,121 | 72,392 | 42,421 | $\begin{aligned} & 91,981 \\ & 91,981 \end{aligned}$ | 8,697 | 2,128 | 71,860 | 15,669 | 48 |
|  | Eric Compton | FRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 277 | 71,860 | 249 | 1 |
|  | Paul Ferreira | NDP | $\begin{array}{r} 130,612 \\ 16,013 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,809 \\ 3,013 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,777 \\ 17,766 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,257 \\ 9,766 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91,644 \\ 8,422 \end{array}$ | 91,981 | 18,329 | 2,128 | 71,860 | 12,2003,687 | 37 |
|  | Andrew Ffrench | PCP |  |  |  |  |  | 91,981 | - | 2,1281,330 | $\begin{array}{lll}71,860 & 3,687 & 11 \\ 71,860 & 797 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Jessica Higgins | GPO | 762 | - | - | - | - | 91,981 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abi lssa | IND |  | 762 | 762 | 762 | - | 91,981 | - | 1,330 | $71,860$ | 146 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline 32,748 & 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 32,748 |  |


| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl <br> transfers | Income excl. <br> transfers | Expenses incl <br> transfers | Expenses excl. <br> transfers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ YORK WEST | Kayla Baptiste | FRE | - | - | - | - |
|  | Keith Jarrett | GPO | - | - | - | - |
|  | Karlene Nation | PCP | 7,544 | 2,270 | 11,577 | 11,577 |
|  | Tom Rakocevic | NDP | 64,506 | 36,332 | 100,356 | 75,661 |
|  | Waldemar Schwauss | IND | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Mario Sergio | LIB | 71,667 | 49,595 | 75,296 | 53,224 |


| Expenses subject <br> to limit | Expense <br> limit | Subsidy paid <br> to candidate | Subsidy paid <br> to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | Pct <br> $\%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | 78,149 | - | 277 | 61,054 | 267 | 1 |
| - | 78,149 | - | 1,330 | 61,054 | 418 | 2 |
| 7,385 | 78,19 | - | 1,330 | 61,054 | 2,794 | 11 |
| 71,682 | 78,149 | 14,336 | 2,128 | 61,054 | 9,997 | 39 |
| 100 | 78,149 | - | 1,130 | 61,054 | 111 | 0 |
| 46,010 | 78,149 | 9,936 | 2,128 | 61,054 | 11,907 | 47 |


| Party | Income |  | Expenses |  |  | Subsidies paid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Including transfers | Excluding transfers | Including transfers | Excluding transfers | Subject to limit | To auditor | To candidate |
| CCP | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,064 | 6,916 |  |
| COM | 5,968 | 0 | 6,026 | 6,026 | 6,026 | 9,916 |  |
| COR | 2,420 | 1,200 | 2,420 | 2,420 | 1,966 | 4,452 |  |
| EPP | - | - | - |  | - | 567 |  |
| FCP | 21,215 | 12,742 | 27,642 | 16,332 | 11,884 | 13,741 |  |
| FRE | 3,905 | 3,905 | 4,107 | 507 | 484 | 14,281 |  |
| GPO | 516,571 | 201,701 | 599,013 | 360,845 | 331,192 | 176,664 | 30,525 |
| IND | 6,758 | 6,758 | 6,712 | 6,712 | 5,879 | 12,524 |  |
| LIB | 9,605,343 | 5,044,772 | 12,214,767 | 8,093,394 | 6,369,448 | 224,328 | 1,307,591 |
| LTN | 6,944 | 1,605 | 6,944 | 6,944 | 1,360 | 94,164 |  |
| MOD | - |  | - |  |  | 2,660 |  |
| NAP | 1,790 | 1,790 | 1,790 | 1,790 |  | 9,944 |  |
| NDP | 5,305,284 | 3,537,603 | 5,559,523 | 3,695,125 | 3,292,316 | 217,588 | 667,646 |
| NOH | 1,214 | 1,214 | 1,214 | 1,214 | 1,200 | 5,518 |  |
| PAU | - |  | - |  | - | 4,068 |  |
| PCP | 11,866,389 | 5,711,080 | 13,576,764 | 8,667, 29 | 6,997,631 | 224,385 | 1,346,501 |
| PEO | 2,331 | 2,331 | 2,331 | 2,331 | 2,331 | 6,916 |  |
| PSN | - | - | - |  |  | 7,458 |  |
| SPO | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| TRI | - | - | - |  | - | 2,660 |  |
| VEP | - | - | - |  | - | 8,232 |  |
| WTH | - | - | - |  | - | 5,879 | - |
| TOTAL | 27,348,382 | 14,528,952 | 32,011,505 | 20,863,019 | 17,023,782 | 1,052,861 | 3,352,262 |


| Eligible voters | Contribution sources |  |  | Average contribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individuals | Corporations | Trade unions | Individuals | Corporations | Trade unions |
| 351,251 | 2,250 | - | - | 375 | - |  |
| 974,762 |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 222,781 | 1,200 |  | - | 1,200 | - |  |
| 172,835 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1,728,085 | 16,605 | - | - | 252 | - |  |
| 3,716,531 | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| 9,526,064 | 161,284 | 7,322 | - | 209 | 488 |  |
| 1,098,144 | 730 | 4,550 | - | 146 | 414 |  |
| 9,526,064 | 2,265,086 | 1,341,124 | 386,515 | 268 | 747 | 794 |
| 6,724,812 | 1,209 |  | - | 134 | - |  |
| 191,281 |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| 739,217 | 800 | 50 | - | 200 | 50 |  |
| 9,526,064 | 1,746,234 | 198,636 | 701,787 | 235 | 588 | 791 |
| 216,830 | 150 | 1,050 | - | 75 | 1,050 |  |
| 285,730 |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| 9,526,064 | 2,973,078 | 1,758,605 | 3,960 | 298 | 669 | 1,320 |
| 415,129 | 2,331 |  |  | 389 | - |  |
| 778,108 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 190,473 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 276,665 | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| 469,738 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 502,079 | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| 57,521,545 | 7,170,957 | 3,311,338 | 1,092,262 | 268 | 691 | 793 |

Appendix L: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Candidates' and Constituency Associations' Campaign Period Financial Statements for the February 5, 2015 Sudbury By-election

| Electoral district | Candidate name | Party | Income incl transfers | Income excl. transfers | Expenses incl transfers | Expenses excl. transfers | Expenses subject to limit | Expense limit | Subsidy paid to candidate | Subsidy paid to auditor | Eligible voters | Ballot count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 088 SUDBURY | Jean Raymond Audet | PEO | - | - | - | - | - | 83,656 | - | 1,330 | 65,356 | 39 | 0.15\% |
|  | Andrew Olivier | IND | 25,973 | 25,973 | 26,902 | 26,902 | 26,902 | 83,656 | - | 1,130 | 65,356 | 3,183 | 12.34\% |
|  | Paula Peroni | PCP | 63,372 | 26,950 | 53,835 | 53,835 | 50,463 | 83,656 | - | 2,128 | 65,356 | 1,937 | 7.51\% |
|  | Edward Pokonzie | IND | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 83,656 | - | 1,330 | 65,356 | 22 | 0.09\% |
|  | J. David Popescu | IND | 287 | 276 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 83,656 | - | 1,330 | 65,356 | 24 | 0.09\% |
|  | David Robinson | GPO | 29,891 | 9,633 | 22,449 | 14,073 | 12,412 | 83,656 | - | 2,128 | 65,356 | 837 | 3.24\% |
|  | Suzanne Shawbonquit | NDP | 85,854 | 85,005 | 88,023 | 88,023 | 81,483 | 83,656 | 16,297 | 2,128 | 65,356 | 9,067 | 35.15\% |
|  | Glenn Thibeault | LIB | 234,161 | 104,481 | 236,251 | 120,961 | 79,024 | 83,656 | 15,805 | 2,128 | 65,356 | 10,640 | 41.25\% |
|  | John Turmel | PAU | - | - | - | - | - | 83,656 | - | 678 | 65,356 | 25 | 0.10\% |
|  | James Waddell | IND | - | - | - | - | - | 83,656 | - | 283 | 65,356 | 21 | 0.08\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,795 | 100.00\% |

Appendix M: Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Constituency Associations’ 2014 Annual Financial Statements


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| - | 1,353,967 | - | 1,701,451 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 596,837 | - | 445,662 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 869,405 | - | 1,734,579 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2,820,209 | - | 3,881,693 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 1,089,748 | - | 665,039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1,645,619 | 20 | 2,186,660 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2,735,366.98 | 20.00 | 2,851,698.98 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 84,842 | (20) | 1,030,424 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| - | $(2,608,040)$ | - | $(2,205,003)$ | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| - | 4,499,414 | 622 | 4,468,415 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1,976,216 | 602 | 3,293,835 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 666,105 | - | 1,064,769 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 524,652 | - | 633,226 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 163,210 | - | 3,457 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |
| - | 1,353,967 | - | 1,701,451 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 199.23 |  | 182.38 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| - | 528.43 |  | 434.51 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 579.11 |  | 576.14 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 3,029,793 | 602 | 4,407,699 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1,053,577 | - | 1,103,446 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| - | 1,976,216 | 602 | 3,304,254 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| - | 3,029,793 | 602 | 4,407,699 | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |

Appendix $\mathbf{N}$ : Summary of Financial Data Extracted from Registered Leadership Contestants' Contest Period Financial Statements

Ontario Liberal Party
January 26, 2013 Contest

| Second filing <br> (March 27, 2013 to March 26, 2014) | Income | Expense | Surplus <Deficit> | Audit subsidy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Charles Sousa | 39,761 | 21,564 | 18,197 | 1,064 |
| Eric Hoskins | 122,000 | 31,656 | 90,344 | 1,064 |
| Gerard Kennedy | 67,338 | 8,464 | 58,874 | 1,064 |
| Glen Murray | 94,754 | 10,691 | 84,063 | 1,064 |
| Harinder Takhar | 2,500 | 2,415 | 85 | 1,064 |
| Kathleen Wynne | 9,068 | 15,851 | $(6,783)$ | 1,064 |
| Sandra Pupatello | 10,950 | 43,519 | $(32,569)$ | 1,064 |

The Peoples Political Party
March 21, 2013 Contest

| Second filing <br> (May 22,2013 to May 21, 2014) | Income | Expense | Surplus <br> <Deficit〉 | Audit subsidy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Kevin Clarke | $\$ 20$ | 0 | 0 | 1,064 |

Family Coalition Party of Ontario
November 22, 2014 Contest

| First filing <br> (November 11, 2014 to January 22, 2015) | Income | Expense | Surplus <br> <Deficit〉 | Audit subsidy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| James Gault |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix O:Late Filers 2014-2015

2014 Annual Financial Statements - Political Parties
Due June 1, 2015
Number of Political Parties required to file-23 | 6 -Late | 5 - Not Filed

| Party | Date filed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equal Parenting Party | 3-Sep-15 |
| Green Party of Ontario | 4-Jun-15 |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario | Not Filed |
| Northern Ontario Heritage Party | 15-Jul-15 |
| Party for Human Rights in Ontario - Deregistered May 22, 2014 | Not Filed |
| Party for People with Special Needs | 13-Jul-15 |
| People First Republic Party of Ontario - Deregistered May 22, 2014 | Not Filed |
| Reform Party of Ontario - Deregistered May 22, 2014 | Not Filed |
| Socialist Party of Ontario (Deregistered Aug2014) | Not Filed |
| The Peoples Political Party | 8-Jun-15 |
| Trillium Party of Ontario | 3-Jul-15 |

## 2014 Annual Financial Statements - Constituency Associations

Due June 1, 2015
Number of Constituency Associations-438| 89 -Late | 8 - Not Filed

| Party | Date filed | Date received ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equal Parenting Party | Huron-Bruce | 3 -Sep-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | 3-Sep-15 |
|  | Perth-Wellington | 3-Sep-15 |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario | Ottawa West-Nepean | 17-Jun-15 |
| Green Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | 19-Jun-15 |
|  | Algoma-Manitoulin | 24-Jun-15 |
|  | Brant | 22-Sep-15 |
|  | Bruce-Grey-Owen Sound | 3-Sep-15 |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 25-Jun-15 |
|  | Kingston and the Islands | Not Filed |
|  | Kitchener-Conestoga | 14-Sep-15 |
|  | Kitchener-Waterloo | 15-Jun-15 |
|  | London West (reregistered in 2014) | 23-Sep-15 |
|  | Niagara West-Glanbrook | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Nickel Belt | 13-Jul-15 |
|  | Nipissing (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | 10-Jul-15 |
|  | Ottawa Centre | 20-Jul-15 |
|  | Simcoe North | 4-Jun-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | 24-Jul-15 |
|  | Welland | 25-Jun-15 |
| Party for Human Rights in Ontario | Davenport (Deregistered Assoc 5-22-14) | Not Filed |
|  | Trinity - Spadina (Deregistered Assoc 5-22-14) | Not Filed |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Algoma-Manitoulin | 9-Nov-15 |
|  | Carleton-Mississippi Mills | 2-Nov-15 |
|  | Don Valley East | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Etobicoke North | 15-Jul-15 |


| Party | Date filed | Date received (mm/dd/yy) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | 17-Jul-15 |
|  | Kenora-Rainy River | 23-Jun-15 |
|  | Kingston and the Islands | 6-Aug-15 |
|  | Lanark-Frontenac-Lennox and Addington | 12-Jun-15 |
|  | Nickel Belt | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Oakville | 16-Jun-15 |
|  | Parry Sound-Muskoka | 17-Jul-15 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | 19-Jun-15 |
|  | Sarnia-Lambton | 3-Jul-15 |
|  | Scarborough—Rouge River | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Stormont-Dundas-South Glengarry | 2-Nov-15 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Atikokan | 14-Jul-15 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Superior North | 16-Jun-15 |
|  | Timiskaming-Cochrane | 9-Jun-15 |
|  | Timmins-James Bay | 24-Jun-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | 23-Jun-15 |
|  | Welland | 25-Jun-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | 19-Jun-15 |
| Ontario Libertarian Party | Barrie | 11-Sep-15 |
|  | Whitby-Oshawa | 16-Jun-15 |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Barrie | 26-Jul-15 |
|  | Brant | 12-Jun-15 |
|  | Leeds—Grenville (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Not Filed |
|  | Mississauga East—Cooksville | 4-Jun-15 |
|  | Northumberland-Quinte West | 4-Jun-15 |
|  | Oak Ridges-Markham | 2-Jun-15 |
|  | Ottawa-Orléans | 8-Jun-15 |
|  | Ottawa South | 12-Jun-15 |


| Party | Date filed | Date received ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Peterborough | 2-Jun-15 |
|  | Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke | 2-Jun-15 |
|  | St. Paul's | 12-Jun-15 |
|  | Sarnia-Lambton | 9-Jun-15 |
|  | Scarborough-Agincourt | 2-Jul-15 |
|  | Scarborough-Rouge River | $9-\mathrm{Jul}-15$ |
|  | Scarborough Southwest | 8-Jun-15 |
|  | Simcoe North | 12-Jun-15 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Atikokan | $9-J u n-15$ |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | 16-Jun-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | 19-Jun-15 |
|  | York-Simcoe | 4-Jun-15 |
|  | York South-Weston | 8-Jun-15 |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | Algoma-Manitoulin | 10-Jul-15 |
|  | Beaches-East York | 18-Jul-15 |
|  | Bramalea-Gore-Malton | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Burlington | 3-Jun-15 |
|  | Davenport | 2-Jun-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott-Russell | 22-Jun-15 |
|  | Hamilton Centre | 10-Jun-15 |
|  | Huron-Bruce | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Lambton-Kent-Middlesex | 15-Jul-15 |
|  | Markham-Unionville | 1-Jul-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Brampton South | 23-Jun-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Erindale | 3-Jul-15 |
|  | Nepean-Carleton | 21-Jul-15 |
|  | Nickel Belt | 9-Jun-15 |
|  | Ottawa South | 31-Jul-15 |
|  | Parry Sound-Muskoka | 3-Jun-15 |


| Party | Date filed | Date received ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{dd} / \mathrm{yy}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | St. Paul's | 4-Jun-15 |
|  | Scarborough-Guildwood | 28-Jun-15 |
|  | Scarborough—Rouge River | 6-Aug-15 |
|  | Sudbury | 21-Sep-15 |
|  | Timmins-James Bay | 5-Jun-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | 2-Jun-15 |
|  | Vaughan | 16-Sep-15 |
|  | Welland | 15-Jul-15 |
|  | Willowdale | 14-Jul-15 |
|  | Windsor West | 21-Sep-15 |
| Reform Party of Ontario | Lambton—Kent—Middlesex (Dereg 5-22-14) | Not Filed |
| People First Republic Party of Ontario | Prince Edward—Hastings (Dereg 5-22-14) | Not Filed |
| Socialist Party of Ontario | Etobicoke-Lakeshore (Dereg 8-21-14) | Not Filed |
|  | Peterborough (Dereg 8-21-14) | Not Filed |

## Campaign Financial Statements - Political Partie

Due December 12, 2014
Number of Political Parties required to file-20| 2-Late | 1 - Not Filed

| Party Name | Date Received |
| :--- | :---: |
| Equal Parenting Party | $23-$-Dec-14 |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario | $21-$ May-15 |
| Socialist Party of Ontario (Deregistered Aug2014) |  |

## Advertising Reports - Third Party Advertisers

Due December 12, 2014
Number of Third Parties required to file-37 | 10 - Late | 0 - Not Filed

| Third party name | Date received |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2325524 Ontario Inc | 30-Jan-15 |
| Campaign for Public Education Inc. | 07 -Jan-15 |
| Canadian Office and Professional Employees Union (COPE) Ontario | 06 -Feb-15 |
| Canadian Office and Professional Employees Union Local 343 | 08 -Jan-15 |
| Canadian Union of Public Employees, Locals 2331-01-02 And Local 1571 | 16-Jan-15 |
| Ontario Council of Hospital Unions | 16-Jan-15 |
| Ontario English Catholic Teachers' Association | 08-Jan-15 |
| Ontario Nurses' Association | 12-Jan-15 |
| Ontario Public Service Employees Union | 19-Jan-15 |
| Your Billion | 24-Mar-15 |

## Campaign Financial Statements - Candidates

Due December 12, 2014
Number of Candidates required to file-621 | 164 -Late | 19 - Not Filed

| Party name | Electoral district | Candidate | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canadians' Choice Party | Newmarket-Aurora | Baxter, Dorian | 21-Mar-15 |
| Equal Parenting Party | Huron-Bruce | Valenta, Dennis | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | Catena, Dolly | 23-Dec-14 |
| Family Coalition Party of Ontario | Halton | Marsh, Gerald | 24-Feb-15 |
| Freedom Party of Ontario | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | Merklinger, Jeff | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Etobicoke North | McConnell, James | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Hamilton Centre | Melanson, Peter | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | Johnston, Britney | 23-Jan-15 |
|  | Parkdale-High Park | Motz, Melanie | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | Oliver, Matthew | 17-Dec-14 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | Sproule, Mitchell | 16-Dec-14 |
| Green Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | Narraway, Adam | 18-Feb-15 |
|  | Bramalea-Gore-Malton | Thornham, Pauline | 15-Dec-14 |
|  | Burlington | Cross, Meredith | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Cambridge | Brown, Temara | 14-Dec-14 |
|  | Elgin-Middlesex-London | Fisher, John | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | Salewsky, Angela | 12-Mar-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott-Russell | St Martin, Raymond | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Haliburton-Kawartha Lakes-Brock | Ahmad, Arsalan | 14-Jan-15 |
|  | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | Zink, Greg | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Huron-Bruce | Werstine, Adam | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Lambton-Kent—Middlesex | Armstrong, James | 23-Jan-15 |
|  | Leeds-Grenville | Bowering, Stephen | Not Filed |
|  | London West | McAlister, Keith | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | Nguyen, Linh | Not Filed |
|  | Mississauga-Erindale | Gupta, Vivek | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga South | Jones, Lloyd | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Newmarket-Aurora | Roblin, Andrew | 14-Jan-15 |
|  | Niagara Falls | Bitter, Clarke | Not Filed |
|  | Nipissing (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Peltier, Nicole | 10-Jul-15 |
|  | Parkdale-High Park | Rudkins, Timothy | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | Navarro, Anthony | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Richmond Hill | Lave, Rachael | Not Filed |
|  | St. Catharines | Fraser, Karen | 14-Jan-15 |
|  | Scarborough Southwest | Del Grande, David | 15-Dec-14 |
|  | Toronto Centre | Daye, Mark | 20-Feb-15 |
|  | Toronto-Danforth | Power, Rachel | 16-Jan-15 |
|  | Vaughan | Pankhurst, Matthew | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Windsor-Tecumseh | Wright, Adam | 4-Mar-15 |
|  | Windsor West | Durocher, Chad | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | York West | Jarrett, Keith | 15-Jan-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Candidate | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Algoma-Manitoulin | Hughson, Craig | 23-Mar-15 |
|  | Beaches-East York | Potts, Arthur | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Brant | Levac, Dave | 17-Dec-14 |
|  | Etobicoke North | Qaadri, Shafiq | 21-Jan-15 |
|  | Haliburton-Kawartha Lakes-Brock | Johnson, Rick | 27-Jan-15 |
|  | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | Luksic, Ivan | 26-Feb-15 |
|  | Kenora-Rainy River | Leek, Anthony | 16-Jan-15 |
|  | Kitchener-Waterloo | Burton, Jamie | 26-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | Damerla, Dipika | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Niagara Falls | Tupman, Lionel | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Nickel Belt | Tregonning, James | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Oshawa | Quintyn, Esrick | 22-Dec-14 |
|  | Oxford | Moulton, Daniel | 9-Mar-15 |
|  | Parry Sound-Muskoka | Waters, Dan | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Perth-Wellington | Skinner, Stewart | 18-Dec-14 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | MacCharles, Tracy | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke | Boileau, Roderic | 22-Jan-15 |
|  | Stormont-Dundas-South Glengarry | Earle, John | 2-Nov-15 |
|  | Timiskaming-Cochrane | Goyer, Sebastien | 25-May-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | Murray, Glen | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Toronto-Danforth | Newman, Rob | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | Dong, Han | 22-Dec-14 |
|  | Welland | Mercier, Benoit | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | Zister, Dan | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Whitby-Oshawa | Krishnan, Ajay | 17-Dec-14 |
| Ontario Libertarian Party | Barrie | Roskam, Darren | 20-Dec-14 |
|  | Beaches-East York | Lindsay, Alexander | 5-Mar-15 |
|  | Bruce-Grey-Owen Sound | Voscamp, Caleb | Not Filed |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | Wrzesniewski, Mark | Not Filed |
|  | Guelph | Smythe, Blair | 19-Feb-15 |
|  | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | Burnison, Mark | 13-Feb-15 |
|  | Kitchener-Waterloo | Schulz, James | 16-Jan-15 |
|  | Leeds-Grenville | Gabriel, Harold | Not Filed |
|  | Mississauga-Brampton South | Levesque, Richard | 15-Dec-14 |
|  | Ottawa Centre | Faulkner, Bruce | 22-Jan-15 |
|  | Ottawa South | Brisson, Jean-Serge | 26-Mar-15 |
|  | Parkdale-High Park | Weissenberger, Redmond | 8-Jan-15 |
|  | Prince Edward-Hastings | Forbes, Lindsay | Not Filed |
|  | Sault Ste. Marie | Williams, Austin | 8-Jan-15 |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | King, Jermaine | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Barrie | Bradbury, David | 28-Oct-15 |
|  | Brampton-Springdale | Dhillon, Gurpreet | Not Filed |
|  | Brampton West | Panaich, Gugnigill | 19-Jun-15 |
|  | Brant | Felsky, Alex | 16-Mar-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Candidate | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Sudbury (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Cimino, Joe | 17-Feb-15 |
|  | Thornhill | Hackelberg, Cindy | 4-Feb-15 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Atikokan | Kozorys, Mary | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Superior North | Foulds, Andrew | 3-Feb-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | Sellar, Kate | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | Marchese, Rosario | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Vaughan | Coletta, Marco | 4-Feb-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | Carlucci, Michael | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Willowdale | Brown, Alexander | 27-Feb-15 |
|  | York-Simcoe | Bowman, Cheryl Laura | 16-Jan-15 |
|  | York West | Rakocevic, Tom | 24-Feb-15 |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | McCarthy, Todd | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Algoma-Manitoulin | Turner, Byron JIB | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Bramalea-Gore-Malton | Jaswal, Harjit | 5-Feb-15 |
|  | Brampton-Springdale | Hundal, Pam | 24-Feb-15 |
|  | Brampton West | Sandhu, Randeep | 9-Jan-15 |
|  | Burlington | McKenna, Jane | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Davenport | Daniel, Lan | 16-Jul-15 |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | Holyday, Doug | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott-Russell | Villeneuve Robertson, Roxane | 17-Jan-15 |
|  | Guelph | MacDonald, Anthony | 20-Apr-15 |
|  | Hamilton Centre | Vail, John | 26-Mar-15 |
|  | Huron-Bruce | Thompson, Lisa | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Lambton-Kent-Middlesex | McNaughton, Monte | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | Lanark-Frontenac-Lennox and Addington | Hillier, Randy | 13-Jan-15 |
|  | Markham—Unionville | Thayaparan, Shan | 23-Sep-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Brampton South | Gill, Amarjeet | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | Churchin, Zoran | 14-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Streetsville | Tangri, Nina | 23-Apr-15 |
|  | Nepean-Carleton | MacLeod, Lisa | 15-Dec-14 |
|  | Northumberland-Quinte West | Milligan, Rob | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Oakville | Scott, Larry | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Oshawa | Ouellette, Jerry | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Ottawa South | Young, Matt | 14-Aug-15 |
|  | Prince Edward-Hastings | Smith, Todd | 17-Dec-14 |
|  | Richmond Hill | Gupta, Vic | 21-Jan-15 |
|  | St. Paul's | Deluce, Justine | 5-Feb-15 |
|  | Scarborough-Guildwood | Kirupa, Ken | 28-Jun-15 |
|  | Timmins-James Bay | Black, Steve | 25-May-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | Abell, Martin | 4-Mar-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | Scott, Roberta | 31-Jan-15 |
|  | Vaughan | Meffe, Peter | Not Filed |
|  | Willowdale | Ceci, Michael | 6-Mar-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Candidate | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | Windsor West | Lau, Hung-Chih | 17-Dec-14 |
|  | York South-Weston | Ffrench, Andrew | 5-Jun-15 |
| Party for People with Special Needs | Ottawa South | Redins, John | 13-Jan-15 |
| Socialist Party of Ontario | Etobicoke—Lakeshore <br> (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Lochwin, Natalie | Not Filed |
|  | Peterborough <br> (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Quiano, Andrea | Not Filed |
| The Peoples Political Party | Toronto - Danforth | Azaroghli, Ali | Not Filed |
| Independent Candidate | Eglinton-Lawrence | Sniedzins, Erwin | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott-Russell | Gagnier, Marc-Antoine | Not Filed |
|  | London - Fanshawe | Hamadi, Ali | 11-Mar-15 |
|  | Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke | Beckwith-Smith, Chad | Not Filed |
|  | York South-Weston | Issa, Abi | 5-Jan-15 |
|  | York West | Schwauss, Waldemar B | 29-Jan-15 |

## Campaign Financial Statements - Constituency Associations

Due December 12, 2014
Number of Constituency Associations required to file-430 | 160 - Late | 3 - Not Filed

| Party name | Electoral district | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontario Provincial Confederation of Regions Party | Brampton-Springdale | 20-Jan-15 |
| Equal Parenting Party | Huron-Bruce | 7-May-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | 7-May-15 |
|  | Perth-Wellington | 7-May-15 |
| Freedom Party of Ontario | Elgin-Middlesex-London | 15-Dec-14 |
| Green Party of Ontario | Barrie | 2-Feb-15 |
|  | Bruce-Grey-Owen Sound | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Kitchener-Conestoga | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | London West | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | 8-May-15 |
|  | Nipissing (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | 10-Jul-15 |
|  | Ottawa Centre | 18-Jan-15 |
|  | Parkdale—High Park | 23-Feb-15 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | 27-Apr-15 |
|  | Richmond Hill | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Simcoe-Grey | 15-Dec-14 |
|  | Toronto Centre | 4-Mar-15 |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Algoma-Manitoulin | 23-Mar-15 |
|  | Beaches-East York | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Etobicoke North | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Haliburton-Kawartha Lakes-Brock | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Hamilton East-Stoney Creek | 24-Feb-15 |
|  | Kenora-Rainy River | 16-Jan-15 |
|  | Kitchener-Waterloo | 26-Feb-15 |
|  | Leeds-Grenville | 9-Jan-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontario Liberal Party | Mississauga East—Cooksville | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Niagara Falls | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Nickel Belt | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Oshawa | 7-Jan-15 |
|  | Oxford | 9-Jan-15 |
|  | Parry Sound-Muskoka | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Perth-Wellington | 18-Dec-14 |
|  | Pickering-Scarborough East | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke | 4-Feb-15 |
|  | Simcoe North | 22-Dec-14 |
|  | Stormont-Dundas-South Glengarry | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Timiskaming-Cochrane | 23-Mar-15 |
|  | Timmins-James Bay | 18-Feb-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Toronto-Danforth | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | 22-Dec-14 |
|  | Welland | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | Whitby-Oshawa | 7-Jan-15 |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | 13-Jan-15 |
|  | Barrie | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Beaches-East York | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Brampton-Springdale | 3-Feb-15 |
|  | Brampton West | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Brant | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Bruce-Grey-Owen Sound | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Burlington | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Cambridge | 19-Jan-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Carleton-Mississippi Mills | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Chatham-Kent-Essex | 30-Jan-15 |
|  | Don Valley East | 13-Jan-15 |
|  | Don Valley West | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Dufferin-Caledon | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Durham | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Etobicoke Centre | 13-Jan-15 |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 28-Jan-15 |
|  | Etobicoke North | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott—Russell | 2-Jan-15 |
|  | Guelph | 30-Dec-14 |
|  | Haldimand-Norfolk | 21-Jan-15 |
|  | Haliburton-Kawartha Lakes-Brock | 23-Dec-14 |
|  | Halton | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Hamilton Mountain | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Kingston and the Islands | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Lambton-Kent—Middlesex | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Leeds-Grenville <br> (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Not Filed |
|  | London North Centre | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | Markham-Unionville | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Brampton South | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Erindale | 30-Dec-14 |
|  | Mississauga South | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Streetsville | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Nepean-Carleton | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Newmarket-Aurora | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | Niagara West-Glanbrook | 15-Jan-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Democratic Party of Ontario | Vaughan | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Wellington-Halton Hills | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Willowdale | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Windsor-Tecumseh | 12-Jan-15 |
|  | York-Simcoe | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | York West | 10-Feb-15 |
| Northern Ontario Heritage Party | Timiskaming-Cochrane | 10-Feb-15 |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | Ajax-Pickering | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Algoma-Manitoulin | 2-Feb-15 |
|  | Beaches-East York | 2-Feb-15 |
|  | Bramalea-Gore-Malton | 5-Feb-15 |
|  | Brampton-Springdale | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Brampton West | 9-Jan-15 |
|  | Burlington | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Davenport | 6-May-15 |
|  | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | Glengarry-Prescott—Russell | 6-Jan-15 |
|  | Guelph | 20-Apr-15 |
|  | Hamilton Centre | 23-Mar-15 |
|  | Huron-Bruce | 13-Feb-15 |
|  | Kingston and the Islands | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Lambton-Kent-Middlesex | 17-Feb-15 |
|  | Lanark-Frontenac-Lennox and Addington | 13-Jan-15 |
|  | Markham-Unionville | 17-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Brampton South | 15-Jan-15 |
|  | Mississauga East-Cooksville | 1-Feb-15 |
|  | Mississauga-Streetsville | 9-Feb-15 |
|  | Nepean-Carleton | 12-Feb-15 |


| Party name | Electoral district | Date received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario | Nickel Belt | 16-Dec-14 |
|  | Northumberland-Quinte West | 19-Jan-15 |
|  | Oakville | 12-Feb-15 |
|  | Oshawa | 11-Feb-15 |
|  | Ottawa Centre | 20-Jan-15 |
|  | Ottawa-Orléans | 6-Feb-15 |
|  | Ottawa South | 14-May-15 |
|  | Parry Sound-Muskoka | 22-Dec-14 |
|  | Peterborough | 23-Jan-15 |
|  | Prince Edward—Hastings | 17-Dec-14 |
|  | Richmond Hill | 21-Jan-15 |
|  | St. Paul's | 26-Jan-15 |
|  | Sault Ste. Marie | 29-Jan-15 |
|  | Scarborough-Guildwood | 16-May-15 |
|  | Scarborough—Rouge River | 27-Jan-15 |
|  | Sudbury | 21-May-15 |
|  | Thunder Bay-Atikokan | 10-Feb-15 |
|  | Timmins-James Bay | 21-May-15 |
|  | Toronto Centre | 5-Mar-15 |
|  | Trinity-Spadina | 24-Jan-15 |
|  | Vaughan | 21-May-15 |
|  | Welland | 8-May-15 |
|  | Willowdale | 1-Mar-15 |
|  | York South-Weston | 7-May-15 |
| Socialist Party of Ontario | Etobicoke-Lakeshore <br> (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Not Filed |
|  | Peterborough (Deregistered Assoc 8-21-14) | Not Filed |

## 2015 Sudbury By-Election

## Campaign Financial Statements - Political Parties

Due August 5, 2015
Number of Political Parties required to file-19 | 6-Late | 1 - Not Filed

| Party name | Date received |
| :--- | :---: |
| Communist Party of Canada (Ontario) | $25-$-Aug-15 |
| Equal Parenting Party | $03-$ Sep-15 |
| Freedom Party of Ontario | 11 -Sep-15 |
| New Reform Party of Ontario (FCP) | Not Filed |
| Ontario Moderate Party | 11-Sep-15 |
| The Peoples Political Party | $03-$ Sep-15 |
| Trillium Party of Ontario | 26 -Aug-15 |

## Campaign Financial Statements - Constituency Associations

Due August 5, 2015
Number of Constituency Associations required to file-4 | 2-Late | 0-Not Filed
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|}\hline \text { Party name } & \text { Date received } \\ \hline \text { New Democratic Party of Ontario } & 09-\text { Sep-15 } \\ \hline \text { Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario } & 21 \text {-Sep-15 } \\ \hline & \\ \hline \text { Advertising Reports - Third Party Advertiser } \\ \text { Due August 5, 2015 } \\ \text { Number of Third Parties required to file-4 } & \text { | 2-Late | } 0 \text { - Not Filed }\end{array}\right]$

## Campaign Financial Statements - Candidates

Due August 5, 2015
Number of Candidates required to file-10 | 1 - Late | 0 - Not Filed

| Party name |  | Candidate | Date received |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Progressive Conservative Party <br> of Ontario | Paula Peroni | 06-Aug-15 |  |

## Ontario Liberal Party

January 26, 2013 Contest
Second Period Financial Statements due September 26, 2014 Number of Contestants required to file-7 | 1 -Late | 0 - Not Filed

| Contestant name | Date received |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sandra Pupatello | 15-Oct-14 |

The Peoples Political Party
March 21, 2013 Contest
Second Period Financial Statements due November 21, 2014
Number of Contestants required to file-1 | 0-Late | 0 - Not Filed

## Family Coalition Party of Ontario

November 22, 2014 Contest
First Period Financial Statements due May 22, 2015
Number of Contestants required to file-1 | 1-Late | 0-Not Filed

| Contestant name | Date received |
| :--- | :--- |
| James Gault | 25-May-15 |

Appendix P: Updated 2014 General Election Budget

|  | Cost Estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Headquarters | Published |
| Salaries and Benefits | 3,261,099 |
| Salaries and Benefits | 3,261,099 |
| Transportation \& Communication | 8,984,050 |
| Employee Travel | 127,173 |
| Mail, Courier, Telecom | 8,856,877 |
| Services | 5,541,795 |
| Consulting | 5,527,426 |
| Facilities | 14,369 |
| Supplies \& Equipment | 6,842,709 |
| Furniture \& Equip | 783,458 |
| Supplies \& Sundry | 1,048,483 |
| Rentals | 175,656 |
| Advertising \& Communication (incl NRC) | 4,835,112 |
| Other Services | 42,801 |
| Subtotal Headquarters | 24,672,454 |
| Electoral District Field |  |
| Administration of Returning Offices | 17,133,244 |
| Advance Polls | 4,430,549 |
| Polling Day | 18,704,443 |
| Printing | 1,328,480 |
| Revision Personnel | 1,591,360 |
| Special Ballot | 1,771,730 |
| Preliminary Duties | 347,403 |
| Subtotal Electoral District | 45,307,209 |
| Election Finances |  |
| Audit Subsidies | 5,877,067 |
| Maintaining Election Readiness |  |
| Sustaining Readiness Activities | 13,839,866 |
| Ramp ups | 617,917 |
| Subtotal Maintaining Election Readiness | 14,457,783 |
| TOTALS | 90,314,513 |

The following table sets out the actual costs of the 2014 General Election. This table is an update to the event budget initially published in the 2014 General Election Post Event Report. The budget and actuals presented are multi-year figures. The unaudited budget is based on cash basis of accounting and will not correlate to the financial statements.


Appendix Q: Accessibility reporting requirement for February 5, 2015 Sudbury By-Election

## Election Act section 67.2 accessibility reporting requirement - by-election February 5, 2015,

 Sudbury (ED 088)
## Requirements Section

Summary
67.2 (1) and (2)

The information below serves as the CEO's report on accessibility issues, as required under section 67.2 of the Election Act.
After every election, the CEO shall
report on accessibility issues.
The report is to be included in
the election report* or the next annual report.
*The accessibility report for the June 12, 2014 General Election can be found in the Post-Event Report Ready, Set, Go!

The Election Act contains a number of accessibility-related provisions. The Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005 also includes provisions that affect the accessibility of elections. Elections Ontario is required under the Human Rights Code and the Charter to deliver elections to Ontario voters and carry out our day-to-day operations in a manner that does not discriminate.

Elections Ontario's mission is to uphold the integrity and acces sibility of the electoral process and to manage elections in an efficient, fair and impartial manner. We embrace the accessibility principles outlined in our Accessible Customer Service Policy, Integrated Accessibility Standards Policy Directive and our Multi-Year Accessibility Plan.

To that end, we continue to integrate accessibility into our planning while we work to modernize and transform election service delivery.

## 67.2 (1) (a) (i)

Elections Ontario welcomes customer service feedback from all Ontarians, including feedback about services provided to
Summary of accessible customer service feedback.
persons with disabilities. At each voting, including returning offices and advance polls, customer service feedback forms and boxes are available. Poll officials check the boxes throughout voting to determine which issues can be resolved immediately and which should be responded to later. Some feedback is also provided verbally. Electors also contact Elections Ontario headquarters directly by email, mail or by phone to provide feedback.

In the February 5, 2015 Sudbury By-election Elections Ontario received 72 pieces of feedback regarding the accessibility issues. Approximately one third of the comments were positive. Positive comments focused primarily on customer service provided by polling staff, and accessible parking at the voting locations. The negative comments were primarily about the voting locations and challenges with the NRC.

## 67.2(1) (a) (ii)

Response to feedback, including steps to respond to negative feedback.

As a result of the feed back provided about voting locations, election officials voters and election day staff provided advice to returning officers regarding ways of improving accessibility at voting locations in the future.

All feedback will be taken into consideration as we prepare and plan for future elections.

## 67.2 (1) (b)

Summary of Returning Officer accessibility reports

Returning Officers are committed to making elections as accessible as possible. The Returning Officer in Sudbury described several aspects of election accessibility in the report they submitted following the February 5, 2015 Sudbury by-election.

- All staff were trained and certified to deliver services in an accessible manner.
- Accessible materials were available for electors with disabilities, either proactively or on request, including assistive devices such as magnifiers.
- A feature of special ballot voting is the option to have a home visit, if the elector needs assistance because of a disability or inability to read or write and would experience unreasonable difficulty in visiting the returning office. Eighty-five voters cast their ballots during home visits, and special ballot officers provided them with assistance such as reading the candidates' names out loud, assisting some in marking the ballot, or providing assistive devices so the elector could more easily mark the ballot independently.
- The returning officer reported that no electors voted using Assistive Voting Technology available at the returning office.
- Electors voted in one of approximately 46 locations in the electoral district. All locations met Elections Ontario's site accessibility standards. Some locations could be used as they were, while others required remediation such as ramps, beveled thresholds, mats, parking cones or signage to comply. Approximately 25 Information Assistants were employed to ensure that locations were accessible to all electors.
- Other accommodations were provided as needed, such as moving the ballot box to the curb.
- Staff also took extra measures to provide accessibility for electors, such as providing documents or information in accessible formats, reading candidates' names to voters, promoting special ballot home visits in buildings with targeted populations, participating in additional training, and working with stakeholder groups.


## 67.2 (1) (c)

Not applicable - by-election.

Findings of public opinion survey,
only in the case of a GE

## 67.2 (1) (d)

The 2011 and 2014 provincial general election reports include detailed information about a range of measures to address barriers
Summary of measures to address to accessibility covering a full spectrum of activities, documents barriers to accessibility and other and processes from before the election to voting day itself. These accessibility issues. measures and additional items introduced since 2011 are high-
lighted here:

- Accessibility policies and plans
- Accessible feedback process
- Alternative formats
- Assistive devices at voting locations, e.g., magnifiers, easy grip pencils, Braille template for ballots
- Assistive Voting Technology
- Audio and large print versions of householder brochure
- Ballot font size
- Certificate to vote - transfers to another voting location
- Closed caption advertising
- Customer service and integrated accessibility standards training
Descriptive video
- Employee accommodations
- Homeless elector process
- Interpreters
- Moving the ballot box
- Notices of disruption
- Notice of Registration Card
- Outreach program
- Plain language communications

Radio advertising

- Service animals
- Special ballots: vote by mail
- Special ballots: home visits

TTY

- Voting assistance from a friend or support person
- Voting locations in hospitals and other locations
- Website that is accessible
- Workplace emergency response information


## 67.2 (1) (e)

Recommendations with respect to barriers that the CEO considers appropriate

As outlined in our Strategic Plan 2013 to 2017, Elections Ontario is committed to building modern services for Ontarians that put the needs of electors first. We intend to build on our past successes and strengths to improve Ontario's provincial electoral process. We need to ensure that our processes foster inclusiveness and that barriers are removed.

Our goal is to work with our stakeholders to build an electoral process that provides Ontarians their choice of services that are delivered in a modern, accessible, safe, secure and transparent manner that protects the secrecy of the vote.

We have already begun the transformation and have developed a clear 4-year roadmap. At the appropriate time, we will make recommendations that can assist us to remove the barriers to accessibility that we identify,

Appendix R: List of Jurisdictions with Voting On a Weekend or School Holiday

| Jurisdiction |  | Voter Turnout in Last 5 Elections |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Least $\rightarrow$ Most Recent Election |  |  |  |  |
|  | Malta | 97.16\% | 95.40\% | 95.70\% | 93.30\% | 92.95\% |
|  | Singapore | 95.03\% | 95.91\% | 94.61\% | 94.01\% | 93.18\% |
|  | Australia | 94.85\% | 94.32\% | 94.76\% | 93.22\% | 93.23\% |
|  | Uruguay | 91.44\% | 91.71\% | 89.62\% | 89.91\% | 89.62\% |
|  | Belgium | 90.57\% | 91.63\% | 91.08\% | 89.22\% | 89.37\% |
|  | Luxemburg | 88.30\% | 86.51\% | 91.68\% | 90.93\% | 91.15\% |
|  | Cyprus | 94.31\% | 90.13\% | 91.75\% | 89.00\% | 78.70\% |
|  | Turkey | 87.09\% | 79.28\% | 84.25\% | 87.59\% | 86.64\% |
|  | Iceland | 84.07\% | 87.70\% | 83.60\% | 85.12\% | 81.44\% |
|  | Sweden | 81.39\% | 80.11\% | 81.99\% | 84.63\% | 85.81\% |
|  | Bolivia | 71.36\% | 72.06\% | 84.51\% | 94.55\% | 87.45\% |
|  | Italy | 82.91\% | 81.44\% | 83.62\% | 80.54\% | 75.19\% |
|  | Austria | 80.42\% | 84.27\% | 78.49\% | 78.81\% | 74.91\% |
|  | Brazil | 78.51\% | 68.72\% | 83.27\% | 81.88\% | 80.60\% |
|  | New Zealand | 76.98\% | 80.29\% | 79.46\% | 74.21\% | 76.95\% |
|  | Germany | 82.20\% | 79.08\% | 77.65\% | 70.78\% | 71.53\% |
|  | Maldives | 75.39\% | 77.40\% | 71.29\% | 78.87\% | 77.93\% |
|  | Nicaragua | 81.01\% | 77.07\% | 75.00\% | 66.73\% | 79.09\% |
|  | Malaysia | 71.80\% | 68.65\% | 73.90\% | 75.99\% | 84.84\% |
|  | Argentina | 70.94\% | 73.13\% | 72.39\% | 79.39\% | 77.17\% |
|  | Spain | 78.06\% | 68.71\% | 75.66\% | 75.32\% | 68.94\% |
|  | Chile | 87.32\% | 87.11\% | 87.67\% | 87.67\% | 49.25\%* |
|  | Greece | 76.62\% | 74.14\% | 70.92\% | 62.47\% | 63.60\% |
|  | Paraguay | 66.22\% | 80.48\% | 64.02\% | 65.48\% | 68.24\% |
|  | Costa Rica | 69.99\% | 68.84\% | 65.13\% | 69.11\% | 68.38\% |
|  | Thailand | 75.13\% | 64.77\% | 78.51\% | 75.03\% | 46.79\% |
|  | Finland | 65.27\% | 66.71\% | 65.02\% | 67.37\% | 66.85\% |
|  | Ecuador | 47.25\% | 63.51\% | 63.50\% | 75.72\% | 80.84\% |
|  | Slovakia | 84.25\% | 70.07\% | 54.67\% | 58.84\% | 59.11\% |
|  | Taiwan | 68.09\% | 66.16\% | 59.16\% | 58.50\% | 74.70\% |
|  | Hungary | 57.01\% | 70.52\% | 67.57\% | 64.38\% | 61.84\% |


| Average Turnout <br> in Last 5 Elections | Voting Day | Cumpolsury <br> Voting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $94.90 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $94.55 \%$ | Saturday | Yes |
| $94.08 \%$ | Saturday | Yes |
| $90.46 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $90.37 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $89.71 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $88.78 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $84.97 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $84.39 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $82.79 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $81.99 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $80.74 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $79.38 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $78.60 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $77.58 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $76.25 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $76.18 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $75.78 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $75.04 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $74.60 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $73.34 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $69.95 \%$ | Sunday | Yes/No |
| $69.55 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $68.89 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $68.29 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $68.05 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $66.24 \%$ | Sunday | No |
| $66.16 \%$ | Sunday | Yes |
| $65.39 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $65.32 \%$ | Saturday | No |
| $64.26 \%$ | Sunday | No |
|  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |


| Jurisdiction |  | Voter Turnout in Last 5 Elections |  |  |  |  | Average Turnout | Voting Day | Cumpolsury |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Least $\rightarrow$ Most Recent Election |  |  |  |  | in Last 5 Elections | Voting Day | Voting |
|  | Croatia | 68.79\% | 76.55\% | 61.65\% | 59.58\% | 54.17\% | 64.15\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Czech Republic | 74.00\% | 57.95\% | 64.47\% | 62.60\% | 59.48\% | 63.70\% | Saturday | No |
|  | Latvia | 71.17\% | 60.98\% | 64.72\% | 59.49\% | 58.80\% | 63.03\% | Saturday | No |
|  | France | 68.93\% | 67.96\% | 60.32\% | 59.98\% | 55.40\% | 62.52\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Slovenia | 70.36\% | 60.64\% | 63.10\% | 65.60\% | 51.73\% | 62.29\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Japan | 59.80\% | 67.46\% | 69.27\% | 59.32\% | 52.66\% | 61.70\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Ukraine | 69.24\% | 67.19\% | 62.03\% | 57.40\% | 52.42\% | 61.66\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Russia | 64.73\% | 62.33\% | 55.67\% | 63.71\% | 60.10\% | 61.31\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Estonia | 57.43\% | 58.24\% | 61.91\% | 63.53\% | 64.23\% | 61.07\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Serbia |  | 60.57\% | 61.35\% | 57.77\% | 53.09\% | 58.20\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Bulgaria | 66.63\% | 55.76\% | 60.64\% | 52.49\% | 51.05\% | 57.31\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 63.70\% | 55.45\% | 54.94\% | 56.49\% | 54.54\% | 57.02\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Romania | 76.01\% | 65.31\% | 58.51\% | 39.20\% | 41.76\% | 56.16\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Lithuania | 52.92\% | 58.18\% | 46.04\% | 48.59\% | 52.93\% | 51.73\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Mexico | 41.68\% | 58.90\% | 44.61\% | 62.45\% | 47.72\% | 51.07\% | Sunday | Yes |
|  | Poland | 47.93\% | 46.18\% | 40.57\% | 53.88\% | 48.92\% | 47.50\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Switzerland | 42.25\% | 43.22\% | 45.22\% | 48.28\% | 49.10\% | 45.61\% | Sunday | No |
|  | El Salvador | 38.07\% | 28.42\% | 52.56\% | 53.58\% | 45.91\% | 43.71\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Columbia | 45\% | 42.45\% | 40.49\% | 43.75\% | 43.58\% | 43.05\% | Sunday | No |
|  | Wisconsin | 58.40\% | 67.60\% | 75.30\% | 72.70\% | 72.90\% | 69.38\% |  |  |
|  | New Hampshire | 60.10\% | 65.00\% | 71.50\% | 72.50\% | 70.90\% | 68.00\% |  |  |
|  | Montana | 64.70\% | 62.60\% | 65.20\% | 67.10\% | 63.50\% | 64.62\% |  |  |
|  | Michigan | 56.30\% | 60.50\% | 67.10\% | 69.70\% | 65.40\% | 63.80\% |  |  |
|  | New Jersey | 56.90\% | 58.80\% | 64.20\% | 67.70\% | 62.30\% | 61.98\% |  |  |
|  | Maryland | 50.80\% | 55.80\% | 63.10\% | 67.80\% | 67.30\% | 60.96\% |  |  |
|  | Delaware | 52.50\% | 59.00\% | 64.50\% | 65.80\% | 62.40\% | 60.84\% |  |  |
|  | Louisiana | 58.40\% | 56.70\% | 61.50\% | 61.80\% | 60.80\% | 59.84\% |  |  |
|  | Illinois | 53.80\% | 58.50\% | 62.40\% | 64.30\% | 59.30\% | 59.66\% |  |  |
|  | Pennsylvania | 49.70\% | 54.10\% | 62.60\% | 64.20\% | 59.50\% | 58.02\% |  |  |
|  | Rhode Island | 54.40\% | 54.70\% | 58.90\% | 62.30\% | 58.00\% | 57.66\% |  |  |
|  | New York | 52.90\% | 56.20\% | 58.50\% | 59.60\% | 53.50\% | 56.14\% |  |  |
|  | Indiana | 51.00\% | 50.08\% | 55.80\% | 60.03\% | 56.00\% | 54.58\% |  |  |
|  | West Virginia | 47.10\% | 46.60\% | 55.10\% | 51.20\% | 46.30\% | 49.26\% |  |  |
|  | Hawaii | 45.10\% | 44.60\% | 48.50\% | 49.00\% | 44.50\% | 46.34\% |  |  |
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